

[REDACTED]

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 19 November 2018 08:45
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: S106 and P/140/16 OUT

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 November 2018 17:24
To: Neil Crowther; David Easton
Cc: Planning.Responses
Subject: S106 and P/140/16 OUT

Mr Crowther

I note that the original Officers report recommendation was APPROVE CONDITIONALLY SUBJECT TO A SECTION 106 AGREEMENT

The Officers Update Sheet dated 22/10/18 at 11 seems to change that recommendation but it is not clear how as the changed recommendation sheet is not attached as stated

The draft minutes of the meeting record that the resolution passed by the DCC on 24/10/18 was:

RESOLVED - That

*(1) the application be approved as detailed in the report and update report; and
(2) authority be delegated to the Group Head of Planning, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman, to allow for minor amendments to be made to the Heads of Terms.*

Which is an entirely different approach from that originally recommended and it is very unclear from the minutes as to whether or not this was what the DCC actually voted on.

However it is clear from recent e mails on the file that the Council's Locum Barrister (Mr Cook) and the case officer Mr Easton are in fact making changes to the heads of terms without any reference to you or consultation with the chairman and Vice Chairman. Please can you confirm where I can view the specific authority that allows you to delegate your responsibilities under this resolution to these two individuals as I cannot find anything in the Officer scheme of delegation or alternatively a copy of any e mail doing so and also where I may view specific evidence that the chairman and Vice Chairman have been consulted and what they said if they were.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP MRTPI
[REDACTED]

