

Hannah Riddle

From: Daun Johnson
Sent: 01 March 2022 07:24
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning Application Ref: K/2/22/HH - 43 Golden Avenue : OWPC 44627 - Objection

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Daun Johnson
Technical Support Unit Assistant,
Planning Services

T: 01903 737762 Ext 37762
E: Daun.Johnson@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: L and R Westcott [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 February 2022 16:34
To: Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Fw: Planning Application Ref: K/2/22/HH - 43 Golden Avenue : OWPC 44627 - Objection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear sirs,

Could you please deal with the contents of my Email below which was sent to your colleague Harry Chalk - I understand he is on leave until February 28th.

I have requested that he amend his online letter in response to my comments about the above planning application and to confirm our **objection** to the proposals.

Could you please acknowledge and confirm that his letter has been corrected.

Thank you,

Regards

Richard Westcott

From: L and R Westcott

Sent: 27 February 2022 09:48

To: Harry Chalk <harry.chalk@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Application Ref: K/2/22/HH - 43 Golden Avenue : OWPC 44627 - Objection

Dear Harry,

With reference to your recent response to my letter and comments in regard to the above, you confirmed that I had “no objection” to current scheme – this is incorrect, I registered my objection on your on-line portal.

Please amend my registered **objection in your letter published on -line.**

The last paragraph of my letter confirmed that I had no objection for my neighbour extending and improving his property.

I should have expanded my comment by saying that my neighbour’s designer should follow your planning design guide for domestic extensions - pages 114 and 115 and to resubmit a revised scheme for consideration and approval.

The last paragraph of my letter, confirmed that the proposed scheme should be revisited and a more considered design should be provided.

From my neighbour’s planning application for the section on parking, this was incorrectly filled in and he confirmed that the proposed works will not affect the existing parking arrangements on the property.

The drawings show that the new extensions and the entrance to the front of the property will be built over the current car spaces and garage. Drawings do not include for a parking area for six cars.

Kind Regards

Richard Westcott

Sent from Mail for Windows