

neil winter
49 Stanley Road, Wick, West Sussex

BN17 6JF

Your Ref: OWPC3643
Our Ref: LU/150/14/PL 11-05-2014
Please ask for:
Mrs A Gardner

3rd September 2014

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010 (as amended)

Proposed removal of existing dwelling & erection of a terrace of 3 No. 2 bed houses with parking.

57 Stanley Road Littlehampton

Thank you for your internet representation made on 2nd September 2014 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Dear Sirs,

I must raise my serious concerns about the proposed development LU/150/14/PL at 57 Stanley Road, Wick and wish to formally object to this proposal and request it be rejected.

My grounds for objection are; the effect of the proposed build on the road if completed and the demolition/construction processes.

Stanley Road, Wick is a quiet residential road home to a variety of families including young couples just starting out in life, large families with children and retired persons up to the age of 90 years old.

Stanley Road is a narrow road unlike more modern roads which have been built to accommodate higher levels of traffic and larger vehicles. 57 Stanley Road is situated in the oldest part of the road which was constructed in 1935/6 and the house is situated on a 90 degree blind bend.

The road already has major parking issues due to the lack of offroad parking, most homes have more than one car and some even three which already put excessive strain on the small amount of roadside parking available. One allocated onsite parking space for the proposed additional dwellings is inadequate; three new homes with two bedrooms each could easily present Stanley Road with upwards of six new occupants. Should they each bring a vehicle with them then this will only exacerbate the current situation and no doubt lead to neighbour tensions, of which there are none at present.

I do not believe that the new proposal is in keeping with the area, as previously mentioned the surrounding houses were built in 1935/6 and although I note the developer is attempting to provide a pebble dash finish and similar construction, this does not take away from the fact that the new proposal is for small 2 bedroom terraces, not large 3-4 bedroom semi detached/detached family homes.

As a shift worker, I sleep during the day when I've been working at night; this proposed build will have a direct impact on my health if my sleep is repeatedly disturbed.

The demolition and construction of the proposal will cause excessive disruption and interference to the immediate homes and occupants and is wholly unnecessary; there is no reason to demolish the current dwelling. It has already been extended and with the large rear garden, further extensions must be possible that will not affect the community as the proposal will.

The construction brings with it the obvious issues of large lorries, loud disruptive equipment coming and going at all times of the day causing dirt, dust and noise pollution. However, 57 Stanley Road has a specific and unusual problem that will be compounded by the above mentioned issues and that is the fact that it is situated on a 90 degree blind bend with only a single vehicle width access to the plot.

Any large commercial vehicles visiting the plot will not be able to access it; therefore will be forced onto the road.

Over the years I have lived in Stanley Road there have been a number of near miss collisions on the blind bend involving cars and motorcycles. From my observations they occur purely because drivers cannot see oncoming traffic due to the tightness of the bend. So when the additional construction vehicles are parked on the roadside, oncoming traffic has no hope. This is dangerous; dangerous to road users, dangerous to pedestrians and dangerous to any worker in and around the construction vehicles.

*I urge you to uphold my and the local communities objection and reject the proposal.
Thank you for reading.*

Your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

Please be aware that if the application is to be determined by the Council's Development Control committee and your letter has been received at a late stage after the Agenda has been published you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the Council's website or telephone the Council for further information. Please be advised that any comments you make that are not included within the Committee Agenda will be reported to the Members of the Development Control committee. Please also be aware that only a small number of all planning applications received go the Development Control Committee. Most are determined under delegated powers by Officers in which case your comments will again be considered before the application is determined.

Please be aware that Planning Services operate an 'open file' policy and will publish details of your comments on the internet on the Arun District Council Planning section. Please ensure that you only provide information that you are happy will be made available in this way. If you supply information belonging to a third party, please ensure you have their permission to do so. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the 'open file' please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed although this means that its contents will then be disregarded.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Karl Roberts
Assistant Director Planning & Economic Regeneration