

Anita Gardner

From: Carolyn Nysingh on behalf of Planning
Sent: 14 March 2012 09:35
To: Anita Gardner
Subject: FW: Littlehampton - Soakaway Tests

Attachments: Trial Pit S4 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Site Plan.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S1 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S1 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S1 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S2 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S2 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S2 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S3 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S3 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S3 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S4 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Trial Pit S4 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf.zip; Soakage test - trial pit2.pdf.zip; Soakage test - trial pit1.pdf.zip; 23561L05.pdf.zip

Sent: 13 March 2012 14:08
To: Planning
Cc: Steve Wood; Chris Jeffries
Subject: Fw: FW: Littlehampton - Soakaway Tests

REFERENCE EML-OUT/P03124/K/63
For the attention of Anita Gardner

Anita,

I refer to your recent e-mail concerning the development on land tot he south of Hearnfield Road, Littlehampton.

I have now received the additional testing results that you requested and I attach them to this e-mail. They have been carried out in accordance with advice from your drainage engineers and I trust that the drainage condition can now be discharged. Please could you confirm receipt of the information.

Kind regards,

David Stewart
Partner
Lee Evans Planning

An award winning practice:



2010 WINNER
Equality and Diversity



2009 WINNER
RIBA Downland Prize
Community

This email and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to who they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify us and you must neither take action based upon it nor disclose its contents. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

Save paper and energy - please only print this email if necessary.

-----Original Message-----START

Forwarded by David Stewart [David.stewart@lee-evans.co.uk] on Tue 13th Mar 2012

[REDACTED]
Sent: 13th Mar 2012 at 13:57 (GMT+00:00)

Received: 13th Mar 2012 at 14:00 (GMT+00:00)
[REDACTED]

Attachments: Trial Pit S4 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf; Site Plan.pdf; Trial Pit S1 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S1 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S1 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S2 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S2 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S2 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S3 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S3 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S3 Test3 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S4 Test1 to BRE 365.pdf; Trial Pit S4 Test2 to BRE 365.pdf; Soakage test - trial pit2.pdf; Soakage test - trial pit1.pdf; 23561L05.pdf;

Importance: Normal

David,

Further to the email you had from the planner the other day advising us we had to submit the addendum soakaway

Testing results within 10 days or they would take action.

This additional testing has now been completed and before we commenced this additional work we agreed with Mke

Burford of Arun DC what he wanted.

As the additional work proved that the infiltration rate used within Tribach Associates design calculations was less than

the actual infiltration rate of the soils, I would hope that approval of this condition will now just be a formality.

Perhaps on receipt of this email, you can now forward this information to the planner and advise them that a copy has

Already been sent to Mike Burford.

Many thanks,

CHRIS

[REDACTED]
Sent: 12 March 2012 20:10

[REDACTED]
Cc: Chris Jellery, TAssociate@aol.com

Subject: Littlehampton - Soakaway Tests

Mike,

Further to your email of 24 Jan 2012, please find enclosed the soakaway results from the above site. Apologies for the delay.

There are a couple of tests where due to some slight instability, the tests had to be calculated between 75% and 30% (instead of 25%) but overall it is considered that the results indicate that a soil infiltration rate of 1.5 x 10-5m/s may be used in the design calculations.

We trust this is sufficient for your immediate needs, however if there are any queries please do not hesitate to

contact myself.

Regards

Director - Geosciences

RSK

18 Frogmore Road, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP3 9RT, UK

RSK Environment Ltd is registered in Scotland at 34 Albyn Place, Aberdeen, Aberdeenshire, AB10 1FW, UK

Registered number: 115530

This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version.

Before printing think about your responsibility and commitment to the **ENVIRONMENT!**

Scanned by **MailMarshal** - M86 Security's comprehensive email content security solution. Download a free evaluation of MailMarshal at www.m86security.com

-----Original Message-----END
