


TO:- MR NEIL CROWTHER
GROUP HEAD OF PLANNING
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL
ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
HOLTDAVERS ROAD
LITTLEHAMPTON
WEST SUSSEX
BN17 5LF.

FGM BLANCHARD
Meadow View
10 Old Mead Road
Lyminster
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 7PU



27th DECEMBER 2017

DEAR SIR

RE. PLANNING NOTICES LU 376/17/OUT AND LU 375/17/PL

I AM STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THE ABOVE PLANNING APPLICATIONS
RECENTLY SUBMITTED BY THE 'MADDOGNS' OF SOUTHDOWN NURSERY
OLD MEAD ROAD ON THE FOLLOWING GROUNDS:-

^{NO}
X Y X * WAS THE CHANGE OF USE FORMALLY HORTICULTURAL OR AGRICULTURE
LAND BEEN CHANGED TO RESIDENTIAL - HAVE I INADVERTENTLY
MISSED THE NOTIFICATION?

NO1. PRIORITY - MAIN CONCERN

ANY PENETRATION / PILING OF GROUND ON THIS SITE IN ORDER
TO BUILD OR CONSTRUCT HOUSES, DUE TO BEING WITHIN A FEW
YARDS OF A FORMER LANDFILL SITE COULD BE A RISK TO
PUBLIC HEALTH.

ANY PILING OR OTHER FOUNDATION WORKS CAN RESULT TO
POSSIBLE SUPPLIES FROM POLLUTION / TURBIDITY - RISK OF MOBILISING
CONTAMINATION - DRILLING THROUGH AQUIFERS AND CREATING
PREFERENTIAL PATHWAYS RESULTING IN CONTAMINATION OF
GROUNDWATER AND PRODUCING CONTAMINATED UNDERGROUND
WIND TUNNELS. THIS LANDFILL SITE AS WE KNOW IS AN ORDER
STYLE SITE - I THINK THE TERMINOLOGY IS 'DILUTE AND DISPERSE'
(YOU WOULD KNOW MORE THAN ME) AND WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE
LEVELS OF CONTAMINATION (I.E GASES) AS I UNDERSTAND THIS COULD CAUSE
SERIOUS HEALTH RISK VIA GROUND WATER CONTAMINATION OR GETTING
INTO BLOCK-DITCH AND OTHER OUTLETS.

FOR MANY YEARS, AND I HAVE LIVED IN OLD MEAD ROAD FOR SOME
30 YEARS, OUR WATER WAS REGULARLY CHECKED THREE OR FOUR TIMES
A YEAR BOTH BY SOUTHERN WATER AND ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
IT IS NOW ONLY MONITORED OCCASIONALLY.

I AM ALSO CONCERNED AS TO MAIN DRAINAGE AND SEWAGE FACILITIES
THESE CONCERNS APPLY TO BOTH PLANNING NOTICES

KNOWING THE HISTORY OF OLD MEAD ROAD - I WOULD NOT WANT TO
BE THE BUILDER - (RISK FACTOR) - WE ARE ALSO ON FLOOD LINES "RED ALERT"
FLOOD COVER IS ALMOST NON EXISTENT E.G. HOUSE INSURANCE ONLY BY HIGH EXCESS.

CONT

CONTINUED:

(2)

IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME RIGHT - THIS IS HISTORY REPEATING ITSELF AS I AM SURE SOME 20 YEARS PLUS A SIMILAR BUILDING APPLICATION WAS SORT AND REFUSED. (SAME LAND).

- (2) OUR ROAD IS PRIVATELY OWNED THEREFORE RIGHTLY SO IT CONCERNS US RESIDENTS - MORE TRAFFIC - MORE WEAR AND TEAR MORE TRAFFIC IN AND OUT ONTO THE ALREADY VERY BUSY SOMETIMES HIGHLY CONGESTED A284 (BOTH DIRECTIONS) LITTLEHAMPTON AND ARUNDAL PLUS HOLD UPS DUE TO ONE OF THE SOUTH'S BUSIEST LEVEL CROSSING "LYMINSTER". WE ALSO NOW HAVE TO CONTEND WITH UP TO AN ADDITIONAL 60 CARAVANS/MOTORHOMES AND TOWING - 4 X 4'S, USING OUR ROAD SINCE CASTLEVIEW OBTAINED PLANNING PERMISSION FOR STORAGE THROUGH-OUT THE YEAR. OUR ROAD WAS NEVER BUILT FOR THIS - IT IS MORE A LANE THAN A ROAD, WITH 25 MORE HOUSES OR MOBILE HOMES COULD GENERATE AN EXTRA 50 VEHICLES.

- (3) PATHWAY - OLD MEAD - THIS IS A PATHWAY TO NOWHERE OVERRUN NEVER MAINTAINED BY THE HOUSING ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT - NOBODY LOOKS AFTER IT, ALTHOUGH IT WAS A CONDITION ^{IN ORDER} TO GET THE HOMES BUILT.

- (4) POOR LIGHTING ESPECIALLY END OF OLD MEAD ROAD.

- (5) FURTHER DRAIN ON OUR SERVICES - SCHOOLS ^{CHILDREN} NURSERIES DOCTORS - DENTIST - MIDWIVES - SOCIAL SERVICES. THE LIST IS ENDLESS. MASSIVE HOUSING PROJECTS RECENTLY TAKEN PLACE IN OUR AREA - KINGSLEYGATE + TODDINGTON

HAVING SAID ALL THIS MY MAIN CONCERN IS LANDFILL CONTAMINATION - RE DISTURBING GROUND. PUBLIC HEALTH - WATER CONTAMINATION. YOU MAY LIKE TO NOTE THAT THE SOLAR FARM ^{ANGSCO} AT THE BOTTOM OF OLD MEAD DID THEIR HOME WORK AND DECIDED NOT TO PENETRATE LAND OR DO ANY PILING. THEIR SOLAR PANELS WERE ALL LAID ON THICK CONCRETE AND BALLAST. A VERY WISE DECISION. COSTING THEM I BELIEVE AN EXTRA £100,000-00 POUNDS

A reply to my letter would be appreciated

Many thanks.

Yours faithfully