

Mr Linda Seggie
2 Cyprus villas
River Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN18 5BY

Your Ref: OWPC23195
Our Ref: LU/126/19/PL 16-04-2019
Please ask for:
Mrs A Gardner

28th May 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Demolition of the existing garage building (B2 industrial use) and redevelopment of the site providing 9no C3 residential units - This application affects the character and appearance of River Road Conservation Area.

25 River Road Littlehampton

Thank you for your internet representation made on 27th May 2019 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Mrs Gardener

I wish to lodge my objection to the development listed for planning consent covering 25 River Road and the frontage of Terminus Place

*1. The overall plans is to build 9 flats comprising 1 and two bed accommodation
These are projected to house 2 persons in the 1 bed room flats and 4 persons in the 2 bed bedroom flats.*

A total of an additional 28 residents in the development with the possibility of 28 vehicles to be parked

2. The river road frontage no 25 - The space between my property 2 Cyprus Villas and no 27 River Road - The plans in principle of size and space are in keeping with my property and the 27 River Road

2a. I do have objections on the grounds of number of parking spaces provided - 3 in number - for a total of 6 occupants

2b. This will also remove 1 on road parking space spaces

3. Terminus Road frontage - I object to due to the following

3a The height of the development is over 1 third higher than existing properties

3b It will have an impact on my privacy due to my secluded garden building see pic 2

3c - ref 9.38 of Planning Statement submitted by Hall Homes

There is significant impact to properties in the block north of the development- light

3d ref 9.39 - mentions their own development not having living accommodation on the ground floor due to light issues

3e. ref 9.40 - I refute this statement as the design of the windows

3f ref 9.41 I refute this statement due to the above and those to follow - cars and noise

3g ref 9.44 amenity space -

3h ref 9.45 Alleyway - currently my property is secure on the West Side due to the existing building. The introduction of an alleyway raises potential security risk / access to my property

3i. in conjunction with 9.45 -

what is the height of the wall going to be?

3j. 9.47 - I refute this statement - It does not provide individual parking spaces for all units

3k. The development of the Social club in Terminus Road, whereby no parking was

provided as believed not required and the local car parks were sufficient This and the new development would put a significant strain on the already overburdened street parking

3l. The development of Mariners Quay and Fishermans Quay - as part of the planning - the developer was to provide two parking spaces per dwelling - which I believe they have by utilising space one in front of the other in the allocated driveways - the resident however do not stick to this and currently use the on street parking for their second vehicles - putting strain on the local OS parking spaces parking permits

3n. 9.49 I refute this statement as it does not

3o. 9.50 I refute this statement - The garage has not been in operation for upwards 24 months

3p. 9.51 I suggest that this also be used to deny the application as the impact of the cumulative developments, Terminus Road, Mariners Quay, Fishermans Quay and this one have had a significant impact in the local on street and off street parking

3q. 9.52 I refute this statement for the same reasons stated at 30. 9.50 as it also is a repeat

The pictures have not copied in so a hard copy will be handed in ADC offices

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning