


LU/223/114/PL



S. Freeman
84, West Way
Luton
DM17 2NU

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of 84, West Way. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66

You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



Lu/223/14/P


Mr P-Hsion

57 GREENFIELDS

WICK

LITTLE HAMPTON

BN17 7HF



To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Greenfields. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



Mr. Russell
67 Greenfields
Wick
Bn177HF

To whom it may concern,

Wick

I am a resident of 67 Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/P1



27 GREENFIELDS
WICK
LITTLEHAMPTON
BN17 7JF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



35 White Acre
WICK
T-10N.
BN17 7JA

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of White Acre. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



Daniel Oacherty
14 Elsping mead
Wick
BN17 7JE

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Elsping mead I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



Kim Wright
70 Greenfields
Wick
Littlehampton
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Wick..... I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



L4/223/14/PL



SIGATE KUSTAR
64 GREENFIELD

BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Wick I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



SHARON ROSS
62 GREENFIELDS
WICK LITTLEHAMPTON
W SUSSEX BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66

You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



24/223/14/PL



Jackie Elder
68 Greenfields
Littlehampton
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Wick I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



L4/223/14/PL



Leanne Beedows
55 Greenfields
Wick
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



24/223/14/PL



T. OAKES

35 GREENFIELDS

LtHobampton

BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS..... I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



71 GREENFIELDS WICK
LITTLEHAMPTON
WEST SUSSEX
BN17 7HT

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS WICK. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



SANDRA KRAUSEN
26 ELSPRING MEAD
WICK
LITTLEHAMPTON BN17 7JE

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of ELSPRING MEAD. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*

Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



L4/223/14/PL



PAULA CLARKE
53 GREENFIELDS
RN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS..... I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



24/273/14/PL



Duncan Burtcher U
56 Greenfields
Wick
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



L4/223/14/PL



ANTHONY CHAPMAN
51, GREENFIELDS
LITTLEHAMPTON
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of GREENFIELDS..... I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.


It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*

Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called " out door Gym " .

 being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.

Lu/223/14/PL

Sheila Clear



52 Greenfields

Littlehampton

BNI77HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Greenfields. I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



21/223/14/PL



S9. Greenfields
Wick
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Greenfields..... I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) *demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness*

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) *does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.*


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



MR-MRS C B BROCKHURST
49 GREENFIELDS
WICK
LITTLEHAMPTON
BN 17 2 HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of 49 Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL



MRS. M. BOOKER
46, GREENFIELDS
WICK L'TON
BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of 46, Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states iv) does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66

You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.


And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/PL

Mr e Mrs B Hailton
57 Greenfield
Wick
LITTLE HAMPTON



To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of 57 Greenfield I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.


Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66


You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.



LU/223/14/1



MR & MRS. C. Reddows
55 Greenfields
Wick, Littlehampton
West Sussex BN17 7HF

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of 55 Greenfields I am writing to inform you that I am in complete objection to the proposed new housing developments being built in Greenfields and Joyce Close.

I am objecting to the following proposed developments as I believe that there has not been enough consultation with the residents or with the local surrounding area.

I also object on the grounds that any development will have an adverse impact on the residential area by overlooking, a loss of privacy and possible over shadowing. I am of the opinion that this is already a high density estate and that by building more houses, it will cause an unacceptable high density estate, let alone a completely different visual impact of new to old housing. I also believe that if you take the visual impact into consideration then mixing new with old will have an effect of the development especially on the character of the neighbourhood.

After looking at the plans and scrutinising them further I also believe that this proposed application is bulk planning in design and is over bearing and out of scale & character in terms of its appearance, compared with the existing houses within the estate.

I am also of the opinion that you have not taken into consideration the impact of the current parking issues let alone how the rescue services are meant to reach the new proposed dwellings?

GEN7 states that (ii) demonstrates that it responds positively to the identified characteristics and resources of the site and the area to create attractive places and spaces with the needs of people in mind and respects and enhances local distinctiveness

The development you are proposing does not adhere to that statement.

It also states (iv) does not have an unacceptable adverse impact on adjoining occupiers, land, uses or property and, where relevant, facilitates the development of adjoining sites; (vi) retains significant open or wooded areas which, in their own right, make a material contribution to the local environment; (vii) allows for the safe movement of pedestrians and vehicles, giving priority to pedestrians.

Again the development you are proposing does not adhere to any of those statements!

And last but not least you should read Gen 20 1.66

You are contradicting yourself here. As it states it is important to provide sufficient open space, but in actual fact you are taking this away and overpopulating it.

And unless you give traffic calming measures or crossing facilities you are going to put children's lives at risk as they will have to cross a dangerous road to use the so called "out door Gym".

As stated above I object to council houses being built in car parks and greenspaces in Wick.

