


Arun District Council
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF



32 Sea Drive
Summerley Est.,
Felpham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
15th July 2017

Att of Mr. Mark Jones Designated Planning Officer

Re Planning Application FP/119/17/HH

Dear Mr. Jones,

I write to express my objection to the above Planning Application submitted. I have lived on the Summerley Estate for many years and have never seen such a ridiculous suggestion, to put artificial hedging on a first floor balcony, with the location being adjacent to the sea front. Those of us who live on the seafront are very aware of the weather conditions experienced throughout the calendar year. We have a prevailing S.Westerly wind, which varies from a daily breeze to a full blown gale force wind in excess of 90 mph. The scheme proposed could not work, for the reasons stated above, and thus could not provide a permanent solution to create privacy for any neighbouring properties. At the present time, we are on view from the Westerly open end of the balcony at no. 31.

All major rebuilds, new builds, as viewed from the seafront, walking from Elmer to Bognor Regis, have been required under Planning by your Department to either have 1.8mt. obscure privacy glass end panels to all open ends, or the option of rendered block/brick walls, or the same but with cladding, in lieu of the high privacy glass panels. Not a single property has ever had artificial hedging, as Planning Consent has always required either high privacy glass or walling, both options are "permanent" and will be "in perpetuity". The most recent Application FP/91/17/PL in the road, had the 1.8mt.obscure glass end panels shown.

I understand that this application is a result of the property failing to comply with a specified Condition, when the original plans for a renovation/rebuild were approved. The consent refers to FP/114/15/HH, and the Condition is no 4.

The building structure re the balconies does not comply with the specification/approved detail/conditions attached and imposed by Arun District Council, due to the fact that all three open ends have clear 1.1 mt. high glass instead of the specified 1.8 mt. obscure glass panels, and I would like to know, why the Authority has not enforced the Planning Conditions so breached, since being made aware of this in February of this year.

I look forward to hearing from you on this matter in due course, and of course to hear that the proposal has been rejected and that an Enforcement Notice has been served to ensure our Privacy is provided in the long term via the obscure glass panels.

Yours sincerely



N.Petrie & E.J.Petrie