

White Lodge
Hangleton Lane
Ferring
Worthing
West Sussex
BN12 6PP
24/11/2013

Planning Dept.
Arun District Council
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 5LF

FG/134/13 Application

Dear Mrs Gardner

This is a formal objection to the above application

Points of objection:-

- 1 – No site notices displayed in area to advise the public of their intentions.
- 2 – An exit from the proposed development onto Hangleton Lane would be totally unacceptable this is a very dangerous junction as it is now, more vehicles exiting this proposed site onto the lane at this point can only mean disaster, the exit from Worthing Garden Centre already creates problems.
- 3 – Application states the area is not a flood area, this is untrue, Hangleton Lane at this point where their proposed exit would enter into the lane is impassable under heavy rain conditions, unless all surface water including the exit road is not disposed of or retained on site, then this would only add to the flood problems.
- 4 – Application states parking for 25 vehicles + 2 disabled bays, looking at the plan I can count at least 65 spaces with room for more, if you look at how tight they display their present vehicles.
- 5 – Their proposed exit would enter Hangleton Lane not only at a very dangerous junction, but also at one of the narrowest spots in its entire

length this is also the only section with a pavement which at the moment protects pedestrians & horse riders who also use the pavement for safety.

6 – The proposed exit onto Hangleton Lane only looks wide enough for cars how about the larger commercial vehicles that service the site Refuse trucks / Parts delivery trucks / Oil trucks / Vehicle transporters etc. if they could get out onto the lane it would be a very slow and dangerous manoeuvre at this junction.

7 – On the opposite side of the lane to the proposed exit is a large inadequate storm drain and ditch which causes the flood problem, these long goods vehicles trying to exit the proposed site would undoubtedly need to use the western verge and if so would slide into this deep ditch rendering Hangleton lane closed until craned out.

8 – When the site is full with more sales cars / staff cars etc. where are the prospective customers going to park, or walk on customers (browsers) who do not want to be seen by sales people parking up, it is quite obvious – Hangleton Lane on the pavement, verges, and the first bungalows garden ??

9 – I cannot see this development benefitting Ferring in any way what so ever, the bungalows on the south side of the A259 may benefit for a short period if staff park on site as illustrated on the plan, but as normal on new developments when the site becomes established and fills up staff will be told to park off site, so back they go to the slip road outside the bungalows on the south side of the A259.

10 – Has any consideration been given to the residents in the near by bungalow behind the proposed site with high fencing and bright lights up 6m poles, surely the highway lighting would be sufficient.

11 – The application states that the bungalow to be demolished is vacant at present, this is untrue – it is occupied by Mr K Bostock.

