

COPY

Letter rec'd in
reception

Mr & Mrs Chlouk
10 Meadow Way
Ferring
West Sussex
BN13 1NA

25-7-18.

Head of Planning Services
Arun District Council
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
West Sussex
BN17 1LF

Dear Sir/Madam,

Ref: FG/37/17/PL, New ref: FG/121/18/PL

We are writing in regard to the building works taking place at Onslow Caravan Park, BN12 5RX. We have been in communication with Mrs Coney, the Senior Planning Officer at Arun District Council, but we are dissatisfied with her decision and she has therefore advised us to contact you.

When Onslow Caravan Park first submitted the application to Arun District Council, we, together with our neighbours, objected to the building works. We continue to object to this building, which too close to our houses, for the following reasons -

1. It has affected our view of the Downs that we have enjoyed for the past 30 years.
2. The industrial building in a residential area is enormous and unsightly. It towers over our houses.
3. It affects the amount of daylight that we previously enjoyed
4. We believe it will affect the price of our property should we wish to sell in the future.
5. My wife works from home and the noise caused by the building works has and continues to cause great disruption and stress (we have video evidence of this should you require it).

As the building is going to be used as a workshop, we are also greatly concerned that there will be continuous noise in the future that will affect us and all our neighbours.

We suspect the building may house gas bottles for the caravan site (as it is also a storage facility), which presents a great safety threat to everyone in our street, especially us, as the building is 2.2m from our back garden.

In addition, we do not yet know if this building will have floodlights but if it does, we will object to this too.

Finally, we suspect the roof of the building is too close to the power lines, also causing safety issues.

Following our initial objection, we received a letter from Mrs Coney (copy enclosed), which clearly states planning permission would be denied, as the foundations laid were in breach of control/building regulations and that the occupier would be advised to remove the offending work within 6 weeks. Nothing was moved. A new application was then submitted by Onslow Caravan Park and this was also refused on the same grounds (copy enclosed). To get around the border breach issue, the caravan park owners brought in bulldozers (over the weekend, which caused a great deal of noise and disruption, which we found very stressful) and knocked down the existing fence that was there for the last 30 years. (photos enclosed). We contacted the Environmental Health department over the level of noise caused (letter enclosed) who followed up with the caravan park.

Once the park had built a new fence and re-submitted the application, which was then granted for no reason whatsoever, the building works continued even before the application was granted.

We believe that the caravan park owners have broken the law by moving the fence and starting the building work before permission was granted.

As the building was not moved how then can planning permission have been granted? Also, how is it that after re-applying for planning permission, no notification was displayed outside the property giving the local residents warning of the new application therefore reducing the time that they could then object again within the time specified.

We look forward to your response to our points and ideally, to having a meeting to discuss this further. If this could be held in Meadow Way, you would be able to see for yourself why we are objecting to this.

Yours faithfully,

Mr & Mrs Chlouk