


17, SILVERDALE CLOSE
PAGHAM

F.A.O MR. D. EASTON.



PO 21 4XF

18/4/2018

REGARDING APPLICATIONS NO P/125/17/OUT
P/134/16/OUT.
P/140/16/OUT
P/161/17/OUT.

Dear Sir,

I am writing--to--express my great disappointment that the plans submitted by local people which focused on building homes to meet local needs and preserving the character of Pagham seem to have been rejected in favour of mammoth schemes such as the ones above without a proper infrastructure to support them. I wonder how many really affordable homes for young families are envisaged for example. It would seem the only people who could afford houses would be retirees thus increasing the elderly population who would require more healthcare, provision of which I see little in these plans.

In addition to these concerns it would seem the increased traffic would make travel difficult. Good agricultural land is being sacrificed! I am disturbed that Southern Water can't cope with the sewage discharged now let alone once these homes are occupied and functioning. Is Pagham Harbour going to be

fooled much more? in short the schemes
proposed ^{covering} ~~including~~ the ones above are
unsustainable and the interests of the
local community are seemingly being
disregarded which is sad as their
scheme was so much more considered
and positive. Please reconsider! I look
forward to hearing from you.

yours faithfully

Simon Rackstraw