

P/134116/05

P/140116/05

P/6117/05


P/25117/05

B.J and J.E. Reeve
1 Eton Close
Bognor Regis
SUSSEX PO21 5QS

to Arun District Council Planning Dept,

I'm very concerned about the impact on the local Community if this planning application is accepted, the Pagham Road into/from Chichester is already buckling under the weight of rush hour traffic at each end of the day, it certainly doesn't need anymore traffic to add to the congestion, I start work at 7.30am and I make a point of leaving extra early to try and beat the main bulk of the congestion, the main Bognor road is equally as bad so there isn't really any feasible alternative, before this application reaches the acceptance stage I would strongly recommend, in fact I insist that this planning department travel along this road over the course of several days and at varying times between 7am and 9am and then 4.30pm to 6pm to see how this road struggles with the current weight of traffic before it's considered acceptable to increase the amount of cars even more

yours angrily



Monday, March 20, 2017

P/134/16/057

P/140/16/057

P/6/17/057


P/25/17/057

B.J and J.E. Reeve
1 Eton Close
Bognor Regis
SUSSEX PO21 5QS

to Arun District Council Planning Dept,

I'm very concerned about the impact on the local Community if this planning application is accepted, the Pagham Road into/from Chichester is already buckling under the weight of rush hour traffic at each end of the day, it certainly doesn't need anymore traffic to add to the congestion, I start work at 7.30am and I make a point of leaving extra early to try and beat the main bulk of the congestion, the main Bognor road is equally as bad so there isn't really any feasible alternative, before this application reaches the acceptance stage I would strongly recommend, in fact I insist that this planning department travel along this road over the course of several days and at varying times between 7am and 9am and then 4.30pm to 6pm to see how this road struggles with the current weight of traffic before it's considered acceptable to increase the amount of cars even more

yours angrily



Monday, March 20, 2017

"Sea Breeze"
31 The Causeway
Pagham
Bognor Regis
W Sussex PO21 4PG



5th April 2017

The Director of Planning and Economic Regeneration.
Arun Civic Centre,
Maltravers Road,
Littlehampton BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Re: P/134//16/OUT Sefter Road, Pagham
P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road, Pagham
P/6/7/OUT Hook Lane, Pagham
P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House, Horn Lane

I have known the above named area and its surroundings from childhood and have lived in Pagham since 1984. Examining the plans raises concerns for the future quality of life of the population, both young and old whether active or infirm.

Recent years has shown the wisdom of :

- 1 Avoiding building on flood plains and flood prone land
- 2 The need to utilise brownfield sites in preference to green fields.
- 3 The need to protect and encourage economic regeneration.

The plans show a complete disregard to common sense planning and I suggest is based on the wrong criteria. Consider the following:

1 Flooding Issues: Historically Areas of Pagham are known to be prone to Flooding and water saturation - Sefter Road is a case in point along with the known overload which already exists on Sewage disposal.

2 Sewage: Any back up of sewage is a threat to the whole district – and the development proposals increases this risk substantially in Pagham and Aldwyck


3 Traffic: In 1984 traffic jams or queues leading to the A27 were virtually unknown. Today they are common and the inevitable increase in car ownership, given the scale of the proposals, will increase this to grid lock levels. The importance of this road network cannot be overstated given the economic importance of market gardening to the region and the need for swift transportation of produce.

4 Given the economic importance of farming and market gardening in the area the loss of Grade Two Farm Land is itself a negative effect.

The threat of flooding; the increased heavy traffic and potential damage to the economic wellbeing of the area likely to be caused by these building developments is quite unsustainable.

5 The ability of local hospitals, doctors surgeries, dentists and local schools to cope with an increase of the scale proposed is questionable and unsustainable.

6 Finally the scale of the proposals will have a negative effect to the special natural environment for which the area is famous and cause unsustainable damage for generations to come.



cc. Nick Gibb, M.P.,
House of Commons, London, SW1A

16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH

5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Seftor Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have enjoyed living in the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.

I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.

I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this

is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.

To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,



Jonathon Ivall

16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH

5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Seftor Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have enjoyed living in the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.

I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.


I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this

is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.

To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,

A large black rectangular box redacting the signature of Sarah Ivall.

Sarah Ivall

16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH

5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Seftor Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have brought up my children to both appreciate and respect the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.


I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.

I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road, having given up trying to use the A259/A27 following the opening of the link road with Felpham. This has caused extensive queues especially during the rush hour. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.

To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,



Sharon D. Ivall

16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH

5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Sefter Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have enjoyed living in the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.

I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.

I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this

is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.

To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,

Robert Ivall



16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH

5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Seftor Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have enjoyed living in the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.

I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.

I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this


is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.


To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,

Helena Ivall



16 Priory Close,
Aldwick Bay Estate,
Pagham,
West Sussex. PO21 4HH



5th April, 2017

Arun District Council,
Associate Director Planning & Economic Regeneration,
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF

Dear Sir,

Objection to the following proposed planning applications:

P/134/16/OUT Seftor Road P/140/16/OUT Pagham Road
P/6/17/OUT Hook Lane P/25/17/OUT Church Barton House

I have been a resident of the Pagham area since 1994 and have brought up my children to both appreciate and respect the beautiful countryside that makes Pagham Village such a special and distinctive place to live and visit, being a popular holiday destination for many, thus boosting the local economy. Residents have time for each other and a thriving community spirit exists that I strongly feel benefits the more vulnerable members of the community, young and old alike. I therefore seriously question the above unsustainable proposals as they do not appear to consider the detrimental effects such planning will have on the quality of life in Pagham, both for current residents and certainly for future generations.

I am therefore questioning the suitability of intensely developing a rural area that has already been identified as a flood risk, both flooding historically and currently under threat with the severe erosion of Pagham beach.

62 53
I am also a commuter using the Pagham Road, having given up trying to use the A259/A27 following the opening of the link road with Felpham. This has caused extensive queues especially during the rush hour. Unfortunately the heavy volume of traffic already on the Pagham Road causes long delays and this is without the additional holiday traffic during the season and the increased HGV movements due to the expansion of the local nursery and Barfoots. How will this road cope with the increased volume of traffic generated by new residents, who will presumably have to commute to work due to little local employment? Whilst considering the existing infrastructure has any thought been given to the increased pressure massive housebuilding will place on existing doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals with St. Richard's only recently being saved from closure?

As concerning as the aforementioned is, I feel it is extremely negligent, irresponsible and completely short-sighted to even consider building on grade 2 farmland when there is already a serious debate about the country's ability to feed future populations. I also feel it is totally reprehensible to destroy the countryside for future generations without considering the development of brownfield sites.

To close, I think that it is too easy to condemn residents who object as 'nimbys'. I strongly feel that mass housing in an area that is prone to flooding, has limited infrastructure and little local employment is totally unsustainable. Building on Grade 2 farmland should never be sanctioned and by agreeing to this the council is, in my view, performing a dereliction of duty that will destroy the rural heritage of the area.

Yours faithfully,



Paul A. Ivall