

-----Original Message-----

From: Jan Hill [REDACTED]

Sent: 11 May 2019 14:47

To: Planning

Subject: FP/32/19/PL Objection

Dear Sirs

We are writing to inform you of our objection to the proposed planning application FP/32/19/PL.

My parents are residents of Flax Mean, which as you will be aware is a sheltered housing complex, with most residents being of retirement age & above. There are also many residents that have mobility issues & need to use wheelchairs, mobility scooters or who are just slow movers! Increased through traffic would bring health & safety issues with it!

Having viewed the proposed planning application, we are shocked that it would be for 9 dwellings, with no or little planned parking, for what would possibly equate to a minimum of 9 cars, possibly more as most households have 2 cars nowadays. It would also appear that there is proposed access via Flax Mean, apparently between the privately owned bungalows.

This would not only be detrimental to the owners of those properties, but would also have an impact on the health, safety & peace & quiet to the residents of Flax Mean.

Flax Mean is accessed by the current residents, their visitors & health care providers (which many residents require) & it is already apparent that parking spaces are of a premium. If you allow access to the new proposed development via Flax Mean, then this would surely result in additional vehicles taking the spaces allocated for the current properties.

The bungalows at Flax Mean also enjoy a shared green & they currently feel safe using this communal area as most people have come to know the other residents & therefore enjoy the safety of the community that has been created. If there is rear access for the residents of the new proposed housing, what would stop them using the green, which in reality is the garden area for each of the bungalows surrounding it?

As a general view, the site is not suitable for such a large number of dwellings & this should be greatly reduced. Ideally the current unit that stands on the site should remain as a retail unit, as this best serves the local community. As there is already access to the current dwelling via Felpham Way, then this should remain the access

for any proposed development to the site & the appropriate health & safety measures taken to ensure that this does not affect the current pedestrian access to other retail units/residential properties either side of it.

To reiterate our view, we strongly object to the proposed building of these units & any access via Flax Mean.

Yours faithfully

Ms J Hill on behalf of Mr & Mrs J Farndell

Sent from my iPad