

Sian Eggington

From: simon harvey [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 March 2019 21:26
To: Sian Eggington
Subject: Re: LY/4/19/HH
Attachments: 28_101_BLOCK PLAN_revA.pdf

Categories: Green Category

Hi Sian,

Site plan attached as requested with dimensions of summerhouse to other boundaries.

Kind Regards,

Simon

From: Sian Eggington [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 March 2019 13:28
To: 'simon harvey'
Subject: RE: LY/4/19/HH

Hi Simon

I have spoke with the planners at Arun and they have requested those dimensions. If its easier for you to just write it on the plan as a note i.e 24m to silo boundary I can accept that instead as agreed it can be out of scale.

Kind Regards

Sian

From: simon harvey [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 March 2019 12:23
To: Sian Eggington
Subject: Re: LY/4/19/HH

Hi Sian,

The summer house is dimensioned from the two nearest relevant boundaries and the tree. Is it relevant to dimension it to the other two boundaries some considerable distance away? considering that ordnance survey information can be up to a metre out of scale to the existing. I can show this but i would have thought this to be a rather inaccurate exercise on most of the applications that you receive?

Kind Regards

Simon

On 14 Mar 2019 10:51, Sian Eggington [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Mr Harvey

Apologies I should have said the demolition is only required if your demolishing more than 100sqm so I've removed that for you. I've double checked with Arun council and they've asked if you could include a dimension from the proposed summerhouse to the side boundary (next to the silo) and the rear boundary, as the rear boundary is a curve anywhere along it is fine.

Kind Regards

Sian Eggington

Report Recommendation To the relevant officer. Other possible roles of the person involved

Report Recommendation To the relevant officer. Other possible roles of the person involved

