

25<sup>th</sup> July 2025

Dear Jessica,

**Land at Ford Airfield, Ford, Arun  
Proposed updates to RM1 (north) - (application ref: F/15/24/RES)**

This letter sets out where changes to the scheme as a result of updates to the layout following consultee comments can be found in the Transport Technical Note (Ardent ref 2205771-R12F) and details specific outstanding comments contained within consultee responses. Further liaison has been undertaken between ACE and WSCC to confirm the detail of the response and agree the changes that have now been adopted into the layout and as summarised in this letter. In considering the comments received and updating the layouts to suit, the amendments are supported by revised versions of ACE Drawings 2205771-002 and 003.

**West Sussex County Council Highways**

**Sustainable Transport Linkages**

*No consideration has been provided as to how cyclists could rejoin Ford Lane*

An additional connection to Ford Lane is proposed to the east of the roundabout access. Furthermore, a number of connections re proposed to connect to the site to the west where it is envisaged cyclists would utilise the gateway proposed off Ford Lane.

*No crossing points are shown on the most easterly road without such no consideration to future phases is provided.*

The proposed footway on the western side of the most easterly road is now connected to that on the eastern side by way of suitable crossing opportunities in two additional key locations to reflect the anticipated onwards journey to the east and likely desire lines from the routes within the RM1 to the west. A further connection/crossing is provided just to the south of the RM1 parcel within the Infrastructure RM application.

### EV Charging

*The proposed EV charging points for 176 and 177 remain in an area that is likely to be offered for adoption.*

No charging points are located within areas to be offered for adoption.

### Visibility Splays

*On some side roads the visibility splays appear to cross front gardens. Further information should be provided to detail what visibility would be achievable without crossing front gardens or other measures to keep the splays free of obstructions. Trees could be relocated to reduce their impact.*

The layout has been amended to ensure visibility splays aren't impacted by trees. Visibility splays will either be adopted or subject to restrictive covenants if within gardens to ensure they are kept clear of obstructions.

Further to the above, Copenhagen Crossings have been added across side roads where shared pedestrian / cycle paths cross side roads.

### Accompanying report Updates

ACE report 2205771-R12F has been updated as per the below:

- Plan references updated throughout (and attached)
- Paragraphs that have been updated to reflect revised scheme:
  - 1.8 – parking numbers updated
  - 4.2 – parking numbers updated
  - 4.3 – parking numbers updated
  - 4.22 - parking numbers updated
  - 4.23 - parking numbers updated
  - 4.24 - parking numbers updated
- The changes reflect minor changes to the layout as a result of consultee comments.

Summary

As set out above and within the revised TTN and revised drawings, transport related consultation comments on the application to date have been fully considered and utilised in updating the proposals. In preparing this letter and making the updates to the layout, ACE have coordinated with WSCC to agree the updates that have now been incorporated. We trust that in light of this additional information that the proposals are acceptable in highways terms and would not result in an objection to the proposals.

Yours sincerely



**Bill Springett**



Head Office: Third Floor, The Hallmark Building, 52-56 Leadenhall Street, London EC3M 5JF  
Bristol: Temple Studios, Temple Gate, Bristol BS1 6QA

Offices also in Suffolk, Essex, Nottingham, Kent, Edinburgh, Manchester