

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 19 November 2020 08:21
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: FP/212/20/OUT (6 The Loop)

Amanda Scholes | Receptionist, Department, [Arun District Council](#) | Location: Ground Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37736 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37736 | E-mail: amanda.scholes@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at [Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.](#)



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Ian Makgill [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 November 2020 17:54
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>; Ruth Makgill [REDACTED]
Subject: FP/212/20/OUT (6 The Loop)

Dear Ms Tomalova,

I would like to write to object to planning application FP/212/20/OUT.

I believe that the application fails to correctly identify the likely impact on flooding as it is directly adjacent to a water course that is prone to flooding. Recent flooding on the estate has pushed sewage out of drains further inland and reducing soak aways will likely exacerbate flooding in the future.

Other properties that have been built this close to the seafront have included significant ground works to ensure that they support the adjacent sea defences, by building so close to the sea defences, across common land, but without proper drainage, the property is likely to force rising sea water into adjacent properties. Sea water is already flowing down the path that links the Loop to the sea front, additional construction will only exacerbate this problem.

The application does not appear to be particularly sensitive to the surrounding area, particularly in relation to neighbours within the Loop who have good reason to object to a new development overlooking their property and interrupting their sea view, which I'm sure you will recognise as a precious commodity.

The application appears to have all the hallmarks of an attempt to 'game' the planning system. It looks like the developer is submitting an application that he knows will be rejected, purely so that they can later submit an amended plan and then argue that he has 'listened' to the concerns of local residents, when in reality he is just trying to gerrymander the system in his favour.

There is no reason for the Council to look particularly kindly on this application, it is insensitive, likely to result in flooding and appears to be submitted as some sort of Trojan horse. I hope you grant it the objection it so urgently deserves.

Regards,

Ian Makgill

2 Strand Way, Felpham.