

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 08 November 2018 14:46
To: 'James Potter'
Subject: RE: FW: FP/171/18/PL

Dear James,

Mark has said that he will be providing me with a set of conditions/minor changes shortly. I will be better able to advise when I see these.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: James Potter [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 November 2018 10:42
To: Simon Davis; Mark Warwick
Cc: Planning JPA
Subject: RE: FW: FP/171/18/PL

Good morning Simon,

Apologies about this confusion and this is why I tried to call straight away as an email is very black and white-Which is why I wanted to talk this over with yourself on the phone. I fully agree with you that you should not be asking Mark to change his opinion and this is not what I was asking you to do so, I apologise if this was how you read my email. Based on this confusion, I have copied in Mark in to the email as I do not want there to be any crossed wires in this situation as I am trying to work towards a suitable solution and not trying to ruffle any feathers.

During my conversation with Mark he had suggested as the only way to resolve this issue before going to committee was some form of suitably worded condition(s) to be fixed between yourselves. During the conversation, Mark went on to explain how he would require the construction information for the no dig solution and that from this information, suitable conditions could be within the officers report to the committee. Following this conversation yesterday, I then requested this information from our consultants to be sent over as soon as possible for this specific purpose.

After reading the report I did stress to Mark my feelings on how extremely disappointed we are with his response. He understood our position as he has given a zero percent tolerance on the RPA's and explained how this is not his usual stance. This is the first time we have ever had a zero percent rule placed on RPA's with the usual rule of thumb being no more than a 25% incursion. The key thing I am disappointed about here, is that we are 'land filling' on these specific areas and had already indicated this within our submitted levels drawing showing the raise in levels at these particular locations on the site. This raise in levels makes it hard to understand Marks position, as the current ground level where the roots are positioned sit at 700mm and 1000mm below the proposed road, pathways and parking bay construction.

I do believe this current tree report could have a detrimental impact on the application at committee. The solution yesterday was to get the requested information back over to Mark to review and for him to discuss with yourself about a suitable condition(s) to be added in place of the objection.

Can you confirm that you will not require the no-dig information as we are no longer looking into removing the objection?

Please give me a call at any stage as I am keen to help with any solutions in this matter.

Kind regards,

James

James Potter
Director

James Potter Associates Ltd
Architectural design



W: www.jpa.design