

Sent: 09 July 2021 10:26
To: Daniel Vick <Daniel.Vick@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: 27,Central Drive

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Mr Vick,

I have learned that a further application regarding this site was made on 30/6/21 and accepted as valid on 1/7/21 .It is, to say the least, disappointing that I was not advised of this as promised in Mrs Coney's email of, by coincidence, 30/6/21. No doubt an oversight, but one which in delay would seem to be rather more to the benefit of the applicant than to possible objectors such as myself.

Leaving aside the relatively minor corrections to link extension, privacy screen, windows and finishes, the main significant part of the application is to delete the misleading street scene as a relevant document. No mention is made of the original elevations which were similarly misleading – albeit more authoritatively. I note however that revised elevations are provided which, unsurprisingly, unlike the originals which (see my emails of 3/7/21 and 11/7/21) should have been a significant part of the original considerations, do not show the relationship with the height of the neighbouring property.

There is in fact in the revised application no acknowledgement of any controversy regarding the overall height.

Again this is unsurprising given the Council's apparent acquiescence in this matter.

All of this makes it a matter of urgency that I receive proper replies to the questions in my two emails referred to above. I was promised a reply "by the end of this week (i.e. today) or early next". I trust that I will now receive these replies without further delay so that the increasingly evident procedural shortcomings can be addressed.

G A Raven