

Dear Sir.

Re Planning Applications:

ARJUN DIXI	11/34/16/16 out (SETER ROAD) 1 st APRIL
700011	11/14/16/16 out (EASTERN ROAD) 1 st APRIL
731 AR 7	11/17/16/16 out (HOCK LANE) 1 st APRIL

RECEIVED

I am writing to register my strong objections to the above planning applications.

The proposal of building 1330 houses on prime greenfield, highly fertile sites is unsustainable for many reasons, some of which I have listed below.

- ① Having long term connections with Aldwick and Paghnam Scout Group at Soko Road, the young people would suffer a serious loss of amenity. The fields around the hut provide safer places for walks and outdoor games, away from traffic hazards. The group needs its own hut to store bulky equipment for camping and water-sports.
- ② Bognor Post has recently mirrored the existing problems with disposing of raw sewage at certain times. The plant in Gurnards Lane cannot cope now and Southern Water admits massed but untreated raw sewage is released into Paghnam Harbour. The situation would be unsustainable should there now houses be added to the pressure on an already creaking system of sewage treatment.
- ③ At certain times of the year visitors are put out on our beaches to poor standards of poor waste quality. The effect on tourism would be catastrophic if 'poor waste' became the 'norm' because of increased sewage filtering across from Paghnam to Bognor Beach.
- ④ The effect on wildlife if water quality in Paghnam Harbour is more polluted regularly.
- ⑤ Travel to Christchurch is already horrendous. Thousands more cars of the narrow winding road with the minimal onlay proposed by Aldwick for infrastructure improvements will cause gridlock.

- (6) The soil quality on the proposed site is of a very exceptionally high standard of fertility. These fields should remain in use for food production. Precision "green belt" areas must remain intact and buildings put on brown-field sites i.e. Ford Airfield and similar sites.
- (7) I have seen the site in question lying completely submerged by winter rain flood water. 1330 houses with the subsequent "cave-in" issue would seriously add to flooding on these low-lying areas.
- (8) Additional pressure on already overloaded doctors, dentists, schools and hospitals.
- (9) Lack of employment opportunities in the area. People born in the area who wish to buy housing are already struggling because of high prices and low wages where will the additional employment opportunities come from. For families living in these proposed 1330 houses most jobs locally are in the service industry or agriculture, with little manufacturing in the surrounding area.

Building 1330 houses on the above site is UNSUSTAINABLE and I totally object to all these planning applications listed above.

Yours faithfully

