

Recommendation Report

Application No.

LU/72/13

Committee

☐

:

Date

Delegated

☒

Recommend Permission

☒

Recommend Refusal

☐

	Date	Signature
Prepared by Case Officer	9/5	
Agreed by Team Leader	9/5	
Agreed by H.O.P.S / P.C.M. (if required)		
Committee Plans		

Comments:

αβχδ VALIDATION CHECKLIST

HOUSEHOLDER APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION FOR WORKS OR EXTENSION TO A DWELLING

Three copies of all documents unless stated otherwise or submitted electronically. This form must be completed in accordance with the Arun District Council Validation checklist National and Local list of requirements. Failure to complete all boxes will result in your application being returned as invalid.

	YES	N/A
Completed form	☒	
Completed Ownership Certificate	☒	
Agricultural Holdings Certificate	☐	☒
Design and Access Statement	☒	☐
The appropriate fee (if n/a, why?) The works are for a disabled occupant.	☐	☒
Location plan	☒	
Block or Site plan	☒	
Existing & Proposed Elevations	☒	☐
Existing & Proposed Floor plans	☒	☐
Street Scene Elevation	☐	☒
Sections and finished floor and site levels	☐	☒
Existing & Proposed Roof plans	☐	☒
LOCAL REQUIREMENTS	YES	N/A
Flood Risk Assessment	☐	☒
REQUIREMENTS FOR DOMESTIC MICROGENERATION EQUIPMENT/WIND TURBINES		
For all wind turbines:		
Manufacturer's specification	☐	☒
For free standing equipment:		
Site plan to show position of equipment at 1:200	☐	☒
Elevations at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
For equipment attached to a roof:		
Existing roof plans at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
Proposed roof plans at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
Elevation of wind turbine/solar panels at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
For solar panels, section through roof at scale of 1:20	☐	☒
For equipment attached to a wall:		
Existing elevations at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
Proposed elevations at a scale of 1:50/1:100	☐	☒
NEW VEHICULAR ACCESS AND ALTERATIONS TO EXISTING		
Site Plan to mark the width and location of any new or widened access in red (use second site plan if necessary) as well as visibility splays and sightlines. Existing access marked if different to position of proposed.	☐	☒
BOUNDARY WALLS, GATES, FENCES		
Site Plan showing existing and proposed position of wall/gate/fence in red at 1:200/1:500	☐	☒
Elevation of wall/fence at 1:50/1:200 (2 elevations or more required for corner sites)	☐	☒

Design and Access Statement 29 Kingsmead

Client: Mr D Beeden

Document Reference: mb/das/kingsmead 29

Date: 21/03/2013

Use

The proposed application is for a steplift to the side entrance door of the property.

The proposal is to enable access into the property for a disabled occupant. The client has applied to Arun District Council for a disabled facilities grant. The works are considered essential to meet the needs of the client.

Amount

N/A

Scale

When looking at the front elevation the scale of the property has not been affected.

Layout

The steplift has been positioned at the access point that enters into the lounge. This has been deemed to best suit the occupants needs. The main access point will remain as existing.

Landscaping

Some of the side and front garden will have to be disturbed to allow for a new wall surrounding a level platform leading into the front of the house, as well as a concrete platform at ground level to accommodate a new steplift.

Appearance

There will be a standard brick upstand with tubular galvanised steel handrails. The step lift will be a new Terry's TSL 1000 with three bridging steps.

Access

The existing door is to be changed to a level threshold. The steplift will rise from ground level to a level platform to enable access into the front door.

Justification statement and additional comments

Our client's priority is to complete the works to make life easier and safer for them and their family as quickly as possible. If the Planning Officer does not consider the approval acceptable, we would be grateful of the opportunity to re-submit an alternative design.



Householder and other minor extensions in Flood Zones 2 and 3

Applications for planning permission should be accompanied by a completed form. An electronic version can be submitted by 'printing' it to a PDF writer.

This guidance is for domestic extensions and non-domestic extensions where the additional footprint created by the development does not exceed 250 sq. metres. It should NOT be applied if an additional dwelling is being created, e.g. a self contained annex.

We recommend that:

Planning Authorities:

- 1) Refer the applicant to the standing advice pages on the Environment Agency website or provide them with a copy of this page for them to include as part of the planning application submission.
- 2) Check the planning application to ensure that one or other of the mitigation measures from the table below has been incorporated.

Applicants:

Complete the table below and include it with the planning application submission. The table, together with the supporting evidence, will form the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and will act as an assurance to the Local Planning Authority (LPA) that flood risk issues have been adequately addressed.

Applicant to choose one or other of the flood mitigation measures below	Applicant to provide the LPA with the supporting information detailed below as part of their FRA	Applicant to indicate their choice in the box below. Enter 'yes' or 'no'
Either ; Floor levels within the proposed development will be set no lower than existing levels AND, flood proofing of the proposed development has been incorporated where appropriate.	Details of any flood proofing / resilience and resistance techniques, to be included in accordance with 'Improving the flood performance of new buildings' CLG (2007)	Yes
Or; Floor levels within the extension will be set 300mm above the known or modelled 1 in 100 annual probability river flood (1%) or 1 in 200 annual probability sea flood (0.5%) in any year. This flood level is the extent of the Flood Zones	This must be demonstrated by a plan that shows finished floor levels relative to the known or modelled flood level. All levels should be stated in relation to Ordnance Datum ¹	

Subterranean/basement extensions

Due to the risk of rapid inundation by floodwater basements should be avoided in areas at risk of flooding. The LPA may hold additional guidance for basement extensions.

Self-contained basement dwellings are 'highly vulnerable' development and should not be permitted in Flood Zone 3. We are opposed to these developments.

Continued...

¹ Ordnance Datum or the abbreviation 'OD' is the mean level of the sea at Newlyn in Cornwall from which heights above sea level are taken. The contour lines on Ordnance Survey maps measure heights above OD for example, though these are not accurate enough for a flood risk assessment..



Cumulative impact of minor extensions and the removal of Permitted Development rights.

There is potential for the cumulative impact of minor extensions to have a significant effect on flood risk. Where local knowledge (Strategic Flood Risk Assessment held by the LPA/information provided by the parish council) suggests this is the case the guidance contained in FRA guidance note 2 should be applied. FRA guidance note 2 can also be applied where permitted development rights have been removed for flood risk reasons. The Environment Agency does not usually comment on minor development in this category.

Permeable paving and changes to permitted development rights for householders

On the 1st October 2008 the General Permitted Development Order (GPDO) in England was amended by the Government (Statutory Instrument 2008 No. 2362).

One of the changes introduced by the GPDO amendment is the removal of permitted development rights for householders wishing to install hard surfacing in front gardens which exceeds 5sq. metres (i.e. 1m x 5 m) without making provision to ensure permeability. This means that use of traditional materials, such as impermeable concrete, where there is no facility in place to ensure permeability, requires an application for planning permission.

In order to help and advise householders of the options for achieving permeability and meeting the condition for permitted development status the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG) has produced guidance on permeable paving which can be found on the following link <http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/pavingfrontgardens>

The Environment Agency supports the GDPO amendment as it is in line with the recommendations of the Pitt Report regarding the need to better tackle the impact of surface water flooding. However, Local Planning Authorities should determine these applications in accordance with the CLG guidance **without** consulting the Environment Agency.

End of comment