
LUKEN BECK

30 Carlton Crescent, Southampton SO15 2EW
Tel: 023 8063 3440 Fax: 023 8063 3441

Mr. Paul Stack,
Arun District Council,
Arun Civic Centre,
Maltravers Road,
Littlehampton,
West Sussex BN17 5LF

2nd July 2012

Our Ref: GB/ADC/01
Your Ref: FP/220/07

Dear Mr Stack,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

**Approval of reserved matters following outline application FP/92/04 - The Western section of the Bognor Regis Northern Relief Road between the A259 at Felpham to the A29 & associated engineering works - Ref: FP220/07
PP - 02051013**

I refer to our original correspondence dated the 4th October 2011 with which we attached a discharge of condition application in connection with the above on behalf of Barratt David Wilson Homes. More recently two refusal notices have been received in respect of conditions 4 and 12 on the basis that insufficient material was submitted to the authority.

As we explained on that occasion, the description of development for FP220/07 should read "The Eastern section of the Bognor Regis Northern Relief Road et al." It is believed a typing error was made when the decision notice was issued.

This new application is to discharge conditions 4 and 12 relating to FP/220/07 for which a cheque is enclosed for the amount of £85 to cover the cost of this application.

On the 21st June 2012 you sent an e-mail to Steve Akehurst in which you stated the following;

"The original consent this section of the BRNRR was FP/220/07. I understand the following to be the current position regarding conditions that require discharging:

- 2 - bridge over railway, superseded by AL/113/09
- 4 - safety assessments - to be submitted
- 5 - flood compensation areas, altered by AL/113/09
- 6 - cycle route crossing point linked to phase 3, requires approval before commencement of this phase
- 9 - retaining structure to south side of road, your position is that S38 will pick up this detail but in any event there is no longer any requirement for this structure therefore condition is superseded



RTPI

mediation of space - making of place

Lukem Beck mdp Ltd.

Director: Graham Beck JP, M.B.A., LL.B.(Hons), B.A.(Hons), Dip.T.P., M.A.T.P., M.C.M.I.

Mark Lukem M.B.A., B.Sc.(Hons) (Hons), Dip.T.P., M.P.T.P., M.C.M.I.

10 - footbridge no longer required

11 - replacement hedges, this is linked to commencement of construction of A29 roundabout.

Whilst not directly related to viaduct works the terms of this condition have been breached and remains outstanding

12 - boundary safety fencing - to be submitted"

By and large your comments coincide with our letter in October last year. Nonetheless our instructions are to apply for the discharge of conditions 4 and 12 only at this stage.

Condition 4 requires the approval of the LPA for the safety assessments in connection with the surface water drainage system and flood compensation areas but it is understood that Brian Holland had previously approved all such details under separate permissions for which reason there is no requirement to repeat same here. As these elements will be phased however, it is intended to discharge part of condition 4 only relating to those areas of surface water drainage and flood compensation at the eastern end of the site, around phases 1 and 2 of the housing development to include the BRNRR. With the approval of the viaduct, much of the central flood compensation areas are no longer necessary. The following drawings are submitted in this regard; WSP drawings

§ 30854-KD-103 A

§ 30854-KD-102-A

§ 30854-KD-101-A

§ 30854-SEC-00-E; 01E; 02D; 03B; 04B; 05B; 06B.

We also attach the RoSPA report – Attenuation Pond Safety Assessment although this document has been previously submitted to ADC; as you can see the date on the report is 2008 but we believe the contents of the report remain sound today.

Condition 12 requires details of all boundary or safety fencing used alongside the BRNRR to be submitted for various phases. Given the road includes the first two roundabouts and construction has commenced towards the third roundabout, the attached drawings mentioned under condition 4 above together with the landscape drawings below are submitted to discharge the condition thus far. Clearly further boundary or safety fencing details will be submitted for later phases as necessary.

§ D1530.L.233 rev E

§ D1530.L.234 rev F

§ D1530.L.235 rev F

§ D1530.L.236 rev E

Should you have any questions, please let us know.

Yours sincerely,

Graham Beck

Director

Email grahambeck@lukenbeck.com

Copy to G Gasson, BDW

Encl – cheque