Planning application FG/69/16/out. FG/115/17//PL
44 Ferringham Lane

For the attention of Mr Easton

Dear sir

I write with reference to the amendments to the above mentioned application.

I still object with the planning application for 44 Ferringham Lane.

I live three properties along from the proposed development at 44 Ferringham Lane. I feel very strongly against the plans and OBJECT on the following grounds:

1) traffic lights would bottleneck Ferringham Lane which is one of only two ways in and out of Ferring. This would cause major congestion. I also feel that the traffic lights would look unsightly and are not in keeping with the area. At night, it is currently pitch black, the traffic lights would cause light pollution.

2) The proposed traffic light system would not work as the turning circle for large lorries/fire engines entering the road to 44 Ferringham Lane is not wide enough. A fire engine certainly wouldn't be able to turn into the entrance of 44 Ferringham Lane due the entrance not being wide enough.

3) The traffic light system would also not work due to the traffic lights only picking up when vehicles enter/exit 44 Ferringham Lane. What happens when a mother/father is pushing a pushchair as well as a small infant walking along side and a vehicle is coming towards them? Would the mother/father and children have to turn around and walk back or would the on coming vehicle reverse back out onto Ferringham Lane by which time the traffic lights would have changed. The access road is not even wide enough for a walking pedestrian and a vehicle to access the site at the same time along a stretch of road which is well over 40 metres long.

4) How is a refuge vehicle going to enter 44 Ferringham Lane weekly? The access road is not wide enough

5) What happens in the event of a fire at 44 Ferringham Lane? You have five sets of families wanting to exit 44 Ferringham Lane along the narrow entrance/exit as well as emergency response trying to enter the site and a traffic light system to contender with.

6) A Fire engine does not carry enough firehose to make it all the way down the narrow entrance to the proposed four bungalows. As there is only one way to enter/exit and it being too narrow to get the fire engine down.

7) Your proposal states the garages would be wide enough to fit a car and a bicycle, however you have no way of insisting the residents park their car in their garage which could still lead to residents having more than three vehicles and parking on Ferringham Lane, causing congestion around the entrance, especially with your proposed traffic light system.

I live in Lavender Court (38 Ferringham Lane) Since living here I have had nothing but problems with "parking" which was a pre-existing problem before I moved in, unbeknown to myself. We are 4 two bedroom flats but unfortunately one of the residents has taken over with the amount of vehicles they have for their two bedroom property. They have a large motorhome as well as a large work van and a car. This one particular couple have taken away the parking out the front of their property leaving only one visitors parking space for four flats.
I myself own the land at the rear of the property to which residents 1,3,4 have a right of way over my property, strictly for access to their garages with no right to park on my property in front of their garages. Unfortunately the same couple have continued for over two years to trespass by leaving their vehicle on my property blocking number fours right of way to their garage. This has resulted in me having to take legal action to get them to move their car because they are using

their garage as a storage unit workshop for their business and they could no longer fit their car in the garage due to lack of room.
It is written in the deeds about the above points being prohibited.
Having experienced the issues above, I cannot see how you can insist people park their car in their garage on the proposed new development.
Also the same couple drive their car at speed down the same access point to the rear of our property to park their in front of their garage. Causing myself and fellow residents to Scarper out the way and this is only a 14 metre road which is wider then 44 Ferringham Lane.

Knowing all this information, I strongly disagree with the access, traffic lights and parking on the proposal for 44 Ferringham Lane.

At present, the view out of the rear windows of my flat is a pleasant one and was a deciding factor when purchasing my flat two years ago as I enjoy watching wildlife and a feeling of being in an area that wasn't built up. The proposed development would change my view and reduce the amount of wildlife and greenery in Ferring.

Also how can this be a fair process if the yellow planning application keeps getting taken down from the above property weeks before or comments have to be in.

Your sincerely
Stuart Baulk