

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 08 November 2017 12:55
To: 'Miles Young'
Cc: Jessica Ferguson
Subject: RE: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Dear Miles,

I have checked with Highways and although this does mitigate part of the issue, they still have concerns about the illegal parking as set out in their earlier emails. I have been advised further that:

"Yes, the comment on illegal parking still stands. Delivery vehicles cannot enter the site and park when cars are parked adjacent to the store and this still needs to be addressed by the applicant. Of the options available some form of no parking markings adjacent to the store could be considered, however these will need to be enforced by the store staff. Alternatively the area could be 'coned off' by store staff when deliveries are due. It is appreciated that there is a business need for morning deliveries, however with customer's existing parking habits, deliveries are highly likely to result in standing vehicles in the highway - which is a highway safety concern."

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Miles Young [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 November 2017 17:17
To: Simon Davis
Cc: Jessica Ferguson
Subject: RE: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Simon,

I confirm agreement to the County's suggestion of no deliveries between 1500 and 1800 on weekdays. Are you now able to approve?

Many thanks,

Miles

Miles Young
Director

21 Buckingham Street
London, WC2N 6EF

Visit our website at www.mrpp.co.uk

MRPP is a trading division of Martin Robeson & Partners Ltd (Registration No. 05329525).

MRPP

MARTIN ROBESON
PLANNING PRACTICE

From: Jessica Ferguson
Sent: 23 October 2017 18:34
To: 'Simon Davis' <>
Cc: 'planning@arun.gov.uk' <planning@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Simon,

Many thanks for your email. In order to facilitate your suggestion, I agree an extension of time until 3rd November.

Kind regards,
Jessica

Jessica Ferguson
Senior Planner
MRPP
21 Buckingham Street
London, WC2N 6EF

visit our website at www.mrpp.co.uk

MRPP is a trading division of Martin Robeson & Partners Ltd (Registration No. 05329525).

MRPP

MARTIN ROBESON
PLANNING PRACTICE

From: Simon Davis [<mailto:Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 12 October 2017 17:14
To: Jessica Ferguson
Subject: FW: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Hi Jessica,

I have received the below email from Highways in response to your submission of 06 October. You may wish to try and discuss this direct with Highways?

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Debbie Farrell [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 October 2017 14:41
To: Simon Davis
Subject: FW: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Hi Simon

Although the graph provided gives an indication of Sales and the possibility of increased visitors during the evening peak it does not tell us a great deal about traffic levels. I have visited the site a number of times and there is a consistent problem with vehicles parking adjacent to the access on 'non parking spaces' a problem likely to be exacerbated by parked delivery vehicles.

If the condition was to be altered to *additionally, there shall be no deliveries to the retail unit between 1500 and 1800 on weekdays only* to include the school peaks this could be considered. However, there is still the issue of 'illegal' parking which needs to be recognised and addressed by the applicant. Whilst this type of parking is not actually illegal as it's off the public highway it does indicate there is a capacity problem which will be made worse by delivery vehicles during the peak periods.

Please call if you would like to discuss further.

Kind regards
Debbie

Debbie Farrell | Planner, County Highways (Development Management), Planning Services;
Economy, Planning, Place Directorate, West Sussex County Council
Location: Ground Floor, Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1QT
[REDACTED]

Part-time working hours: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday 08:00 – 15:00

From: Simon Davis [<mailto:Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>]
Sent: 09 October 2017 10:38

To: Debbie Farrell
Subject: FW: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Hi Debbie,

Further to your email of 06 September, I have received further information from the applicant in the below email (and with reference to the attached graph). They are asking if you can agree to the following revised condition: *"No servicing or deliveries (with the exception of newspapers) to the retail unit hereby approved shall take place between the hours of 2300 and 0700 on any day. Additionally, there shall be no deliveries to the retail unit between 1600 and 1800 on weekdays only."*

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Jessica Ferguson [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 October 2017 18:40
To: Simon Davis
Cc: Miles Young; Planning
Subject: RE: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Simon,

We have now reviewed the County's response in respect of highways. Their main concern relates to the impact of deliveries on the safe operation of the site during weekday peak hours. This concern arises from the assertion that it is difficult to manoeuvre in and out of the echelon spaces opposite the store and therefore this is likely to result in a delay with vehicles waiting close to the site access. They allege that whilst this situation could occur at any time of the day, it can be contained within the site outside of peak hours. It is asserted that during peak times however, blocking could impact the public highway due to the number of vehicles entering the site. Thus, although the County Council does not object in principle to amending the delivery condition, they propose that deliveries are restricted between the hours of 0700 to 0900 and 1600 to 1800 (considered by the County Council to be the 'peak' hours here).

Conversely our onsite observations and discussions with store management indicate that the car park operates well and would not be prejudiced by the presence of delivery hours during the weekday peak hours. However, we have now obtained sales data which provides an indication of the hourly trading performance of the store for each day. This data is provided in the attached graph and shows that the peak activity of the store occurs at two points during the trading day; lunchtime (between 1100 and 1300) and evenings (between 1600 to 1800). However, it can be seen that during the AM peak (0700 and 0900), the store is quiet relative to other periods. There is therefore no justification to limit store deliveries taking place in the morning. The car park is designed to operate efficiently whilst deliveries are occurring and data in respect of store activity identifies that this is one of the quieter periods in the trading day.

The design of the car park is one which ensures that any interaction between delivery vehicles and customers is limited and any potential delay in manoeuvring in to/out of an echelon space is momentary. Notwithstanding this and our view that there should be no restriction on the hours of delivery, the client is mindful of the activity of its store and would therefore be willing to restrict weekday deliveries between 1600 and 1800. This relates to the weekday peak activity of the store and also corresponds with the suggested peak activity of the adjacent highway.

Your Environmental Health colleagues raised no objection, but requested that no deliveries take place between 0600 to 0700, which our client is willing to accept.

Thus, in light of the foregoing, our client can accept the following amended condition:

"No servicing or deliveries (with the exception of newspapers) to the retail unit hereby approved shall take place between the hours of 2300 and 0700 on any day. Additionally, there shall be no deliveries to the retail unit between 1600 and 1800 on weekdays only."

On the basis you are agreeable to our client's position we agree to a further extension of time until 13th October.

Kind regards,
Jessica

Jessica Ferguson
Senior Planner
MRPP
21 Buckingham Street
London, WC2N 6EF

visit our website at www.mrpp.co.uk

MRPP is a trading division of Martin Robeson & Partners Ltd (Registration No. 05329525).



From: Debbie Farrell [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 September 2017 12:13
To: Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk
Cc: Jessica Ferguson [REDACTED]
Subject: FP/161/17 - Tesco Store, Felpham - Variation of Condition

Dear Simon

Further the email of the 23/08 from Simon Davis, we have the following comments:

The information provided in support of the original application FP/133/08 does appear to focus on the impact of additional traffic on the local highway network and not on the operation of the site itself and the impact of delivery vehicles on highway safety in the immediate vicinity of the site access. However, no reason is given in the WSP Transport Review report as to why the condition restricting deliveries to outside of peak hours was recommended.

From the site visit photographs, it can be seen that manoeuvring in and out of the echelon spaces (in particular the two most easterly) spaces may be difficult for vehicles other than a small car type when deliveries are taking place. In addition, the westerly space results in vehicles reversing onto the pedestrian crossing. Whilst these manoeuvres are possible and could be shown with a traffic plot on a plan, they do appear to be limited and likely result in vehicles waiting at or close to the access for a prolonged period.

The photographs also show vehicles parked in areas within the site which are not parking spaces i.e. on either side of the access. It is appreciated that this is a decision made by drivers and

difficult to manage however it is argued that this type of parking will continue to occur and does have an impact on the application.

Whilst it is acknowledged that a bottleneck could occur at any time of day due to; irresponsible parking and slow manoeuvres out of the echelon spaces, the result of such a blockage is likely to be contained within the site outside of peak times. However, during peak times has the potential to impact on the public highway due to increased demand to access the store.

The Tesco's store is indeed visible from the A2259 and it is likely that a proportion of drivers who see the car park is at capacity will not stop. However, there are also a proportion of drivers who will stop regardless. Due to the nature of the store as a convenience facility drivers may consider their visit to be a necessity during peak hours; to and from work/school run etc.

As mentioned in my previous response, no parking capacity survey/analysis of the car park operation has been submitted nor has any up-to date traffic data been submitted. The BRRR has been fully opened since March 2015 and traffic levels in the area have altered considerably and whilst there has been a reduction in through traffic there has been an increase in local traffic due to the new development and likely an increase in previously untapped demand for the A2259 (local journeys) due to less congestion.

In conclusion, there does not appear to be any up to date information on traffic flows/ car park demand/car park operation/proposed number of deliveries to support the application and therefore our objection is based on site observations at peak times and recommendations from the original application. As Highway Authority we need to ensure a site is operating safely for all road users, additional survey information may provide sufficient evidence to show that the site will still operate safely with deliveries occurring at peak time – there is also the potential to reduce/alter the peak times as local traffic peaks may be linked to school start/finish times for example.

Kind regards,

Debbie

Debbie Farrell | Planner, County Highways (Development Management), Planning Services;
Economy, Planning, Place Directorate, West Sussex County Council
Location: Ground Floor, Northleigh, County Hall, Chichester, PO19 1QT

Part-time working hours: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday 08:00 – 15:00

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

NLPG UPRN 100062237016
DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third

party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

NLPG UPRN 100062237016

DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.
