

Further Ecology response




Afternoon,

I am concerned that the lights proposed are still send light upwards. We tend to only approve directional lighting which is pointing downwards, and not towards any vegetation or building roofs etc.

Many Thanks

Liz



You have both (EH and Ecology) raised concerns re the lighting proposed and in response I have received the attached email, amended lighting layout and the following text in italics:

Please see link below for external PIR lights. They are supplied by green lighting and are 8 watt LED, Activated by PIR so are not on permanently.

https://greenlighting.co.uk/product/gu10-up-down-wall-light/?attribute_pa_finish=stainless-steel

The 5 streetlamps and the fixed PIR lighting to dwellings has been designed with the ecology surveys in mind. I note the ecological assessment says a "sensitive lighting scheme" hence the minimal amount of columns. The report also states " Though several bat species take advantage of artificial lighting systems for foraging." And although the grassland provides a feeding ground for bats "the surrounding trees on site were considered to hold negligible potential to support bats due to lack of sustainable features" Trees have been retained however and enhancements made to not impact the bats however.

Please provide further comments

Simon J Davis MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer
Directorate of Place



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to www.arun.gov.uk/cil

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)

