

Eve Hearsey

SDA

From: Christopher Hobden [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 January 2011 19:07
To: Eve Hearsey
Subject: FW: Soldiers Field Stables Findon FN 83/10

Attention Eve Hearsey

ACK

Please accept the following emails as evidence of our representations on the above planning application.

EEH

Christopher & Elisabeth Hobden
Soldiers Field House
Soldiers Field Lane
Findon BN14 OSH

From: Graham Whitehouse [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2011 09:46
To: Christopher Hobden
Cc: Eve.Hearsey@arun.gov.uk
Subject: RE: Soldiers Field Stables Findon FN 83/10

Dear Chris

Thanks for your comments which are noted and agreed. I will tie up any similar minor "tweaks" and liaise further with Eve Hearsey.

Regards

Graham Whitehouse

From: Christopher Hobden [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2011 09:21
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Eve.Hearsey@arun.gov.uk
Subject: FW: Soldiers Field Stables Findon FN 83/10

Dear Graham

Many thanks for your helpful response yesterday to our email of 30th December.

I forgot to mention one further point which we noticed on the plans. Drawing 10/157/Sk06 has the proposed garage on our boundary, which is fine, but we request that the pitched roof is hipped at the South end (to match North end) as this will reduce the height and visual impact of the new building on our boundary.

Kind regards
Chris

Christopher & Elisabeth Hobden
Soldiers Field House
Findon BN14 OSH

From: Christopher Hobden
Sent: 30 December 2010 07:46

19/01/2011

To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Eve.Hearsey@arun.gov.uk
Subject: RE: Soldiers Field Stables Findon FN 83/10

Attention Graham Whitehouse

Dear Graham

Thank you for listening to the concerns we have about planning application FN 83/10.

1. Drawing No.10/157/Sk03 shows 2 windows at first floor level in the southern gable end wall. These windows directly overlook our property, whereas in the planning consent already granted FN 37/09 there are no directly overlooking windows.
2. Boundary – Please note that your client's Southern boundary and our Northern boundary is the line of the existing stable buildings which are to be demolished. What will the design treatment be for the new boundary fence or wall? Our store building (situated where the East West boundary makes a 90 degree turn to the South) gains support on one side from the existing wall and has done since 1971 when both properties were in the same ownership..
3. FN 37/09 has the Bin Store building to the south of the main entrance. FN 83/10 (Drawing No.10/157/Loc) does not replicate this but shows the existing single storey building in that location demolished. Again the rear wall of this existing building defines the boundary between your client's property and ours and if it is to be demolished, please specify the design treatment you propose for the new boundary fence or wall.
4. Soldiers Field Lane is single track with no passing places for vehicles, there is a steep incline as it joins Convent Gardens. Vehicles entering Soldiers Field Lane from Nepcote Lane via Convent Gardens cannot initially see vehicles or pedestrians coming down Soldiers Field Lane. Conflicting traffic could make use of a passing place if this was included in the plans for the entrance to the new development. Horse transporter vehicles are large and cannot easily reverse back up the lane having left the stables, conflicting traffic therefore has to reverse down the incline into Convent Gardens/Nepcote Lane which is dangerous.

Generally we support the development of the existing stables and did not object in principle to Planning Application FN 37/09.

On a related subject, drainage for the new development will need to be considered as there is only a single 4.5 inch diameter foul drain serving your clients existing property and this discharges into the private manhole on our property; there will be insufficient capacity for the proposed development to use the existing system, what are your proposals?

We look forward to hearing your response to these concerns with the aim of reaching agreement and avoiding the need for formal objection.

With kind regards

Chris & Lis

Christopher & Elisabeth Hobden
Soldiers Field House
Soldiers Field Lane
Findon BN14 0SH

From: Graham Whitehouse [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 December 2010 12:16
To: Christopher Hobden
Subject: Soldiers Field Findon

19/01/2011

Dear Mr Hobden

I refer to our telecon earlier this morning. Thank you for taking the trouble to discuss your concerns with me.

I have relayed our discussion to my client and await their instructions to amend as suggested. I will of course keep you informed.

Regards,

Graham Whitehouse Director BA DArch RIBA
Chartered Architect

Fairfield House
Fairfield Road
Burgess Hill
West Sussex
RH15 8QB

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be privileged. It is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this e-mail immediately. The contents of this e-mail must not be disclosed or copied without the sender's consent. We cannot accept any responsibility for viruses, so please scan all attachments. The statements and opinions expressed in this message are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the company. GWP Architects does not take any responsibility for the views of the author.

--
This message has been scanned for viruses and dangerous content by **MailScanner**, and is **believed to be clean.**

--
This message has been scanned for viruses and dangerous content by **MailScanner**, and is **believed to be clean.**

