

From: Fiona Bennett [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 April 2017 13:59
To: 'Ian Gledhill'
Subject: RE: Pagham South P/140/16/OUT

Dear Ian,

As promised, please find attached the revised access drawing showing the two new pedestrian crossings over Pagham Road as set out in your email below, as well as tactile paving over the Village Hall access.

I have issued in draft for your comment, and I also attach a photograph looking north at the mouth of the Village Hall access. You will see that there is currently no footway to the immediate north of the Village Hall and that there are trees in the verge; one of which will be impacted by the new footway and crossing point over Pagham Road to the north of the Village Hall access. Please can I have your thoughts on this? If we are providing a crossing both to the immediate north and south of our northern access junction (as shown on this drawing), do we also need to provide a crossing at the Village Hall?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,
Fiona

Fiona Bennett

HighgateTransportation

www.highgatetransportation.co.uk

Highgate Transportation Ltd
First Floor, 43-45 Park Street
BRISTOL BS1 5NL
Company Registration Number: 07500534

**We have recently moved -- please note our new address*

From: Ian Gledhill [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 April 2017 11:15
To: Fiona Bennett [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Pagham South P/140/16/OUT

Fiona, in answer to the four points,

- i. Noted
- ii. The drawing quite clearly shows that a bus would be contained entirely within lay-by. This addresses the safety audit problem.

- iii. I can understand the reasoning why this was left as an island rather than made up to a refuge. However there is the potential for crossing demands in the vicinity of the northern vehicle access; I'd suggested that it would be better to try to accommodate these demands that will occur rather than leaving pedestrians to crossing without any provision. This may also be something worth clarifying with the Safety Auditor as their recommendation is to provide a crossing, and it's unclear if they've accounted for the presence of the bus stop when making their comment.

There would also be the ability as part of the Stage 3 RSA to review the situation. If there is a problem, it may then be necessary to relocate the bus stop.

I'd suggest that a crossing point would be needed further north (as per point i) as there is no continuous footway on the western side of the carriageway.

- iv. Noted, this is fine.

Kind regards

Ian Gledhill

Ian Gledhill BSc MIHE MCIHT | Principal Planner - County Highways, West Sussex County Council | Location: Ground Floor Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1RH