

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 August 2019 16:29
To: Maria Tomalova <Maria.Tomalova@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FP/139/19/OUT

Dear Maria

I see there is a consultation response from Felpham Parish Council of today's date on the listings.

I had previously requested in an email to My Wickens as follows:

"

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Outline application with all matters reserved for the erection of 1 No. dwelling. Land south of garage compound & East of 12 Alfriston Close Felpham
Date: Monday, July 15, 2019 10:35 AM
Size: 3 KB

Our ref: FPC07191

Your ref: OWPC23663

15 July 2019

OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION (All matters reserved) FP/139/19/OUT

Dear Mr Wickens

Further to an internet communication by the parish council dated 10 July to Arun District Council, shown as consultation with their response letter back to you of the same date, stating:

"Objection - more information needed please before FPC can comment further."

please can you let us know what further information would be required.

The application and other documents are available online as you know, but we can forward them to you if you have not seen these.

Kind regards

Claire Francis
Prime Planning Advocates Ltd"

but I never received a response from him for my request.

As a result of the 14 August continued objection from FPC I feel I should answer now I know what the issues appear to be. with the same numbering in response to.

- 1/ The single storey building would be clad so as to look very similar to the bungalow 2 Fittleworth Drive on the other side of the cul de sac. It would not look out of place,
- 2/ As I just pointed out there is a single storey/bungalow on the other side of the road so not all two storey developments as stated.
- 3/ There is plenty of room in a hammerhead turning area for access when you disregard the cars parked there and there is sufficient room for a parking space behind the garages and the access to them.
- 4/ I do not know if the other plots mentioned share the same attributes as this one, being in a cul de sac, conforming to the space requirements etc. as to whether this point has merit.
- 5/ If the public maintained highway refers to the footway, as previously stated, this will be unaffected.
- 6/ The development conforms to the recommended space requirements for a building of its size.

The final reference to ESD1 has I believe been answered many times before in suitable cladding, roof covering to match the existing. It is difficult to understand how this can be stated until any detailed application is submitted in any event.

It would appear to support many of the other ESD's in the Felpham Neighbourhood Plan 2013-2029 as well:

- ESD4: New dwellings - code for sustainable homes
- ESD8: Building design
- ESD9: Tree protection
- ESD10: Design details
- ESD11: Increasing the energy efficiency of our buildings
- ESD12: Renewable energy schemes

Many thanks

Claire Francis