

Bronte Welham

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 16 August 2017 10:31
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: LU/177/17/DOC - Condition 10

From: Anita Gardner
Sent: 14 August 2017 09:51
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: LU/177/17/DOC - Condition 10

Please treat as a consultee response

Anita Gardner | Principal Planner, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Fiona Fitzgerald
Sent: 01 August 2017 12:03
To: Anita Gardner
Cc: Anna Appleton; Juan Baeza
Subject: LU/177/17/DOC - Condition 10

Hi Anita,

In Anna's absence, I am responding to this application for DOC. I note the applicants response dated 24th July 2017.

1. The first part of condition 10 states "**Details, including acoustic specifications, of all fixed plant, machinery and equipment associated with air moving equipment** (including fans, ducting and external openings), compressors, generators or plant or equipment of a like kind, installed within the site which has the potential to cause noise disturbance to any noise sensitive receivers, **shall be submitted to and approved by** the local planning authority before installation."

Whilst I appreciate that the acoustic report from PACE Consult Ltd details what type of equipment **should** be put on site to achieve the required noise levels, it does not state what **will** be on site. The applicant has responded on 24th July stating that the manufacturer/supplier should provide the relevant attenuators. Therefore I would be grateful if the applicant could submit details of all the relevant plant which **will** be installed, and confirm whether this is consistent with the acoustic report, in order that we might make the assessment in line with condition 10.

2. I note that the assessment was done at night time using a worst case scenario of all plant items working at one time.
3. Please could the applicant advise what they intend to do to address the point made at the end of page 12 relating to removable panels which states "The required TL dB are extremely high and it will be extremely

difficult to achieve the required attenuation by an acoustic louvre. Alternative louvre locations or acoustic treatment of the boiler and CHP casing should be sought.”

4. In point 3.2.2 the last paragraph states “the required noise attenuation is very high to be provided by an acoustic louvre, therefore the required attenuation should be provided by acoustic silencers”. Please could the applicant address this point.

Until the requested information has been received, I would recommend that this condition is **not** discharged due to **insufficient information**.

With respect to Condition 15, I will respond on this point in due course.

Regards

Fiona