

Daun Johnson

From: Terry Tunmore [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 September 2013 13:31
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: RE: Further Information Required on Your Ref: N/A Our Ref: LY/20/13/CLP

Thank you, please see below;

From: Daun Johnson [mailto:Daun.Johnson@arun.gov.uk] **On Behalf Of** Planning.Responses
Sent: 30 September 2013 11:58
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Further Information Required on Your Ref: N/A Our Ref: LY/20/13/CLP

Mr Tunmore,

The rear floor plan and rear elevation do not accord. There are windows and doors missing on the floor plan that is being shown on the elevation. As you can appreciate, if the plans don't accord, we have no idea which plan is the correct plan. [Terry Tunmore] As this whole elevation is rebuilt I cannot see it's importance which is why it was only schematic. I will update as you request though.

The plan you gave me at a scale of 1:500) is correct in the scale, however, the proposed works need to be clearly highlighted. [Terry Tunmore] I see, I will do my best! However, direct comparison of the plans [as you clearly do] will easily identify this information.

A Location plan at a scale of 1:1250 or 1:2500 (rural areas only) is required for this type of application. [Terry Tunmore] I have downloaded a 1:1250 as I assume you will not consider this a rural area. It shows little more than the 1:500 but I will present it accordingly.

Sincerely,
Mrs Johnson

Daun Johnson | Technical Support Unit Assistant, Planning and Building Control, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LP
Internal: 37762 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737762 | E-mail: daun.johnson@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Terry Tunmore [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 September 2013 10:59
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: RE: Further Information Required on Your Ref: N/A Our Ref: LY/20/13/CLP

Dear ADC

In response to this letter, we believe we did deliver by hand 3 copies of a 1:500 location plan, perhaps you can re-check. We can provide a 1:1250 scale for you although I thought it was specified that 'either' was required which is why we went for the larger scale.

Regarding the existing plan/elevation discrepancy can you be more specific? Is it that the windows on the rear elevation are not shown on the plan?