

**WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL
STRATEGIC PLANNING CONSULTATION**

DATE: 08/09/2015

FROM: Chris Pratt

**TO: Arun District Council
FAO: Anita Gardner**

SUBJECT: LU/255/15/PL - Reduce to two storeys with revised access and parking from Beaconsfield Road (amendment to LU/305/14/PL).

Land Adjacent To, 120, Wick Street, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 7JT

RECOMMENDATION:

Advice	☒	Modification	☐	More Information	☐
Objection	☐	No Objection	☐	Refusal	☐

This application has been dealt with in accordance with the Development Control Scheme protocol for small scale proposals which include up to 5 residential units or extensions to single units accessed from roads that do not form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN). As such the comments provided by Local Development should be considered to be advice only, with respect to this planning application.

This proposal has been considered by means of a desktop study, using the information and plans submitted with this application, in conjunction with other available WSCC map information. A site visit can be arranged on request.

I refer to your consultation in respect of the above planning application and would provide the following comments.

West Sussex County Council was consulted previously on Highway Matters for this location under planning application no.LU/305/14 to which no objections were raised.

The application now seeks amendments to the previously approved application and more specifically the access and parking.

It is now proposed to use the access and parking arrangements proposed under DC/252/15, which raised no objections from WSCC. This would result in 5 x 3 bedroom dwellings using the private unallocated car park. This would still meet WSCC recommended standards of car parking for dwellings of this size in this area.

The site is also within a sustainable location with good access to public transport links. Like the adjacent application site, a provision of secure and covered cycle parking capable of holding up to 2 cycles will be provided to mitigate the need for private parking.

Based on these comments and my comments to DC/252/15, no concerns wished to be raised to this amendment from a highways perspective. It is advised that the conditions secured through DC/252/15 incorporate and apply to this dwelling as well.

**Chris Pratt
Strategic Planning**