

Engineers Comments Regarding Surface Water Drainage

Application Reference:	F/22/26/DOC	Reviewer Reference:	ADC/EXT4b
Planning Officer:	David Easton	Date of Review:	13/04/2026
Site Name:	Land at Ford Airfield Ford		
Application Description:	Approval of details reserved by condition under F/4/20/OUT relating to conditions 28- Proposed foul drainage system, 29- Proposed surface water drainage scheme, 30- Discharges to watercourses and 31- Maintenance & management of surface water drainage system.		
Assessment Number:	1 of 2		

Policy and Guidance Information	
Arun District Council Surface Water Drainage Guidance (including design checklists) - https://www.arun.gov.uk/surfacewater Land Drainage Consent – https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/fire-emergencies-and-crime/dealing-with-extreme-weather/flooding/flood-risk-management/ordinary-watercourse-land-drainage-consent/ Arun District Council Land Drainage Byelaws - https://www.arun.gov.uk/byelaws/ Arun District Council surface water pre-commencement conditions - https://www.arun.gov.uk/planning-pre-commencement-conditions The National Standards for SuDS - https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-standards-for-sustainable-drainage-systems/national-standards-for-sustainable-drainage-systems-suds The SuDs Manual [C753] by CIRIA	

Response	Condition 29: Objection Condition 30: Further information required Condition 31: Objection
-----------------	--

References
The NPPF states that when determining any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere (paragraph 181, 182 and 187e). The PPG guides local planning authorities to refer to 'Sustainable drainage systems: non-statutory technical standards' [NsTS] and detailed industry guidance like The SuDS Manual [C753] by CIRIA to guide decisions about the design, maintenance, and operation of sustainable drainage systems for non-major development. The NsTS have been superseded by the National Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems [NSfS] from 19 June 2025. This consultation has been primarily informed by the NSfS and The SuDS Manual.

Summary

This summary highlights if critical items aligning with each of the standards have been met. Critical items are highlighted in **bold** on our surface water drainage design checklist (linked above). A failure to address these will result in an **objection** to any application to discharge a surface water drainage design condition.

If any of these items are inadequately addressed by the submission, then their correction may result in a redesign of the surface water drainage scheme. A redesign is likely to have site wide implications such as the potential for storage structures to increase in volume or plan area, or the provision of other important infrastructure to satisfy the NSfS set out below.

All relevant items on the checklist are expected to be met before an application to discharge an application to discharge a SuDS design condition is supported.

Our response and requirements are elaborated upon or condensed within an attached comment tracker where necessary. If a comment tracker is provided a designer is encouraged to refer to this and respond to comments to aid further review. Please request a .docx version of this document to by email to land.drainage@arun.gov.uk if needed.

Standard	Assessment	Response
1. Runoff destination	Compliant	No objection
2. Interception drainage	Insufficient	Objection
3. Extreme Rainfall and Flooding	Insufficient	Objection
4. Water Quality	Insufficient	Objection
5. Amenity	Insufficient – Health and safety	Objection
6. Biodiversity	Assumed Compliant – to be assessed by others.	No objection
7. Construction, operation, maintenance, decommissioning and structural integrity	Insufficient	Objection

Reviewed Plans

The following documents have been submitted and reviewed to inform this consultation with reference to surface water drainage:

- Surface Finishes and Kerbing Plan Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C, uploaded in 14 parts via the public planning portal
- Surface Water Drainage Longsections Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C, uploaded in 4 parts via public planning portal
- Infiltration Constraints (RM1 and Northern IRM) Drg No. 2205773-1955 Rev A
- RM - Drainage Strategy, uploaded in 7 parts via public planning portal
- Pre-development drainage catchments plan. Drg no. 2205771-250.
- Infiltration constraints (RM1 and Northern IRM) Drg no. 2205773-1955 Rev A.
- Drainage Layout Sheet, uploaded in 12 parts via public planning portal
- Section 104 Rising main 3 long section - Sheet 1. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1244 Rev P6

- Section 104 Rising main 3 long section - Sheet 2. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1245 Rev P6
- Section 104 Rising main 4 long section Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1247 Rev P5
- Foul drainage schedule. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1290 Rev P6
- Surface water drainage schedule Sheet 1. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1291 Rev P5, uploaded in 2 parts via public planning portal
- Section 104 Rising main 1 long section. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1241 Rev P5
- Section 104 Rising main 2 long section - Sheet 1. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1242 Rev P6
- Section 104 Rising main 2 long section - Sheet 2. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-000-00-DR-C-1243 Rev P5
- Pump station general arrangement Pump Station 1. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-XX-XX-DR-C-1231 Rev P5, uploaded in 4 parts via public planning portal
- Air valve and washout chamber general arrangement. Drg no. 2205773-ACE-XX-XX-DR-C-1235 Rev P3
- Composite landscape masterplan RM1, RM4 and RM Infrastructure. Drg no. TOR-RMIN-XX-DR-L-P-013 Rev H
- Topographical and PAS 128:2022 Utility Survey. August 2023 Rev 1. Sheet 1-18, uploaded in 5 parts via public planning portal
- Drainage response- soakage calculation.
- Southern Water Sewer Requisition letter 22.01.2025
- Sustainable Drainage Scheme (SuDS) Desktop Safety Review. Version: Final. 14 January 2026
- SuDS Management & Maintenance Plan. Report Ref 2205771. February 2026
- Geo-environmental and geotechnical site assessment. November 2018. Part 1-3
- Infiltration Testing. April 2020. Part 1-3
- Winter Groundwater Monitoring. May 2024. Part 1-7
- Infiltration Factual Report- Soakage Testing. December 2024. Part 1-2
- SuDS Management & Maintenance Plan. Ref 2205771. August 2024
- Discharge of Condition 28-31- Infrastructure and Enabling Works. Report ref 2205773-R03. March 2026
- Appendix A1- Uplift Calculations. 26.02.2026
- Infrastructure Reserved Matters (IRM) Drainage Strategy Report. Report Ref. 2205771-R16-F. March 2026.
- Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy. Ref. C85228 / R001E Dated 14.02.2020. Part 1-12
- Ardent Consulting Engineers response to WSCC pre-app comments. Letter dated 22.08.2024
- Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy Technical Note- Updated Drainage Strategy. Dated 10.02.2021. Part 13-32
- Surface Water Discharge Points
- Designers Response to Engineering Comments 28.02.2025
- Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites
- North Net Calcs 24.07.2025
- South Net 1 Calcs 2, South Net 2 Calcs 2
- Northern V2 - IRM Update. 24.07.2025
- Southern V5 - IRM Update. 24.07.2025, 2 parts uploaded via public planning portal
- Designers Response to Engineering Comments. 30.10.2026
- DETERMINATION OF SOIL INFILTRATION RATE IN ACCORDANCE WITH BRE DIGEST 365
- Design Code
- Email with additional drawings 23.03.2026

Plans/Documents to be listed as approved

Not applicable

Condition wording

Condition 29 is worded as follows:

"Prior to the commencement of each phase of development (excluding demolition, site survey and site investigation works) as identified within the Phasing Strategy under Condition 5 full details of the proposed surface water drainage scheme for that phase, in accordance with the principles set out in the Drainage Technical Note (ref: C85228-JNP-92-XX-RP-C-1004) dated 9 July 2021 and the requirements of the Design Code secured under Condition 7, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The design should follow the hierarchy of preference for different types of surface water drainage disposal systems as set out in Approved Document H of the Building Regulations, and the recommendations of the SuDS Manual produced by CIRIA. Winter groundwater monitoring to establish highest annual ground water levels and winter Percolation testing to BRE 365, or similar approved, will be required to support the design of any Infiltration drainage. No building shall be occupied until the complete surface water drainage system serving the property has been implemented in accordance with the agreed details and the details so agreed shall be maintained in good working order in perpetuity.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is satisfactorily drained in accordance with Policies W SP1, W DM1, W DM2 and W DM3 of the Arun Local Plan 2011-2031. This is required to be a pre-commencement condition because it is necessary to implement the surface water drainage system prior to commencing any building works."

Condition 30 is worded as follows:

"Development shall not proceed on any phase of development as identified within the Phasing Strategy under Condition 5, until details have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority for any proposals: to discharge flows to watercourses; or for the culverting, diversion, infilling or obstruction of any watercourse on or adjacent to the site. Any discharge to a watercourse must be at a rate no greater than the pre-development run-off values and in accordance with current policies. No construction is permitted, which will restrict current and future landowners from undertaking their riparian maintenance responsibilities in respect to any watercourse or culvert on or adjacent to the site.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is satisfactorily drained in accordance with policies W DM1, W DM2 and W DM3 of the Arun Local Plan. And to ensure that the duties and responsibilities, as required under the Land Drainage Act 1991, and amended by the Flood and Water Management Act 2010, can be fulfilled without additional impediment following the development completion. It is considered necessary for this to be a pre-commencement condition to protect existing watercourses prior to the construction commencing."

Condition 31 is worded as follows:

"Development shall not proceed on any phase of development as identified within the Phasing Strategy under Condition 5, until full details of the maintenance and management of the surface water drainage system is set out in a site-specific maintenance manual and submitted to, and approved in writing, by the Local Planning Authority. The manual is to include details of financial management and arrangements for the replacement of major components at the end of the manufacturer's recommended design life. Upon

completed construction of the surface water drainage system, the owner or management company shall strictly adhere to and implement the recommendations contained within the manual.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is satisfactorily drained in accordance with Policies W DM1, W DM2 and W DM3 of the Arun Local Plan. It is considered necessary for this to be a pre-commencement condition to ensure that the future maintenance and funding arrangements for the surface water disposal scheme are agreed before construction commences."

Consultation comments to the planning officer

1. Please see the attached comment tracker for detailed comments relating to the submission.
2. This application forms part of the wider strategic Ford Airfield site. The scale and layout of the basins have been approved via the reserved matters planning application F/14/25/RES. The basins are designed to receive surface water runoff from the wider site including parcels who's scale and layout have not been approved yet.
3. Each parcel DOC application relating to condition 29 will be assessed on it's own merit building on any previous approvals. This means that the applicant will have to ensure that there is sufficient storage capacity in the system for subsequent parcels. The total spare volume reducing with each application. In addition, it is expected that the discharge rate will change as the wider site is constructed to ensure that flood risk is not increased in the early stages of construction.
4. It is suggested that a short living document recording the use of surface water storage and the changing discharge rates is agreed and kept by both the LPA and the applicant to avoid confusion and abortive work in later applications.
5. Notwithstanding this advice, this application does not contain sufficient detail regarding the surface water drainage of the enabling works and IRM to demonstrate that flood risk will not be increased by the design or that adequate water treatment is provided. As such an objection is lodged. Please see the comment tracker appended to this consultation for further technical comment. This should be shared with the designer and they should be encouraged to respond to it.
6. For any further submissions it is requested that the labelling on the portal aligns with the appendix descriptions in the cover letter to aid review.

Drainage Impact on Other Planning Matters

This application has been assessed with regards to surface water drainage design only, together with land drainage aspects if deemed necessary.

Other planning matters occasionally effect the surface water drainage design. If plans relating to other matters have been assessed for their impact on the proposed drainage, then it must not be assumed that they have been assessed for any other purpose. The planning officer is advised to check for conflicts with any existing approved plans and to consult any relevant consultees as appropriate.

It has been identified that the following consultees may have comments about the plans that have been submitted and reviewed for this application:

- ☐ Landscaping officer (proposed trees and landscaping)
- ☐ Tree officer (existing trees)
- ☐ Environment Agency (main rivers and fluvial/tidal flood risk, River Arun internal drainage board, groundwater source protection zones)
- ☐ Southern Water (foul drainage and surface water disposal to public sewer network/groundwater source protection zones)
- ☐ Portsmouth Water (groundwater source protection zones)
- ☒ **Lead local flood authority (all other sources of flooding and ordinary watercourses)**
- ☐ Other: Specify
- ☐ None

Application Reference: F/22/26/DOC Site Name: Land at Ford Airfield Ford	Initial Issue Date: 13/04/2026 Issue Date: 13/04/2026 Reviewer Reference:
---	---

Summary and Recommendation:

Condition 29: **Objection**

Condition 30: **Further information required**

Condition 31: **Objection**

Please note: Any DOC application should only have a maximum of two consultation responses. If this is the second response for an open application and there are still comments outstanding, then please object to the application.

	ADC Drainage Comments	Designer Response			
Date:	13/04/2026				
Condition Number: 29 – Full detailed SuDS design					
Comment Number					
1.	It is accepted that infiltration is not viable for runoff disposal of <u>extreme events</u> due to infiltration rates which would not meet half drain requirements in the north of the site, and high groundwater in the south.				
2.	It is accepted that water reuse is not practicable for the IRM and enabling works parcels which are the subject of this application. However, the designer is reminded that smart water butts will be expected for the residential parcels and water				

	reuse (rainwater harvesting) should be maximised for the commercial and industrial applications on the wider site (in accordance with the PPG).				
3.	Infiltration can still be relied upon for interception drainage (where groundwater levels allow) in parts of the north of the site.				
4.	The water treatment assessment is inadequate. It mis-classifies the basins as bio-retention areas and does not use the worst-case treatment train in the north where there are parts of the system which only pass through one basin. Please adjust and include additional water treatment as appropriate.				
5.	There is ground raising in excess of 500mm over a significant area of the site. Please submit evidence that this will have no negative hydrological impact.				
6.	Please submit evidence that the LLFA (as the risk management authority for				

	the ordinary watercourses) agree to the proposed flow parameters (discharge rates and volumes).				
7.	Permission for the connection to Northwood Farm is specific to the Yapton Road roundabout installations. There is also additional area beyond what was shown in the Drainage Technical Note (ref: C85228-JNP-92-XX-RP-C-1004) dated 9 July 2021 draining to this watercourse. Please demonstrate that this additional area will not increase flood risk and the updated flow volume has been agreed.				
8.	Please submit calculations and contributing area plans specific to the enabling works and IRM. It is advised that a separate set of calculations are also included with the addition of the assumed contributing areas from later parcels. It is understood that the basins will serve the wider site and the calculations				

	need to account for this, however, it is necessary to determine that the discharge rate is appropriate for each phase of development to ensure that flood risk is not being increased. The contributing area plans should align with the calculations, both for the detailed contributing areas for the submitted IRM and enabling works phases and the assumed contributing areas. Please ensure that the contributing areas of the basins are accounted for.				
9.	Swales with underdrains have very shallow gradients. Please submit evidence that the adopting body have reviewed these and approve them.				
10.	The distance between inspection chambers on swales with underdrains is >100m in places. Please demonstrate how these lengths will be inspected and maintained.				

11.	The interception assessment is insufficient. Please demonstrate that the contributing area which is applied for achieves sufficient interception drainage. Please see our advice at Interception drainage Arun District Council for more information regarding the level of detail that is required. As stated in comment 3 infiltration may still be allowed for interception in parts of the site where groundwater levels are lower.				
12.	There is an area of surface water flood risk at the Ford Lane roundabout, the designer's statement to accompany this application states that this is accounted for in the calculations. However it is unclear what volume of additional surface water is added to the system here or how it is accounted for. Has the catchment been modelled. There should be a clear explanation within the statement that aligns with the				

	submitted (updated) calculations. It is noted that there are additional areas of surface water flood risk elsewhere on the site. Some of these are likely to accept surface water from outside the redline boundary. When these areas are included in future applications it will be expected that the designer demonstrates how the surface water entering the site from elsewhere is managed. If it is drained within the onsite SUDS then hydraulic modelling is likely to be required.				
13.	Please detail the interaction between proposed trees and lined swales. Where root barriers or tree pits are proposed please indicate their locations on the drainage layouts.				
14.	Where there is a risk of groundwater ingress to basin liners they shall be integrity tested after installation. Integrity testing to be specified on the construction detail drawings and included				

	for within the CMP when submitted for the IRM phase.				
15.	Please provide further explanation of how uplift force is accounted for in the buoyancy calculations. The hydraulic conductivity of the clay should be based on site specific testing, not BS 8004. Please also submit written confirmation from pozidrain stating that they have reviewed the calculations and design and agree that the these are appropriate for their system and that it will perform the function it is designed for (to relieve uplift forces on the basins).				
16.	Please submit the FEH22 point descriptors for the site confidentially to land.drainage@arun.gov.uk. These will be checked to ensure that the correct inputs for the greenfield runoff calculations have been used.				
17.	Please amend the CV value to 1 for the design settings as well as the simulation settings.				

18.	Please justify the additional storage within the networks that have been modelled (35m ³ /ha).				
19.	Please include a climate change allowance on the 1 in 30 year calcs on resubmission.				
20.	The calculations incorrectly state that the invert levels and depths of several of the flow through pond structures for northern and south basins.				
21.	Please submit the link design settings.				
22.	The site sections do not correspond with the drainage layout ('interception areas' are shown as 100mm deep on drainage layout and 300mm deep on site sections.				
23.	Please check design heads on hydrobrakes – on 289 the depth on the 1 in 100 year + CCA event exceeds the design depth.				

24.	Please submit surcharged outfall settings with the revised calculations.				
25.	There appear to be manholes missing from the surface water drainage schedules. Please submit schedules for all manholes.				
26.	The hydrobrake dimension table is useful, please also include the invert and cover levels plus incoming and outgoing pipe diameters on this.				
27.	Please submit a construction detail for all headwalls. Where headwalls are of a similar design an accompanying table may be used to inform the specific details for each one.				
28.	The RoSPA Desktop safety review quotes depths that do not reflect those shown in the submitted calculations and plans. Please get the report adjusted to suit the updated modelling. (For example, north basin 1 is quoted as being 2.1m in overall depth and a				

	maximum water level of 1.8m deep. The modelling shows a maximum water level of 2.14m excluding the permanent water level of 0.3m which is not accounted for in the storage calculations. This results in a maximum water depth of 2.44m and the maximum depth of the basin being 2.8m as shown by the site sections. The report also refers to the need for PRE when water levels are regularly 900mm or deeper, the most likely storm that has been modelled is the 50% AEP (1 in 2 year) event and this shows water depths of >900mm excluding the permanent water depths in both northern basins).				
29.	Please submit detailed plans for the basins, including setting out plans (it is critical that they are constructed with the correct volume of storage). Please also include all measures recommended in the (updated) RoSPA report on the construction details				

	and/or detailed plans (as appropriate). The RoSPA report refers to the use of gabions – these are not shown on any of the submitted construction details.				
30.	Please submit all relevant approved drainage plans relating to the S278/38 enabling works (not just the SW GAs).				
Condition Number: 30 - Watercourses					
Comment Number					
1.	All outfalls from the site require new connections to what appear to be culverted watercourses. Please provide confirmation of what consents are necessary (from the relevant consenting authorities) and where applicable, plans that align with those consents (OWC and land drainage byelaw consent).				
Condition Number: 31 – Maintenance and management					
Comment Number					
1.	Please include a written summary of the surface				

	water drainage of the site and how it functions. This should be written in plain english intended to be read by future occupiers and the persons responsible for maintenance.				
2.	It is understood that this will be a living document that will be updated for the subsequent phases of the development. The designer should consider how this is presented. For example, with an overarching summary and then broken down for the separate phases or by responsibility (a section for the highways authority, for the landowner or adopting body, for private owners).				
3.	The documents refer to designer's risk assessments, these should be appended to the maintenance and management plan – they will not be assessed as part of the planning process.				
4.	Please detail potential performance risks for components are described.				


	This should include a description of the likely impacts of malfunction to aid fault finding. For example – blocked hydrobrake, or hydrobrake bypass left open. Consider all components.				
5.	Detail the implications of lack of maintenance or incorrect maintenance in the overarching summary.				
6.	The approved drainage layouts and construction detail drawings shall be appended to the document.				
7.	Please submit a maintenance responsibility plan(s) so that it is clear who is responsible for what drainage infrastructure on the site.				
8.	The responsibility plan may be combined with or separated from a maintenance access plan showing how SuDS features are accessed for maintenance purposes, including occasional access				

	by excavators for silt removal.				
9.	Linked to comment 2 and 7, where drainage is to be adopted the adopting body and their standards should be referenced.				
10.	Please offer an explanation within the document of how maintenance including for the replacement of any features at the end of their design life will be funded and by whom.				
11.	Please stipulate that there will be a pre-handover inspection (as necessary or applicable, per phase, per completion, or prior to handover to management company or adopting body?)				
12.	It is suggested that an inspection checklist is created and appended to ensure consistent inspection.				
13.	Linked to comments 2, 7 and 9 – please list who should be contacted if the surface water drainage system is not functioning correctly.				

14.	How will green waste and organic sediments arising from maintenance be disposed of? Include in document.				
15.	The detention basin table cross-references wetlands without providing that reference elsewhere in the document. Please ensure that the document is site-specific, include the relevant details from the wetland table in this.				
16.	Demonstrate that the maintenance regime considers amenity and biodiversity and the evolving landscape through the lifetime of the development.				
17.	Are there any prohibited maintenance activities or practices? If so highlight them in the document.				
18.	Please include an action plan for dealing with accidental spillages of pollutants – also consider where or when this may be more likely.				
19.	Stipulate a periodic review of the maintenance of the site				

	with the opportunity (prescribed formal procedure) to update the maintenance plan.				
20.	Provide advice as to what to do if alterations are to be made to the development or, works are to be carried out which may affect the surface water drainage system				

If a designer would like a .docx version of this document to aid administration of responses, please request this by email to land.drainage@arun.gov.uk.



From: Elaine Walby on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 13 April 2026 16:16
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Planning Consultation on: F/22/26/DOC
Attachments: F-22-26-DOC - Land at Ford Airfield v1.1.docx

PL- Consultation- Engineers response

Elaine Walby
Technical Support Assistant
Planning Services

T: 01903 737 904
E: elaine.walby@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to <https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Our priorities...




Improving the wellbeing of Arun



Delivering the right homes in the right places



Supporting our environment to support us



Fulfilling Arun's economic potential



Our core foundations



Connect with us: [Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: Paul Cann <Paul.Cann@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 13 April 2026 13:52
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Land Drainage <Land.Drainage@arun.gov.uk>; David Easton <David.Easton@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Planning Consultation on: F/22/26/DOC

Please find enclosed our consultants response.

Regards

Paul Cann
Principal Drainage Engineer, Coastal Engineers and Flood Prevention

T: 01903 737819
E: paul.cann@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk



Our priorities...



Improving the wellbeing of Arun



Delivering the right homes in the right places



Supporting our environment to support us



Fulfilling Arun's economic potential



From: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 March 2026 12:48
To: Land Drainage <Land.Drainage@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Consultation on: F/22/26/DOC

To: **Engineers (Drainage)**

NOTIFICATION FROM ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Application No:	F/22/26/DOC
Registered:	23rd March 2026
Site Address:	Land at Ford Airfield Ford
Description of Works:	Approval of details reserved by condition under F/4/20/OUT relating to conditions 28- Proposed foul drainage system, 29- Proposed surface water drainage scheme, 30- Discharges to watercourses and 31-Maintenance & management of surface water drainage system.

The Council have received the above application to discharge conditions. I shall be pleased to receive any observations you may wish to make in respect of those conditions relating to your field of expertise.

[Click here to view the Discharge of Condition application and view the documents](#) The website is updated once a day in the evening, so you may need to wait until the day after this notification to view the documents.

[Click here to view the original application and decision notice](#)

Should you wish to make any comments click on the link and click into ?Enter Comment? or if this is not possible email your response to planning.responses@arun.gov.uk by **26th March 2026**.

Please be aware that any comments you may make will be available on our website so please do not insert personal details or signatures on your reply.

In the absence of a reply within the period stated, I shall assume that you have no observations to make.

Yours sincerely

Mr D Easton

Planning Case Officer- Arun District Council

Telephone: 01903 737500 ex37361

Email: david.easton@arun.gov.uk