

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 11 December 2025 16:36
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Arun Conservation Area Advisory Panel comments on applications

Please can you upload against each of the applications

PL- Consultation- Conservation Area Committee response. Please redact
Nicola Spencer
Technical Support Manager
Planning Services

T: 01903 737945
E: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to <https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>



Our priorities...



Improving the wellbeing of Arun



Delivering the right homes in the right places



Supporting our environment to support us



Fulfilling Arun's economic potential



From: Brian Banister [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 December 2025 16:51
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Arun Conservation Area Advisory Panel comments on applications

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Hello,
The **Arun Conservation Area Advisory Panel** wish the following comments to be taken into consideration in the determination of the applications:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

LU/234/25/PL - 5 Selborne Place, Selborne Road, Littlehampton
Objection

Nos 1-6 Selborne Place were constructed in the 1860's to designs by the prominent Victorian Gothic Revival architect, William White. Nos 1 and 2 are listed grade 2; the remainder being included in the Council's Local List.

Both front and rear elevations of the buildings are important in that they are prominent in the Selborne Road and Irvine Road street scenes. The Gothic Revival detailing is an extremely important part of the heritage significance of the buildings, which make a distinctly positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

The proposed extension, which is basically a flat roofed box in form, will obliterate an important hood moulded Gothic Revival double arched window and door, and also the lower part of what is currently an attractive two storey angled bay window. It has to be questionable whether any form of extension could be accommodated successfully in this particular location.

It is accepted that there are nearby extensions of similar nature but these, for the most part, do not relate well to the host buildings and it would be wrong to take these as any precedent for the current proposal, particularly given the designated and non-designated heritage asset context.

In conclusion, is considered that the proposal by reason of its scale, form, design and siting will materially detract from the host building, and its important architectural features. As such, the proposal will also detract from the character and appearance of the conservation area and will conflict with the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF and policies contained in the ADLP relating to the protection of designated and non-designated heritage assets.

Kind regards and Happy Christmas
Brian Banister MRTPI(Rtd), GradDiplConsAA.
On behalf of ACAAP