

[REDACTED]

From: Julie Hopes [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2019 15:16
To: Peter [REDACTED] Zac Denton <Zac.Denton@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Little Tanglely Middle Way Kingston Gorse BN16 1SB

Dear Mr Denton,

Mr Robinson has copied me on this email as I live at The Poynings.

I completely agree with the points that he has made. Consequently, I am equally surprised at the comments made by Mr King.

I can also confirm that Mr Martin did ask Phil and I if we were happy with the revised plans. We were very clear that we (and Clova) did have objections because the revised plans did not reflect the conversation we had with Mr King.

We would also welcome a visit from Arun Planning Department to see the scale of the proposed extension. We note that the current design would appear to contravene your regulations for an extension based on our own planning application in 2018.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully,

Julie and Phil Hopes
[REDACTED]

On Thursday, August 8, 2019, 7:00 am, Peter [REDACTED] wrote:

We live at Clova, the property immediately to the west of Little Tangley. We have submitted an objection to aspects of the proposed rebuilding of the dormer bungalow as a two-storey house.

I now note that among the submissions on the Arun website in respect of this application is a letter dated August 1 2019 to you from the architect Adam King of ECE Architecture. Mr King expresses surprise at the objections and states "We had understood that they [the neighbours] were happy with the revised design".

He correctly states that both we and Mr and Mrs Hopes (the owners of Poynings, the property to the east of Little Tangley) had been consulted by him following an original proposed plan and we had both raised a number of objections. These were partially addressed in the revised plans which were formally submitted for your consideration

(without any further consultation with us or Mr and Mrs Hopes).

But there has been no communication between either Mr King or Mr Martin with us since the new plans were submitted to Arun, and there is no basis on which they could have concluded that we were "happy" with the revised plans. We know that Mr and Mrs Hopes have had a conversation with Mr Martin since the plans were submitted and they made him aware that both they and we would have objections.

I would like to repeat the request made in our letter of objection that you or one of your Planning department colleagues undertake a visit to Clova and Poynings in order to fully acquaint yourself with the issues we have respectively raised.

Kind regards

Peter and Santosh Robinson