

Juan Baeza

From: Juan Baeza
Sent: 21 March 2011 14:47
To: 'Ken Mussell'
Subject: RE: 128 Pagham Road - P/10/11

Dear Mr Mussell,

Please let me clarify what I said earlier which may have misled you.

Your letter is stamped as having being received by the Council on the 17th March. Whilst it was in the Council building at that time unfortunately the officer dealing with the application did not receive it till the 18th after which time the application had been signed off and a decision made.

I have checked with colleagues in the technical support unit and they are unaware of any issues affecting the on line commenting facility last week. If you had accessed the application before Friday the 18th you will have seen at the top the selection entitled '*Comment on this application*' and below confirming that comments were due by the 17th March - this facility would have automatically dropped off by the 18th.

In terms of its consultation procedure the Council policy is to advertise applications in the press (West Sussex Gazette). In this case an advert appeared in the 24th February 2011 edition. It also ensures that a notice advertising the application is prominently displayed at the site which was found to be so by the case officer when visiting the site on the 22nd of February. It is not Council policy to individually notify all neighbours.

I am afraid to inform you that the decision to approve is final and there is no available opportunity to challenge this decision.

Yours sincerely,

Juan Baeza

From: Ken Mussell [mailto:ken.mussell@arundel.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 March 2011 13:53
To: Juan Baeza
Subject: RE: 128 Pagham Road - P/10/11

Dear Juan Baeza

Thank you for your email, in response to my letter.

I am some what surprised that the application has been granted.
 When I accessed you web site last week, I was unable to find any information other than being able to view the plans.
 The web site told me that I was not able to give comments via the site at that time, hence I wrote my letter.

May I ask if it is not normal procedure to notify all neighbours of a planning application that is received by the Council. Because our tenant Rev'd James was not informed nor was the Diocese as owners, only by a recent letter from the applicant, to Rev'd James, who passed it on to me as Landlord.

I did not find any dates on your web site as to the urgency of the matter, and now find it too late.

Can you please inform me if there is any course of action that is open to me to attempt to have the plans amended.

As you saw from my letter I am concerned about the overall height of the extension up to ridge line which is

21/03/2011

right against the boundary.

Your advise would be appreciated

Yours Sincerely

Ken Mussell

From: Juan Baeza [mailto:Juan.Baeza@arun.gov.uk]

Sent: Monday, 21 March 2011 09:26

To: Ken Mussell

Cc: Janice Chapman

Subject: 128 Pagham Road - P/10/11

Dear Mr Mussell,

The Council received your letter dated 16th March concerning the above application on the 18th March.

However, the decision due date was the 17th March and the application was duly approved on that day. Unfortunately this meant that your objection was not received in time for it to be addressed prior to the consideration of the application.

For your information the case officer in preparing the report took into account the impact that the proposal would have on the residential amenities of 126 Pagham Road and considered that it would not be unacceptable. Nor was it considered that it would have a substantially adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area.

You may want to view that report which can be accessed from the Arun District Council planning website. If you have any difficulties in viewing the web site please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

Juan Baeza

Appeals Officer

West Area Team

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

NLPG UPRN 100062237016

DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.

The Guildford Diocesan Board of Finance is Registered in England as a Company Limited by Guarantee (No. 225289)

The Board is a Charitable Company (No. 248245)

Registered Address: Diocesan House, Quarry Street, Guildford, Surrey GU1 3XG