

Arboricultural Survey

Conducted in Accordance with British Standards
5837/2012



Worms Lane,
Felpham,
Arun,
West Sussex,
PO22 6EP

GR: SU 96610 01175
January 2019

Contents

1	Contract Details	2
2	Delivery of Tree Related Information into the Planning System.....	3
3	Summary of Content.....	5
4	Site Details with Development Proposal, Figure 1.	6
5	Tree Data Schedule of Results.....	7
6	Site Images	9
7	Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA).....	11
8	Development Proposal Assessment.....	13
	a. Wildlife and Ecological Perspective: - Bat Roost/Bird Nest.....	13
	b. How the Development Proposal Affects Local Character -	14
	c. Shadow Influence on Dwellings/Buildings/Amenity Space.....	14
	d. Infrastructure Requirements – Services etc.	15
	e. Potential Incompatibilities between Layout and Retained Trees.....	15
	f. RPA and Canopy Protection during Construction	15
	g. Future Pressure for Tree Removal.....	15
	h. Direct & Indirect Damage.....	16
	i. Proximity of Trees to Structures.	16
	j. Construction: Access, Working Space, Storage of Materials & Topsoil.....	16
	k. Excavations or Changes in Ground Levels near Retained Trees.....	17
	l. Installation of Hard Surfacing in RPAs.....	17
	m. End use of Space near Retained Trees.....	17
	n. Removal of Existing Structures and Hard Surfacing.....	17
	o. Potential for New Planting to provide Mitigation for any Losses.....	17
	p. Heads of Terms: Construction Method Statement: -	17
9	Tree Protection Plan (TPP)	18
10	Tree Constraints Plan (TCP).....	19
11	Enhancement Through New Tree Planting	19
12	Appendices.....	21
	a. Arboricultural Supervision.....	21
	b. Report Limitations.....	21
	c. Relevant Strategic and Policy Considerations	22
	d. Heads of Terms - Arboricultural Method Statement.....	23
	e. Heads of Terms - Construction Method Statement.....	24
	f. Explanation of codes used within the Tree Schedule.....	26
	g. Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment	27
	h. Typical Extent of Tree Root Growth.....	28
	i. Default Tree Protection Barrier Information	29
	j. BS5837 Terms and Definitions.....	28
	k. Guidance for Working in Root Protection Areas (RPAs).....	32
	l. Method Statement For “No-Dig” Construction	33
	m. Demolition within RPA's	36
	n. Construction of New Hard Surfacing within RPA's.....	36
	o. Construction of New Structures within RPA's	36
	p. Underground and above-ground utility apparatus within RPAs	36
	q. Landscaping within RPA's	36
	r. Tree work within RPAs:.....	37
13	Native Tree Species	38
14	References.....	40



1 Contract Details

Arboricultural Survey Report	
Grid Reference:	SU 96610 01175
Client:	Glenn Keen
Architect/Planning Consultant:	Nigel Locke
Date of Survey:	05/12/2018
Date of Report:	07/01/2019
Report Reference:	ASR_Worms Lane_Nigel Locke_Jan_2019
Associated Reports Reference:	08/01/2019
Surveyor(s):	Tom Glover BSc (Hons), MSc
Author:	James Price BSc (Hons)
Verified by:	Paul Diamond Cert (Hort), BSc (Hons), MSc, MCIEEM MArborA
Revision no:	01
Issue date:	08/01/2019
Ecological Surveys Ltd Registered Address:	14, Lower Clicker Road, Menheniot, Liskeard. Cornwall. PL14 3PJ
Tel:	
Company Registration Number:	Incorporated in England and Wales- No: 08262426.
VAT Registration Number:	224 3182 38

For ease of navigation, the Contents is hyperlinked to individual sections with further [Hyperlinks](#) provided throughout this document.

2 Delivery of Tree Related Information into the Planning System.

Local Authorities have a **statutory duty** to consider the protection and planting of trees when granting planning permission for proposed development. The potential effect of development on trees, whether statutorily protected (e.g. by TPO/Conservation Area) or not, is a material consideration that is taken into account in dealing with planning applications.

Consideration should be given to:

- Legal designations e.g. Tree Preservation Orders / Conservation Areas
- Planning policy – National policy (NPPF) / Regional / Local
- Guidance and Industry Best Practice
 - BS8545:2014 (*Trees: from Nursery to Independence in the Landscape – Recommendations*)
 - BS5837:2012 (*Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations*),
 - BS4428:1989 (*Code of Practice for General Landscape Operations*),
 - NHBC Chapter 4.2 (*'Building near Trees'*),
 - BRE CP75/75 (*Availability of sunshine*),
 - BRE 209 (*"Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight"*).

Whilst the level of detail expected by individual LPA's or even individual LPA Tree Officers can vary, the expected level of detail as recommended by BS5837 – 2012 is as follows.

Delivery of Tree Related information into the Planning System – Table B.1 (BS 5837-2012)		
Stage of process	Minimum detail	Additional information
Pre-application	Tree survey	Tree retention / removal plan (draft)
Planning Application	- Tree survey (in the absence of pre-application discussions) - Tree retention/removal plan (finalised) - Retained trees and RPAs shown on proposed layout - Strategic hard and soft landscape design, including species and location of new tree planting - Arboricultural Impact Assessment	- Existing and proposed finished levels - Tree protection plan - Arboricultural method statement– heads of terms - Details for all special engineering within the RPA and other relevant construction details



**Reserved Matters
/ Planning
Conditions**

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alignment of utility apparatus (including drainage), where outside the RPA or where installed using a trenchless method - Dimensioned tree protection plan - Arboricultural method statement – detailed - Schedule of works to retained trees, e.g. access facilitation pruning - Detailed hard and soft landscape design | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Arboricultural site monitoring schedule - Tree and landscape management plan - Post-construction remedial works - Landscape maintenance schedule |
|---|---|

This report has been written adhering to the recommendations and guidance given within British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations. It is therefore a BS 5837 compliant arboricultural assessment report providing sufficient information for the Local Planning Authority ("LPA") to consider the effect of the proposed development on local character from a tree perspective.

This report is written in a manner to support an application for outline planning permission with all matters reserved, although, it may in the first instance, be used in conjunction with a pre-app. The exact details that must be followed to ensure successful tree retention on this specific site will depend upon the final layout of the proposed development. Thus, some amendments to this report may, or may not be necessary.

The survey and report seek:

- To identify and clarify the quality and value (in a non-fiscal sense) of the existing tree stock within the context of proposed development, allowing informed decisions to be made concerning which trees should be removed or retained in the event of development occurring;
- To identify the constraints and considerations, both above and below ground, associated with retained trees in the context of proposed development. Where necessary, care has been taken to avoid misplaced tree retention as attempts to retain too many or unsuitable trees on a site can result in excessive pressure on the trees during demolition or construction work, or post-completion demands for their removal;

- It is the site manager's responsibility to ensure that the details of the various Method Statements and any agreed amendments are known and understood by all site personnel. Copies of the agreed documents must be kept on site and be readily available at all times.
- This report should form part of the site induction for all personnel.

3 Summary of Content

This report includes:

- A summary of the development proposals,
- An analysis of how trees will be affected
- *Briefly* describes how retained trees will be protected and managed during the development activity.

All trees of material consideration within, and where possible, immediately adjacent to the proposed development site, have been inspected. For practical reasons (inaccessibility) or reasons of Health and Safety, some dimensions are estimated, these are shown with an 'E' next to the measurement.

A Tree Schedule is provided which identifies trees / tree groups / hedgerows / woodlands (hereafter referred to collectively as 'Trees') that might potentially be affected by the development proposals.

A Tree Protection Plan (TPP) and Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) are included in Sections 9 and 10. The accuracy of these plans is dependent on the phase within the planning process that this survey was commissioned and whether a topographical survey was provided on which to plot individual trees.

Colour coding as recommended in BS5837-2012 is used throughout to emphasize the assessed value of trees.

Categorising Trees		
Category A (high quality) Category B (moderate quality)	Category C (low quality)	Category U (unsuitable for retention)
This category of tree should, whenever feasible, be protected from harm and preserved within a development site.	This category of tree is best retained whenever possible but should not be permitted to pose constraints to new developments.	This category of tree is that which, irrespective of development, should probably be removed and replaced through mitigation.



The presence of such trees may pose constraints to proposed development. Specialist construction methods maybe required.	These lower quality trees should, when lost because of development, be mitigated for by replacement planting on site.	Their loss should not pose any constraint to development.
--	---	---

It is equally important to recognise that the value of a tree must also be assessed and determined by its potential for offering roosting habitat for protected species: bats/birds and other forms of biodiversity.

The presence of bats within a tree will not prohibit development, but lawful procedure must be followed to ensure appropriate Bat Emergence Surveys are completed to inform accurate Mitigation for their presence. Where trees are assessed as offering potential for bats, this is given at [Section 8a](#).

4 Site Details with Development Proposal.

The site is a formerly ploughed field, with a now collapsed building. The site is close to the village of Felpham, along the northern edge of the village. The site is approximately 2.5 acres in size. Worms Lane extends along the eastern and southern boundaries. The A259 makes up the northern boundary. The western boundary is with another field.

The proposal brief seeks to construct a new dwelling on the site of the old collapsed dwelling (or close proximity). A large greenhouse will be constructed adjacent to the new dwelling. The northern portion of the field was previously ploughed and will be returned to its previous use. A minimal dig driveway will be constructed from the existing entrance to the field (along the southern boundary from Worms Lane) to the new dwelling.

The site was surveyed and 6 trees (T1-T6) and 2 tree groups (TG1-TG2) were surveyed. T5, an Oak, was given a category B rating. T6, an Oak, T1, a Poplar and T4, a Willow were given category C ratings. T2, a Holly and T3, TG1 and TG2, all Willows, were given category U ratings. T1, T5 and T6 will be retained. T2, T3, T4, TG1 and TG2 can also be retained, however the removal of the aforementioned trees is recommended. The trees are in poor condition, with extensive hollowing at the base, split and cracked limbs are present and have been poorly pruned in the past. The removal of these trees would allow for the planting of more sustainable replacements. However, the Willows within TG2 exhibit high bat roosting potential. Before the trees can be removed (if desired) Bat Emergence Surveys should take place.



No finalised plans are in place for the development at the present time. The TPP shows the maximum developable area (outside of any trees RPA). The remains of the collapsed building (potential construction site) on the western portion are within the RPA of the trees along the western boundary. If these trees are retained then the development will need to be moved further to the east to be outside of the normative RPAs. It is not possible to offset the RPAs of these trees.


If the trees are retained, the remains of the collapsed dwelling should be removed by hand. This will lessen the potential impact on the retained trees. The field being ploughed again presents no problem with regard to the trees.

No further details with regards to the development of this site have been confirmed at this stage.



5 Tree Data Schedule of Results.

Tree No	Species	Ht (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	Crown Sprd (m)	Direction	Crown Ht (m)	Direction	Age Class	SULE	RPA	Preliminary management recommendations & comments	BS Cat
T1	Poplar ssp	18.5	m/s x4 300	N 6.5 E 10 S 8 W 6.5	N 5 E 2.5 S 5 W 5			M	10	RPA – r= 6m / 113m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value	C
T2	Holly	5.5	250	N 2 E 2 S 2.5 W 2.5	N 4 E 2 S 2 W 1.5			Ma	10	RPA – r= 3m / 28m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value • Old nest in the tree	U
T3	Willow	8	1000 @ base	N 0 E 1.5 S 5.5 W 1.5	N 1.5 E 3 S 3 W 3			M	10	RPA – r= 12m / 452m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value • Heavily pruned • Remove	U
T4	Willow	13.5	m/s x4 250	N 8.5 E 4 S 6 W 5	N 5 E 1.5 S 6.5 W 1.5			M	10	RPA – r= 5m / 78.5m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value	C
T5	Oak	13	1100	N 6.5 E 5.5 S 9 W 4.5	N 3 E 5 S 3 W 5			M	20	RPA – r= 13.2m / 547m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value	B



T6	Oak	6.5	300	N 3 E 3.5 S 3.5 W 3.5	N 2 E 2 S 2 W 2	Ma	20	RPA – r= 3.6m / 41m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value	C
TG1	Willow x2	8	Av 400	N 1.5 E 3 S 3 W 3	N 3 E 3 S 1.5 W 3	Ma	10	RPA – r= 4.8m / 72m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value	U
TG2	Willow	14. 5	Max 1100 @ base	N 10 E 2 S 2 W 2	N 3 E 4 S 5 W 4	M	10	RPA – r= 13.2m / 547m ²	Physical - G F P / Structure - G F P • Wildlife Value • Bat roosting potential in trees • Hollowing at base evident • Cracked branches • Recommend removal	U

6 Site Images



TG1 and T3 (right)




T2



TG2 - broken limb



T5



TG2 - basal hollowing



T6

7 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)

The purpose of the AIA is to consider the direct and indirect effects of the proposed design

Constraints: - Designations: Tree Preservation Orders / Conservation Areas

- There are no TPOs in place on the site. There is an area order to the west of the development site. This area will be unaffected by the proposals.
- The site is not within a conservation area.



Trees to be Retained within the proposal

All trees can be retained. (Definitely T1, T5 and T6)

Trees Proposed Removed to facilitate Development

No trees essential to remove to facilitate the development. However, the removal of T2, T3, T4, TG1 and TG2 is recommended.

Further Tree Works

- If removals take place, then no further tree works are considered necessary at this point in time.

Category A & B trees

Potentially adversely affected by encroachment within the RPA without special measures.

- Unlikely to occur on this site. Tree protective fencing in the correct place will prevent any activity associated with the construction occurring near T5.

**Further Ecological Constraints**

- Specific trees onsite have been designated as having Bat Roosting Potential. Further information is in section 8a.

Solutions

- Bat emergence surveys should be undertaken on TG2. Further information is in section 8a.

Method Statement

- A Method Statement for a 'No-Dig' Driveway is provided within Appendix k
- A sequence for events and timings is shown in Section 8p.

Mitigation/Enhancement

- In the form of new tree plantings around the site.

New Tree Planting

- No areas have been identified as yet. New plantings would be suitable anywhere on the site.

8 Development Proposal Assessment.

a. Wildlife and Ecological Perspective: - Bat Roost/Bird Nest

Constraint: Where category 1*, 1, or 2 trees are indicated, removal of these trees may necessitate Bat Emergence Surveys. A Bat Emergence Survey will provide an assessment of their habitat status and information on how to proceed lawfully with their removal.

1* - Tree with multiple, highly suitable features capable of supporting larger roosts.

1 - Tree with definite potential, supporting fewer suitable features than Category 1* trees or capable of supporting roosts for single/low numbers of bats. 1* and 1 is classified as high roost suitability

2 - Tree with no obvious potential for roosting bats although due to its size and maturity the tree may support some features with limited potential to support bats. 2 is classified as being moderate-low roost suitability.

3 - Tree with no roosting potential.

Roosting Assessment

Category 1*, 1 or 2 trees with roosting value exist onsite: trees in TG2. 2x trees in the group have roosting value of 1. 1x tree has a roosting value of 2.

These trees have been recommended for removal. Even if they are retained and the dwelling is constructed near to them, an ecological consultant must be commissioned to carry out Bat Emergence Surveys prior to any tree works being undertaken.

If these trees are to be retained, they should be protected as per any EcA Survey Report, with restrictions on artificial lighting in their vicinity.

Client's Next Steps:

- Bat Emergence Surveys are REQUIRED. Commission your acting ecologist to book these surveys. The surveys duration is limited to May until September.

Recommended minimum number of survey visits for presence/absence Emergence Surveys		
One survey visit. Low Roost Suitability	Two separate survey visits. Moderate Roost Suitability	Three separate survey visits. High Roost Suitability
One dusk emergence or dawn re-entry (structures).	One dusk emergence and a separate dawn re-entry survey.	At least one dusk emergence and a separate dawn re-entry survey. The third visit could be either dusk or dawn.
Timings		
May to August (structures)	May to September with at least one of surveys between May and August.	May to September with at least two of surveys between May and August.



Location of Trees with Roosting Potential

Location of the trees can be found on the TPP in section 9.

Nesting Assessment

- ✦ All British birds and their nests are protected whilst in use; therefore, if a nest is found during construction work, all activity must cease within proximity and ecological advice (Tel: 01503 240846 or 07736 458609) sought immediately.
- ✦ Hedgerow/trees may not be removed/flailed/destroyed during nesting/breeding season for birds or dormice (beginning March-October end) unless a qualified ecologist accompanies prior to cutting and declares the ground and trees clear, and no other constraints apply.
- ✦ It is possible that bird nest site/s could be newly established in association with this site during future, bird nesting season/s.
- ✦ No active nesting was observed within the trees onsite. An old nest was found in T2. This tree has been recommended for removal. It should be removed outside of the nesting season.

b. How the Development Proposal Affects Local Character -

From a Tree Perspective: -

If the recommended removals take place then there will be a change in the local character from a tree perspective. Despite these trees being within the site they are viewable in the wider landscape. The trees are of a poor quality and their removal will allow for new plantings to take place. Overtime these new plantings will enhance the quality of the local character from a tree perspective.

If the trees are retained then there will be no change to local character from a tree perspective.

c. Shadow Influence on Dwellings/Buildings/Amenity Space.

The shadow arc (if shown) is not a representation of the absence of skylight/daylight and does not take into account the natural light permeating the trees crown – this varies depending on the species etc. Additionally, seasonal considerations (angle of the sun and possible leaf fall) will affect the degree and nature of shading.

The internal layout, use of buildings and the arrangement of windows is also important. Heavy or prolonged shadowing of main living areas may be inadvisable whilst the shadowing of side elevations and ancillary rooms may be insignificant.

d. Infrastructure Requirements – Services etc.

It is often difficult to clearly establish the detail of services until the final plans are known and construction is in progress. Where possible, existing services should be used and all new services ought to be outside RPAs of retained trees. However, where existing services within RPAs require upgrading or new services need to be installed in RPAs, trenchless insertion methods ought to be used with entry and retrieval pits being sited outside the RPAs. Providing roots can be retained and protected, excavation using hand-held tools might be acceptable for shallow service runs.

e. Potential Incompatibilities between Layout and Retained Trees.

Occasionally, plans are advanced which are potentially incompatible with trees that should be retained. In the first instance, consideration, should be given to amending designs and layout to avoid conflict. However, in extreme circumstances it might be possible to formulate engineering options which permit the development to proceed, whilst still protecting potentially impacted trees. This can be a costly alternative so is rarely the first option.

f. RPA and Canopy Protection during Construction

Root Protection Areas assume a circular area of rooting - calculated in accordance with BS5837-2012. RPAs represent minimum soil rooting area required to sustain the tree (capped at 707m²). The RPA is circular by default with a maximum radius of 15m from the tree - any deviation from this must be supported with professional arboricultural assessment.

RPAs may have been modified to reflect actual site conditions and may not be shown as circular on accompanying plans. Incursion into the RPA during any part of the investigation, demolition, design & construction phases of the project will require specialist arboricultural input. RPA's must be protected during the development process. Consequently, as recommended in BS 5837 - 2012 (Table B1), a Heads of Terms - Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) is included within the Appendices of this report. The location/s of the CEZ are shown on the TPP.

Whilst the RPA might equate to a radius of 'X' metres it is possible that the Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) might exceed this area if the canopy of the tree/s exceed this area.

Early assessment of impact will facilitate the process and avoid abortive design works.

g. Future Pressure for Tree Removal.

Whilst it is rarely possible to be conclusive regarding future pressure for tree removal, some tree characteristics may offer an insight. Consideration should be given to whether trees are evergreen or deciduous, density of foliage, and potential nuisance factors such as susceptibility to honey dew drip, branch drop, fruit fall etc. Additionally, young trees (up to approximately ½ their potential age) generally require enough space to mature if long term retention is planned. Care must be taken with older trees as they are generally more

susceptible to damage, and less tolerant of injury/harm through a) root damage; b) compaction of soil; and c) excessive and/or repeated pruning. Ideally, adequate space should be allowed for long term physical retention and future maintenance.

The trees on this site are unlikely to come under pressure for removal within the foreseeable future.

h. Direct & Indirect Damage.

All site storage areas, cement mixing and washing points for equipment and vehicles must be outside RPAs unless otherwise agreed with the local planning authority. Where there is a risk of polluted water runoff into RPAs, heavy-duty plastic sheeting and sandbags must be used to contain the runoff and prevent contamination.

All landscaping activity within RPAs has the potential to cause severe damage and any adverse impact must be minimised by following the guidance set out in the appendices.

i. Proximity of Trees to Structures.

The default position should be that structures are located outside the RPAs of trees to be retained. However, where there is an overriding justification for construction in the RPA, technical solutions might be available that prevent damage to trees. Account should be taken of the proposed orientation and aspect of new buildings, the type of building, its use and location relative to the tree, and the species attributes of the tree. Buildings, footpaths and hard-standing areas should be designed with due consideration to the proximity of retained trees, especially in terms of their foliage, flowering and fruiting habits. Where conflicts might arise, detailed design should address these issues.

Where tree roots have the potential to come into contact with foundations, advice can be found in NHBC Chapter 4.2 (*'Building near Trees'*),

http://nhbccampaigns.co.uk/landingpages/techzone/previous_versions/2011/Part4/section2/default.htm

Specific guidance is beyond the remit of this report and expert advice should be sought if required.

j. Construction: Access, Working Space, Storage of Materials & Topsoil.

To permit access, CEZ's may extend beyond the protective barriers. Assuming no suitable existing hard surfacing is in situ, any such areas must be covered by ground protection, based on the recommendations within BS: 5837 - 2012. The precise design and the sequencing of the installation and removal should be agreed with the Local Planning Authority before any demolition and/or construction activity starts. Further details can be found within the appendices.

k. Excavations or Changes in Ground Levels near Retained Trees

Proposed changes in ground levels must be advised in order that appropriate precautions can be undertaken. Generally, changes in levels within or adjoining RPA's are not permitted without suitable mitigation and this can often be very expensive to achieve.

l. Installation of Hard Surfacing in RPAs.

Please refer to appendices for specific details of how to achieve the above without causing damage to tree/s on site.

m. End use of Space near Retained Trees

Tree Number	Proposed use of space near retained tree/s indicated
	-
	-

n. Removal of Existing Structures and Hard Surfacing.

If applicable, please refer to appendices for specific details of how to achieve the above without causing damage to tree/s on site.

o. Potential for New Planting to provide Mitigation for any Losses.

Where it is unavoidable, the loss of trees can be offset / mitigated for by planting new and site-specific species of trees. Specific advice on planting new trees is provided in British Standard 8545 (2014) *Trees: from Nursery to Independence in the Landscape – Recommendations*. Any new planting on site should conform to this standard.

If the recommended removals take place then mitigation plantings will be required. The site has many areas where new plantings can be made in sustainable locations. A mixture of native species would be most suitable for this site. A list of native species can be found in section 13.

If the trees are retained then no mitigation plantings will be necessary. Although new plantings will be made irrespective.

**p. Heads of Terms: Construction Method Statement: -
Suggested sequence of events**

1. Instruct any bat surveys required and adhere to findings / recommendations
2. Fell T2, T3, T4, TG1 and TG2 (if desired).
3. Assemble tree protection fence as shown in Tree Constraints Plan, Section 10
4. Carry out main construction work, including access creation, hard surfacing and all hard landscaping.
5. Disassemble tree protection fencing.
6. Carry out new plantings.



9 Tree Protection Plan (TPP)

See Separate Sheets

Ecological Surveys Ltd



10 Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

See Separate Sheets

Ecological Surveys Ltd



11 Enhancement Through New Tree Planting

There are many areas where enhancement plantings can be made on the site. Once plans have been finalised, sustainable locations for new plantings can be selected. The site is most suited to a mixture of native species. A list of native species can be found in section 13.

Specific advice on planting new trees is provided in British Standard 8545 (2014) Trees: from Nursery to Independence in the Landscape – Recommendations. All planting on site should conform to this standard.

Ecological Surveys Ltd



12 Appendices

a. Arboricultural Supervision

Site meetings and arboricultural supervision are not always required. Each site must be assessed on its own merits. The following table details specific roles and timings which might be required.

Site meetings with the LPA Tree Officer may not be necessary on small sites		
Time Frame	Attendees	Action
Pre-commencement	Arboricultural Consultant LPA Tree Officer Site Manager	To discuss tree protection measures, Arboricultural supervision and notification of interested parties.
In progress	Arboricultural Consultant Site Manager	Supervision and notification of agreed tree protection measures.
Post completion	Arboricultural Consultant	Inspection of retained trees and notification agreed.

Tree protection cannot be reliably implemented without Arboricultural input. The nature and extent of that input varies according to the complexity of the issues and the resources available on site. An Arboricultural consultant may need to be instructed by the project management team to oversee the implementation of the protective measures and management proposals set out in an Arboricultural method statement. Should Planning Conditions pertaining to Trees be imposed, the project management should instruct an Arboricultural consultant to undertake the required supervision.

b. Report Limitations

Each tree was subjected to a quick visual check level of inspection. Where there is restricted access to the base of a tree, its attributes are assessed from the nearest point of access. Climbing inspections are not carried out during this level of inspection and, if heavy ivy is present, tree condition is assessed from what can be seen from the ground. Trees are living organisms whose health and overall condition can change rapidly.

Owing to the time that may elapse between the original survey and the start of development, all trees should be re-inspected as part of the standard risk management process before any works start on site. Our assessment of the trees was carried out on the basis that a re-inspection would be carried out within a year of the assessment visit and our advice on tree condition must be reviewed annually from the date of that visit.

The period of validity may be reduced if significant changes occur to either the trees or to the landscape within the immediate proximity of the trees. Such changes include, but are not restricted to; storm damage, flooding and alterations to soil levels surrounding or in close proximity to trees.

Any recommended tree works are based on the quick visual check level of inspection noted above and only intended to address significant hazards identified during that inspection.

c. Relevant Strategic and Policy Considerations

The Climate Change Act (2008) sets out a statutory strategic need to adapt to climate change at a national and local level, which is reiterated through the emphasis on sustainability in the National Planning Policy Framework. It is now widely accepted that trees, along with other woody plants, offer significant climate adaptation benefits to the built environment where people live and work. These benefits include, amongst others, the buffering of temperature extremes and the buffering of rainwater runoff, which can significantly reduce the adverse impacts of climate change.

Additionally, there is an increasing body of research providing reliable evidence that trees impart other significant health-related benefits to the people that live and work near them. These benefits include, amongst others, the potential to improve psychological wellbeing by reducing stress and anxiety through the relaxing nature of their presence. It seems that access to greenspace and trees makes people happier and encourages them to take more exercise, which has a direct and positive impact on physical health and wellbeing. On a subtler level, the ecological enhancement that can be achieved through appropriate tree management makes a positive contribution to environmental sustainability.

These concepts are explored and set into a built-environment context in the recent Trees and Design Action Group's publications '*Trees in the Townscape: A Guide for Decision Makers*' and '*Trees in Hard Landscapes: A Guide for Delivery*'. Furthermore, specific advice on planting new trees is provided in British Standard 8545 (2014) *Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape – Recommendations*. All planting on site should conform to this standard.

It would seem reasonable to accept the general principle that more and bigger trees will deliver more benefits from their presence. Clearly, this must be applied with balance and proportionality, it nonetheless remains an important guiding principle in the planning process and it has been a prominent consideration in our analysis on this site.

Development activities may cause harm if not carried out with care. We have reviewed the situation carefully and our experience is that these trees could be successfully retained without any significant adverse impact if appropriate protective measures are properly specified and controlled through an arboricultural method statement.

**d. Heads of Terms - Arboricultural Method Statement**

Heads of terms	Overview of appropriate protective measures (to be detailed in response to a planning condition once consent has been given)
Identification of areas to be protected	The tree protection plan shows all the areas where protective measures are necessary. The construction exclusion zone ("CEZ") boundary is shown on the plan as the heavy dashed black line, with the lighter diagonal hatching behind. If necessary, further precautionary areas outside the CEZ are shown on the plan as a yellow coloured fill, where a high level of care is required. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Tree works	Tree works, based on our assessment of the proposal and the original site inspection, are set out in the work recommendations column of the tree schedule in Appendix 2. The location of each tree by number is shown on the tree protection plan and any to be removed are indicated with a red crown outline. All tree works must be reassessed before any site activity starts as part of the standard risk management process.
Fencing	Protective fencing must be installed at the locations shown on the tree protection plan by the heavy black dashed line. If agreed with the LPA, fencing can be set back to improve access, provided the exposed ground is protected with ground protection. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Ground protection	Ground protection must be installed wherever RPAs are exposed and not enclosed by fencing. This will be where fencing has been agreed to be set back, and in all precautionary areas. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Existing surfacing to be retained	The existing hard surfacing will be retained and utilised where possible. Any surfacing disrupted during the course of the construction activity will be reconditioned or upgraded as necessary. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Installation of new surfacing	New surfacing within RPAs is proposed as shown on the tree protection plan. These will be installed in accordance with the appropriate guidance. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
New and existing services	All excavation for the installation of new services or the upgrading of existing services must be carried out in accordance with the appropriate guidance. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Removal of protection	All protective barriers must remain in place until the construction activity is finished and there is no realistic risk of damage to the protected soil surfaces. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Tree planting	Space has been allowed for a comprehensive new tree planting scheme. It would be appropriate for the precise detail to be agreed with the LPA through a planning condition.
Landscaping	All landscaping activity must be carefully controlled once the protective measures have been removed. This work will be subject to arboricultural supervision.
Other risks to trees	Any significant risk to trees from activities outside RPAs, but close

enough to have a knock-on impact, must be assessed and appropriate precautions put in place to reduce that risk. Such risks include, inter alia, chemical pollution, cranes and high loads.

e. Heads of Terms - Construction Method Statement Sequence of Events.

The management details that must be followed to ensure successful tree retention on this specific site will depend upon the final layout of the proposed development.

Heads of Terms Construction Method Statement

A construction method statement is a description of how operations that may affect trees will be carried out to minimise any adverse impact on them. The details of how the site will be managed are construction and contractual matters that can only be finalised once the post-consent detailed planning begins. For that reason, at this stage in the planning process, it is only possible to list a heads of terms summary of the issues that will require more detailed consideration once consent is issued. The issues that are likely to require further explanation on this site include:

1. The order of work on site, including demolition, site clearance and building work.
2. Erection and maintenance of security hoarding near trees.
3. Who will be responsible for protecting the trees on site.
4. Detailed proposals for inspecting and supervising the tree protection, and how problems will be reported and solved.
5. How accidents and emergencies involving trees will be managed, including accidental damage to roots and their treatment.
6. Details of facilitation pruning and access into site. What size vehicles will be used under canopies and will large machinery be lifted over trees.
7. The parking arrangements for workers and visitors.
8. A schedule of emergency contact numbers.
9. Areas for loading and unloading of materials and storage of materials and plant.
10. Where site facilities will be sited and when will they be installed.
11. Crane location and zones of movement.
12. How machinery and equipment (such as excavators, cranes and their loads, concrete pumps and piling rigs) will enter, move on, work on and leave the site.
13. Wheel washing facilities near trees
14. Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction near trees.
15. Recycling and storage of waste near trees.
16. Details of earthworks, grading and mounding and removal of spoil, including any planned lowering or raising of ground levels.
17. Details of upgrading/removing/replacing existing surfacing and areas where this will happen, including detailed and precise cross-sections where no-dig surfacing is to be installed.
18. How and when any temporary surfacing will be laid and removed.

19. Details of piling operations.
20. Precise services locations, including the method of excavation when near trees.
21. Proposed locations of site facilities/crane location/material storage/loading bays etc.
22. Finished excavation lines for basement works.
23. How post-construction damage through compaction to soil near existing trees and new trees will be ameliorated.

Note: It is not our role as Arboricultural Consultants to detail the timing and implementation of these measures, although we can input into the process and will need to confirm that the final proposals will not adversely affect retained trees.

f. Explanation of codes used within the Tree Schedule.

Tree categories and values are somewhat subjective and trees with a high biodiversity value are likely to be assessed with a higher value than might otherwise be the case.

<i>Tree Survey - Key</i>		<i>Tree - Age Classes</i>		<i>Tree - Condition</i> Physiological		<i>Tree Survey - Codes</i>	
Ht	Height in metres and rounded to the nearest metre	NP	New Planting	(G)ood	No significant health problems	T	Trees individually detailed
Stem Ht	Stem diameter in mm at 1.5m high.	Y	Young < 1/3 rd of life expectancy	(F)air	Symptoms of ill-health that can be remediated	H	Hedgerows
Crown Ht	Estimated height of Crown Clearance	MA	Middle Aged – Between 1/3 rd and 2/3 rd of life expectancy	(P)oor	Symptoms of ill-health that cannot be remediated	W	Woodland
Crown Sprd	Estimated spread of crown in cardinal direction	M	Mature - > 2/3 rd of life expectancy	Structural		TG	Tree Groups
RPA	Root Protection Area. Given in m ² and as a radii.	OM	Over Mature – species maximum life expectancy	(G)ood	No significant Structural issues	BS Cat	British Standards Categories
E	Estimated	V	Veteran – Expectancy old for its species. Usually with high biodiversity value.	(F)air	Structural issues that can be remediated	M/S	Multi – Stemmed Trees
British Standard BS5837 – Tree Categories – A = High Quality (Ordinarily retained) B = Good Quality (Ordinarily retained) C = Poorer Quality (Retained if possible) U = Unsuitable for Retention (Would normally be removed irrespective of development)				(P)oor	Structural issue that cannot be remediated	SULE	Safe Useful Life Expectancy. BS5837 is not a <i>Tree Condition Survey</i> . Therefore, this should be considered at best as a very rough guide.



g. Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)		
Trees unsuitable for retention			
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low-quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it may be desirable to preserve</i>		
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation
Category A and B trees are ordinarily retained. Category C trees should be retained where possible but NOT present a barrier to development.			
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals, or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to a wider locality	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of a very limited merit or such an impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value




h. Typical Extent of Tree Root Growth

A typical tree – showing the Root Protection Area (RPA) in red.

This drawing illustrates the potential extent of rooting of a tree. The actual amount is dependent on species, soil conditions and location. If all the roots of a mature beech were laid end to end, it is estimated that the roots would extend to approximately 2 miles in length. This is dwarfed by the extent of the associated mycorrhiza which is estimated at over 25,000 miles!



Protecting as large an area as possible, is clearly beneficial if the objective is to retain the tree in good health. Individually engineered solutions may be available if encroachment is essential.



- Note that most of the roots are shallower than is normally believed. In fact, the overwhelming majority of roots are generally in the top 60cm of the soil.
- Note also that even when following the BS 5837/2012 standard, that a significant amount of root loss might be suffered by the tree.
- Construction Exclusion Zones (CEZ) are intended to protect the soil and therefore the roots of trees.




i. Default Tree Protection Barrier Information



Protective Fencing

This fencing must be maintained in accordance with the approved plans and drawing for this development.



Tree Protection Area

(Town & Country Planning Act 1990)

Trees enclosed by this fence are protected by planning conditions and/or are the subject of a tree preservation order.

Contravention of a tree preservation order may lead to criminal prosecution. Incursion into the protected area must be with the written permission of the local planning authority.

KEEP OUT!

j. BS5837 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of British Standard 5837:2012, the following terms and definitions apply:

Access Facilitating Pruning	One-off tree pruning operation, the nature and effects of which are without significant adverse impact on tree physiology or amenity value, which is directly necessary to provide access for operations on site.
Arboricultural Method Statement	Methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that is within the root protection area or has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree to be retained.
Arboriculturist	Person who has, through relevant education, training and experience, gained expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.
Arboricultural Supervisor	Arboriculturist who works with the construction project team to ensure that the Arboricultural Method Statement is followed and can advise on off-specification issues that may arise.
Competent Person	Person who has training and experience relevant to the matter being addressed and an understanding of the requirements of the particular task being approached <i>Note: a competent person is expected to be able to advise on the best means by which the recommendations of this British Standard may be implemented.</i>
Construction	Site-based operations with the potential to affect existing trees.
Construction Exclusion Zone	Area based on the root protection area from which access is prohibited for the duration of a project.
Root Protection Area (RPA)	Layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.
Service	Any above- or below-ground structure or apparatus required for utility provision. <i>Note: Examples include drainage, gas supplies, ground source heat pumps, CCTV and satellite communications.</i>
Special Engineering	Design of a structure with the physiological requirements of trees as the priority.

Stem	Principal above-ground structural component(s) of a tree that supports its branches.
Structure	Manufactured object, such as a building, carriageway, path, wall, service run, and built or excavated earthwork.
Tree Protection Plan	Scale drawing, informed by descriptive text where necessary, based upon the finalized proposals, showing trees for retention and illustrating the tree and landscape protection measures.
Veteran Tree	Tree that, by recognized criteria, shows features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of, but not exclusive to, individuals surviving beyond the typical age range for the species concerned. <i>Note: these characteristics might typically include a large girth, signs of crown retrenchment and hollowing of the stem.</i>
Tree Preservation Order (TPO)	A Tree Preservation Order allows for trees to be protected either as individuals, groups, areas or woodlands. Tree Preservation Orders have the effect of preventing the cutting down, topping, lopping, uprooting, willful damage or willful destruction of trees other than with the consent of the Local Planning Authority. Certain exclusions do apply to Tree Preservation Orders such as the removal of dead, dying or dangerous trees, but these should be used with caution and always following the advice of the LPA Tree Officer.
Conservation Area	Any works to trees within a Conservation Area (with some minor exceptions) will require six-week prior notice to be given to the local planning authority. Certain exclusions do apply to Conservation Areas such as the removal of dead, dying or dangerous trees, but these should be used with caution and always following the advice of the LPA Tree officer.

This section may or may not be required. If it is, specific recommendations / Method Statements will be included. If it is not immediately required for Planning purposes, it may prove of value at some future juncture

k. Guidance for Working in Root Protection Areas (RPAs)

Introduction

The following sets out the guidance for working in RPAs that should be followed to ensure successful tree retention. It is based on the guidelines and recommendations set out in British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations (hereinafter BS:5837) and the National Joint Utilities Group: Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG, 2007 (hereinafter NJUG 4).

General Guidance for Working in RPA's

What is the purpose of this guidance?

The purpose of this guidance is to set out the general principles that must be followed when working in RPAs as follows;

- a) pre-site commencement: to demonstrate that tree protection issues have been properly considered and sets out how they must be implemented, and,
- b) post site commencement: to inform all site personnel of their obligations towards protected trees and how to meet them.

What are RPAs?

RPAs are the areas of root protection where;

- a) roots must not be severed, cut or broken i.e. no excavation, no soil stripping
- b) ground levels must not be changed i.e. no soil stripping, no soil level raising
- c) soil must not be compacted - no movement of vehicles

All RPAs close to the construction area are illustrated on the tree protection plan within this report. Any and all works within RPAs must be carried out with great care if trees are to be successfully retained.

When must this Guidance be Followed?

This guidance must be followed by all personnel entering into or working within an RPA.

The main scenarios where this guidance must be followed are;

- a) demolition,
- b) construction of new hard surfacing,

- c) construction of new structures,
- d) subterranean construction,
- e) underground and above-ground utility apparatus, and
- f) landscaping activities.

Broad definitions of surfacing, services, structures and landscaping are set out in the following sections.

In recognition of the fact that trees are sensitive to disturbance, the British Standards Institution has published recommendations on how to protect them during their development. BS 5837:2005 recommends that there should be a root protection area in which development should not be permitted.

In 2007 the Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service published Arboricultural Practice Note 12: Driveways Close to Trees (APN12) which suggested that driveways could be installed within the root protection area subject to roots and the soil not being damaged. APN12 advises that an above-ground, no-dig construction should be used. This advice was incorporated into the recent British Standard (5837 -2012) which recommended that the most effective means of achieving this was through the use of three-dimensional cellular confinement system, such as a Terram Geocell.

1. Method Statement For “No-Dig” Construction

In Line with Arboricultural Practice Note 1 - “Driveways Close to Trees”


Prior to commencing any construction on site, erect protective fencing around trees to form an exclusion zone (see above plan). This will ensure that roots will not be severed during the construction work and the soil in the area of the exclusion zone will not be compacted, enabling oxygen to continue to diffuse into the soil beneath. Construction should be undertaken in dry weather between May and October when the ground is driest and least prone to compaction.

1. Kill ground vegetation using a translocated herbicide (glyphosate), ensuring that the selected herbicide doesn't damage the root of the tree(s) below the surface of the path.
2. Remove the dead or organic material from the site and ensure that large stones and shrub stumps are removed from the proposed route.
3. Any stumps should be ground rather than excavated to minimize soil disturbance.

4. The resulting hollows and any other holes in the path should be filled with sharp sand.
5. Lay geotextile matting across the full width of the access. This will prevent the intrusion of roots into the sub-base whilst still allowing nutrients and gaseous exchange.
6. Lay Terram 100 Geocell (cellular confinement system). Other Systems are available.
7. The drive is to be supported against 150 x 20mm tanalised softwood boarding and 200mm long tanalised soft wood pegs driven into the ground at 1500mm centres.
8. Using hand shovels, carefully push 20mm – 40mm gravel chippings (no fines) into the Geo 100 Geocell matting to form an aggregate sub-base.
9. The chippings should be placed at one end of the matting and pushed/spread across the matt to prevent compacting the soil, working on either side of the track.
10. Compact the sub base to ensure binding with the geogrid and to minimise future rutting.
11. Lay second layer of terram geotextile matting across the full width of the path. This will prevent the intrusion of fines into the gravel chippings.
12. Add layer of 'no fines, sharp sand and compact if using pavers as surface treatment.
13. If required, place proposed (permeable) surface treatment on top of the compacted sub-base to form the finished surface to the path and bank up the edging with topsoil, which is to be grass seeded in spring/autumn. This will form a gentle slope from the edging back onto the existing ground level.

Protect tree roots from vehicle traffic, whilst maintaining water and nutrient absorption using TERRAM GEOCELL.

Typical Profile



Arboricultural Supervision:

It is essential that all works relating to the installation of the no-dig solution are supervised by a qualified Arboriculturist from the beginning of the project through to completion as it is known that the common failing in no-dig solutions is lack of professional supervision. This process will be strictly adhered to throughout the course of this project.

Providing the methods described above are adhered to during the entire construction process, a permanent surface can be constructed without causing demonstrable harm to the trees by minimising the effect on the trees root system. All work within RPAs must be carried out with care if trees are to be successfully retained. An Arboriculturist must be consulted if there is any risk of misunderstanding or misinterpretation.

Ongoing work must be inspected regularly and on completion, the work must be signed off by the Arboricultural consultant.

m. Demolition within RPA's

The collapsed building is within TG2's RPA. If the trees are retained then the removal of the material should be completed by hand.

n. Construction of New Hard Surfacing within RPA's

Refer to Method Statement For "No-Dig" Construction. A similar approach can often be adopted for patios or other areas. There are restrictions on the amount and type of surfacing that can be constructed with RPA's. Specific advice should always be sought prior to any works commencing, although such works are not currently proposed on this site.

o. Construction of New Structures within RPA's

Not currently proposed on this site.

p. Underground and above-ground utility apparatus within RPAs

Basic Principles:

Mechanical trenching for the installation of underground apparatus and drainage severs any roots present and can change the local soil hydrology in a way that adversely affects the health of the tree. For this reason, particular care should be taken in the routeing and methods of installation of all underground apparatus.

Wherever possible, apparatus should be routed outside RPAs. Where this is not possible, it is preferable to keep apparatus together in common ducts. Inspection chambers should be sited outside the RPA.

Where underground apparatus is to pass within the RPA, detailed plans showing the proposed routeing should be drawn up in conjunction with the project Arboriculturist. In such cases, trenchless insertion methods should be used with entry and retrieval pits being sited outside the RPA. Provided that roots can be retained and protected excavation using hand-held tools might be acceptable for shallow service runs.

q. Landscaping within RPA's

Basic Principles:

The general treatment of areas around newly planted and existing trees should allow for adequate infiltration of water and free gas exchange, reduction of water evaporation and the retention of an open soil structure to encourage root growth.

Soil Compaction and Remediation Measures:

Soil that has been compacted will not provide suitable conditions for the survival and growth of vegetation, whether existing or new, and is a common cause of post-construction tree loss on development sites.

Compacted soil will adversely affect drainage, gas exchange, nutrient uptake and organic content, and will seriously impede or restrict root growth. The risk of soil compaction is greatest in soils with significant clay content and in wet conditions.

Soil compaction should be avoided around existing vegetation, including trees, and in areas where new planting or seeding is proposed.

Where soil compaction has occurred in the vicinity of existing trees, arboricultural advice should be taken before carrying out any remedial or other works within RPAs to mitigate risk of further damage to roots.

Remedial works may include sub-soil aeration using compressed air, and the addition of other materials, preferably of a bulky, organic nature (but excluding peat), to improve structure. Heavy mechanical cultivation such as ploughing or rotivation should not occur within the RPA.

Any cultivation operations should be undertaken carefully by hand in order to minimize damage to the tree, particularly the roots. Decompaction measures include forking, spiking, soil augering and tilled radial trenching. Care should be taken during such operations to minimise the risk of further damage to tree roots.

Use of Herbicides:

The use of herbicides in the vicinity of existing trees should be appropriate for the type of vegetation to be killed, and all instructions, warnings and other relevant information from manufacturers should be strictly observed and followed. Care should be taken to avoid any damaging effects upon existing plants and trees to be retained, species to be introduced, and existing sensitive habitats, particularly those associated with aquatic or drainage features.

f. Tree work within RPAs:

Care should be taken to ensure during tree removal or remedial work that damage to the retained trees and/or disturbance to the RPA is avoided. Precautions should include dismantling techniques to reduce the risk of accidental damage, and ground protection measures where excessive pedestrian movements or use of plant and machinery might lead to compaction.

If temporary access is required for plant or vehicles within the RPA, this should be provided by means of temporary ground protection.

13 Native Tree Species

Native UK Tree Species	
Common Names	Latin Names
Alder	<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>
Alder buckthorn	<i>Frangula alnus</i>
Ash	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>
Aspen	<i>Populus tremula</i>
Purging Buckthorn	<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i>
Beech, common	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>
Birch, downy	<i>Betula pubescens</i>
Birch, silver	<i>Betula pendula</i>
Blackthorn	<i>Prunus spinosa</i>
Box, common	<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>
Cherry, bird	<i>Prunus padus</i>
Cherry, wild	<i>Prunus avium</i>
Crab apple	<i>Malus sylvestris</i>
Dogwood	<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>
Elder	<i>Sambucus nigra</i>
Elm, English	<i>Ulmus minor var. vulgaris</i>
Elm, smooth leaved	<i>Ulmus minor var. minor</i>
Elm, wych	<i>Ulmus glabra</i>
Guelder rose	<i>Viburnum opulus</i>
Hawthorn, common	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>
Hawthorn, Midland	<i>Crataegus laevigata</i>
Hazel	<i>Corylus avellana</i>
Holly	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>
Hornbeam	<i>Carpinus betulus</i>
Juniper	<i>Juniperus communis</i>
Lime, common	<i>Tilia x europaea</i>
Lime, large-leaved	<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>
Lime, small-leaved	<i>Tilia cordata</i>
Maple, field	<i>Acer campestre</i>
Oak, English	<i>Quercus robur</i>
Oak, sessile	<i>Quercus petraea</i>
Pine, Scots	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>

Poplar, black	<i>Populus nigra</i>
Rowan	<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>
Service tree, wild	<i>Sorbus torminalis</i>
Spindle	<i>Euonymus europaea</i>
Whitebeam	<i>Sorbus aria</i>
Willow, bay	<i>Salix pentandra</i>
Willow, crack	<i>Salix fragilis</i>
Willow, goat	<i>Salix caprea</i>
Willow, grey	<i>Salix cinerea subsp. oleifolia</i>
Willow, osier	<i>Salix viminalis</i>
Willow, white	<i>Salix alba</i>
Yew	<i>Taxus baccata</i>

14 References

- British Standard 5837:2012 Terms and Definitions
- British Standard 5837:2012 Process Flow Chart
- British Standard 5837:2012 Tree Categories
- Reference Documents and Contacts

British Standard 3998:2010 Tree Works – Recommendations

British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations.

Available from:

British Standards Institution

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL

National Joint Utilities Group Publication Volume 4 "Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees"

Available from:

National Joint Utilities Group

30 Millbank

London SW1P 4RD

Tree Preservation Orders – A Guide to the Law and Good Practice

Available from:

Department for Communities and Local Government Communities and Local Government Publications

PO Box 236

Wetherby LS23 7NB

Download:

Arboricultural Practice Note 12: Through the Trees to Development

Available from:

Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service (AAIS)

Alice Holt Lodge, Wrecclesham, Farnham, Surrey, GU10 4LH

Tel: 01424 220000

END