

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 May 2018 18:32
To: Anita Gardner
Cc: Planning
Subject: FG/65/18/PL : 78 Langbury Lane Extension

Anita

In answer to various comments from neighbours.

To hopefully clarify some of these I would comment as below.

1. No 1 Brookside close has concerns about the extensions being overbearing on their property. The extensions cannot actually be seen from Brookside Close as they are both on the other side of the building and are minimal in scale.
2. Various people have concerns about the numbers of residents and staff. The existing care home has **16** bedrooms and if full would probably have more staff with more traffic than are required for the proposed **10** bedroom. The new layout **reduces** the number of residents and provides larger bedrooms all with en suite to give a better quality of living space in accordance with the CQC guidance. Taking this into account the amount of parking and vehicle movements although more than when a handful of residents were living in the care home as there has been for a while would be less than if the 16 bed care home was running at full capacity. If the client wished they could continue to run the care home as a 16 bed care home providing poor quality accommodation. This would not require any form of planning permission. This is not the case, they provide **high quality of care and accommodation** in a friendly family orientated manner that people would like relatives to receive.

Regards

Paul Clarke
Director

PDK Architecture and Surveying



29 Breach Avenue, Southbourne, Emsworth, Hampshire, PO10 8NB.

This e-mail is confidential. If you receive it by mistake, please inform us immediately and delete it from your system. You should not copy, disclose, or distribute its contents to anyone nor act in reliance on this e-mail, as this is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.