



From: Daun Johnson
Sent: 16 January 2019 08:01
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Planning ref FG/1/19/PL

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 January 2019 13:02
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Planning ref FG/1/19/PL

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Tue, Jan 15, 2019 at 12:30 PM
Subject: Planning ref FG/1/19/PL

[REDACTED]

Dear Mr Castro-Parker,

We strongly object to any variation of condition 5 imposed under planning ref FG/1/18/HH 63 Midhurst Drive Ferring, BN12 5BQ

The applicants have ignored the original planning conditions, by installing opening windows with clear glass in a deliberate attempt to bypass planning conditions.

If they were not happy with the conditions, they should have objected before they commenced building work.

Now that they have been found out, they are applying for retrospective planning permission.

As you are fully aware it is not necessary to have opening windows in order to clean and maintain them.

They can be cleaned and maintained from the outside.

The idea of having locks inside is ridiculous, this would be impossible to monitor or enforce, and opening 4 times a year is too vague a definition, it could be interpreted as open in March and close in September!

Also any film applied to the window could be removed, as would be the case when a new owner buys the property.

The proposed variation should not be accepted.

Mr & Mrs E Carvill
61 Midhurst Drive
Ferring, BN12 5BQ