



---

**From:** David Easton  
**Sent:** 07 November 2018 12:51  
**To:** Planning.Responses  
**Subject:** FW: P/140/16 OUT

Please upload as a representation.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 November 2018 18:48  
**To:** Karl Roberts; Neil Crowther; David Easton  
**Subject:** P/140/16 OUT

Dear All

I note that contrary to the "Draft" minutes of the SDCC on 24 October 2018 Mr Bowie of Highways England made the attached presentation to the Committee but when responding to Members questions neither he nor Mr Gedhill on behalf of WSCC Highways) were able to satisfy members concerns that the proposed junction improvements would adequately address the problems associated with travelling from Pagham to Chichester to the north and west or that the timeframe for implementing the improvements was not dependent on funding to be provided by a number of various housing development sites, not just in Arun but in the Chichester District as well.

In view of this please can you advise:

- When the various junction improvements shown in the attached slides were in fact approved by Highways England, West Sussex County Highways, Chichester District Council or Arun District Council and if so where I may view the relevant approval documents
- When the various Plans showing these junction improvements were submitted as part of application P/140/16 OOUT and advertised for public consultation as part of the submitted application and the date of the responses from the statutory consultees ( Highways England, WSCC Highways and Chichester District Council) which indicated that they approved same and where I may view same.
- Whether or not these various junction improvements and the others in Chichester District which are listed in the Officers report form part of any committed and costed Highways scheme/project
- When Arun District Council intends to advertise these proposals for Public comment as part of the consideration of P/140/16 OUT as the advice given by Mr Bowie at the meeting was clearly treated as being material to the determination of the application but conflicts with that given in his written statutory consultation responses on behalf of HE, are not referred to in the Officers Reports and none of the interested parties have had adequate opportunity to comment on same to date as they were only revealed to the Committee and third parties at the meeting itself
- 

Please place this e mail and attachment and any response on the web file for P/140/16 OUT.

Thanks  
**Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP MRTPI**  
[REDACTED]



**RTPI**

Chartered Town Planner