

Mark Likely
The Fairways
16 Bridger Close
Felpham
West Sussex
PO22 8FT

Your Ref: OWPC13235
Our Ref: FP/35/17/HH 08-02-2017
Please ask for:
Richard Temple

20th March 2017

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Relocation of existing boundary wall & timber fence to provide access to side garden
from rear garden.

14 Bridger Close Felpham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 19th March 2017 which has been
recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

Dear Sir/Madam

*OBJECTION TO PLANNING APPLICATION - FP/35/17/HH - 14 Bridger Close, Felpham,
West Sussex*

*The Covenants of David Wilson to which estate we are governed by states - That the
house owners agrees to abide by the sections stated below and have duly signed in the
presents of their and our legal teams as below:*

Section 4 - Not to erect any structure on the front or side elevation to the property.

Section 5 - Not to damage the walls surrounding the property.

*Section 3 - From a period of 5 years from completion not to erect any gate, fencepost,
fence, screen, walls etc. without the transferor's authority and consent has not been given
or adhered to in this case.*

*The applicant has without consent or planning permission to which we are seeking legal
advice at present put a 2 metre approximately sky blue/green fence to the side of the
property to which they refer to as existing which is not anywhere near within keeping with
the said estate. It is unsightly and distasteful in colour to which we have to look out onto
from our Kitchen, Bedroom and Dining Room window as our house front is adjacent the
their side garden.*

Section 7 the gardens are maintained in their original positions.

*This would be as a side garden not incorporated in a rear garden as the applicant wishes
to do.*

*David Wilson have planned the houses on this estate to be with front gardens and side
gardens to add character within the estate with a pleasant outlook for each resident.*

The applicant has already rear access to their garden from the other side of their property.

*The said fence they would need to maintain from our property and this would not be
granted also the installation of such fence workmen would not be permitted on our
property. We have already had to jet wash our garage drive from the green/blue staining
from the prohibited fence installed and during the winter the fence was damaged by the*

winds and a large piece of timber came away and luckily didn't damage vehicles or our properties in this instance.

The whole David Wilson Estate to which we are situated on has no fencing apart from rear garden and gates. The other properties have brick to walls to side gardens to which is in keeping with the development.

The brick wall to which the applicant is intending to take down is attached to our garage wall and we give no permission take down the wall.

Within saying this we would like to put forward a proposal to the planning department and the applicant that we are willing as a gesture of goodwill to enable a way forward and only if permission is granted by David Wilson that a redesign of materials with 225mms solid brick wall to keep in keeping with the rest of the development and so that there is no maintenance needed as close boarded fence will break down overtime and the applicant has shown the close boarding would need to be maintained on our property which is not granted. This would be also more cosmetically pleasing to our outlook and to the other surrounding properties.

Yours faithfully

Mark Likely

Your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

Please be aware that if the application is to be determined by the Council's Development Control committee and your letter has been received at a late stage after the Agenda has been published you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the Council's website or telephone the Council for further information. Please be advised that any comments you make that are not included within the Committee Agenda will be reported to the Members of the Development Control committee. Please also be aware that only a small number of all planning applications received go the Development Control Committee. Most are determined under delegated powers by Officers in which case your comments will again be considered before the application is determined.

Please be aware that Planning Services operate an 'open file' policy and will publish details of your comments on the internet on the Arun District Council Planning section. Please ensure that you only provide information that you are happy will be made available in this way. If you supply information belonging to a third party, please ensure you have their permission to do so. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the 'open file' please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed although this means that its contents will then be disregarded.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Karl Roberts
Director Planning & Economic Regeneration