


YOUR REF: FP/244/17/T.



MR. S. SWAIN
26 LIONEL AVENUE
POZZ BLG.

17. 11 2017

Dear Amber Willard

With reference to your letter of the 8.11.2017 and our telephone conversation of the 13.11.2017 I do not understand how you can make "visual amenity" more important than the problems which a tree of the size in question brings when in close proximity to a house, its access and the services that a laid to it.

In this case the visual amenity came about from the work done following the 2005 planning consent ref: FP/161/05/T. and it is to return the tree to that size that was being sought. Further more you state that I have to accept your view or do nothing. The do nothing option is not possible. So I am being forced to accept your revised description.

For clarity I am taking it that the 2.5m maximum lateral spread reduction is the amount that can be removed from each branch end of the tree's outer parts of the crown to maintain a balanced shape described in your papers on crown reduction.

Yours sincerely,

S. Swain.