

From: Nicola Meaney [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 May 2022 14:56:34
To: Hannah Kersley
Cc:
Subject: Tree applications
Attachments: FPC Tree report - May 2022.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Hi Hannah

I am attaching the report from our Tree Warden containing images of two applications which I am shortly going to comment on via the portal. Hopefully, this will help to illustrate the reasons for our objections.

Kind regards
Nicky

Nicola Meaney
Administrative Assistant
Felpham Parish Council

Felpham Community Hall
PO22 8FA



Tree report – May 2022

16 Kingsmead Rd, Felpham (FP/72/22/T):

- The application requests to fell tree down to ground level due to “most of the tree being dead”. This is a poor assessment from the owner and tree surgeon, due to the fact the tree is generally in good health - note the images provided with the original application (taken while tree is dormant) compared to the images below taken in May. While there is a small amount of deadwood present in the canopy, this doesn't suggest the tree is in decline. There is also no evidence of disease or damage at the base of tree e.g. fungal brackets (see image left below).
- My suggestion is for this application to be refused as the tree appears to be in good, general health. Instead I'd suggest the homeowner resubmits an application to carry out remedial pruning work (e.g. dead-wooding) to keep the tree in a maintained state. Notably to reduce growth by 1m from the house and away from utility lines.



The Ridings, 4A Wedgewood Rd, Felpham – FP/67/22T (multiple trees):

- This application requests to manage historic regrowth of six trees throughout the property. Generally speaking the application on all six seems appropriate and not overly invasive.
- However, for G1 (Leyland cypress and laurel) the application seeks to reduce trees down to height of existing bamboo (see image below right) - which has since been reduced extensively, meaning there isn't a proposed marker to work to when reducing the trees in height. My suggestion is to reduce the top of each tree canopy by max 1m to ensure tree structure and health isn't compromised.

