



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 November 2018 10:08
To: Planning; Planning.Responses; Stuart Corbey
Subject: FW: Representation on: FG/129/18/PL at 44 Ferringham Lane

I have had an automated response to this but no actual acknowledgement from anyone. I would've appreciated the courtesy of a response.
Thank you

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 November 2018 18:42
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Representation on: FG/129/18/PL at 44 Ferringham Lane

I am writing further to yet another objection I have placed on this ongoing saga. I did leave a message for a call back from you or someone in your office but needless to say I have not had one. The lady I spoke to could not put me through. Nor advise me when you'd be available. And could not put me through to anyone else. She needed to send a memo to let you know that I'd called! I in fact left a message on your voicemail in the end.

I am at a loss to understand why this applicant continues to challenge what, as far as I can see, are valid conditions placed on this development. However, with the arrogance and character of this man it, on the other hand, it doesn't surprise me. Clearly not a person who likes conditions or the word no.

I note from other documents on this application that he has rounded up a number of "supporters" none of whom live in Ferring or will be impacted in any way by this development.

A report sent in to yourselves dated 29 June 2018 containing staged photographs trying to prove the access is ok doesn't reflect the true situation. It doesn't show a vehicle travelling south down Ferringham Lane then wanting to turn left into the access lane to the proposed site. It doesn't show how that vehicle, even a car, has to cross to the wrong side of the road to gain the swing to be able to turn into that lane. It doesn't show that a bus stop is in close proximity. Surely lifting this condition removes all the safety issues that probably made the condition valid in the first place. The report mentions Little Paddocks and seemingly the road is narrow. This estate is a totally different matter with adequate passing points within it.

The report also doesn't show that when a bonfire of Mr Cornell's was out of control that the fire engine responding actually parked in front of our house in Little Paddocks to access the property via the boundary that lies within the Paddocks.

I could revert back to the original objections we placed, but everything appears to be being disregarded. We wanted obscure glass facing us in these houses (not bungalows as described). Noise pollution. Light pollution. I could go on.

Unfortunately the whole village of Ferring is being ruined by squeezing several properties onto one site.

I would like these comments noted in advance of the committee meeting taking place on the 14th. Please acknowledge receipt.

Kim Culver
8 Little Paddocks, Ferring
[REDACTED]

=====

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: 07 November 2018 09:18
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Representation on: FG/129/18/PL at 44 Ferringham Lane

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

DX 57406 Littlehampton

You can view Arun District Council's Privacy Policy from <https://www.arun.gov.uk/privacy-policy>

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.

Kim Culver
8 Little Paddocks
Ferring
BN12 5NJ

Your Ref: OWPC20447
Our Ref: FG/129/18/PL 10-07-2018
Please ask for:
Stuart Corbey

7th November 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Application for variation of condition imposed on planning reference FG/115/17/PL
relating to condition no. 4 - access width.

44 Ferringham Lane Ferring Worthing

Thank you for your internet representation made on 6th November 2018 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

I find it incredible that planning conditions imposed are still being challenged by the applicant. It's a case of grinding the system down until they give in to what he wants. What about the existing residents? Do their opinions not matter. I see he has drummed up letters of support from people outside of Ferring. Letters from people on which this application will have no impact. I continue to object to this application.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning