

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Lol Pilfold [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 June 2018 08:11
To: Anita Gardner
Subject: Fwd: Planning Application EP/7/18

Good morning Anita, as we are seemingly unable to post our reply to Appleton Town Planning's " Supplementary" letter of the 23rd May sent to you by email Friday 1st June ,would you please be kind enough to ensure that the item is posted for us and the questions answered please prior to a decision being made.

Please also note that it was claimed in court by the owners of the land that there was an agreement with the Power Company to alter their Rights of Way, however, this was dismissed by the Judge at the time as no documentation substantiating the claim could be produced.

We note that at present a decision is due in 3 days time (Friday 8th June) from the Development Control Committee .

We look forward to your reply in this matter,
Kind Regards Mr & Mrs L.A. Pilfold

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lol Pilfold [REDACTED]
Date: 1 June 2018 at 14:01
Subject: Planning Application EP/7/18
To: Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>

Good afternoon Anita,

I have been reading the latest letter from Appleton Town Planners on your website and I note that we will be allocated 3 parking spaces within this latest application.

Do you think it would be possible to indicate where our proposed parking will be on the plan ?

I should point out that there is no disagreement with the court order giving us and our visitors the rights over this land, except that the right to park is not specified as being "***around the entrance to our property***" as suggested by Dawn Appleton . Quite the opposite in fact, rather "***where available at the time providing we do not obstruct others***" over the whole of the land (certain restrictions apply with regards to delivery vehicles)

Also for the UK Power Networks " ***to pass and repass at all times with plant and personnel for all purposes over and along the land coloured blue and brown on the said plan***" this refers to the ***proposed*** reduced access route on the Eastern side of the development where we also have specific rights. I have a copies of the documents if you wish to see

them. Parking will presumably be required for any operatives working on the sub-station along with their plant ,so again could I ask where this is shown on the plan ?

Eurosite's tenants are to be refused permission to park at the rear of their premises, but the owners (their landlord) and his tenants seemingly disagree, particularly as parking at the front is for customers to the parade of shops and limited to a restriction of 2 hours and not for those tenants attempting to run a business in the parade.

Any large delivery vehicles attempting to manoeuvre into this "improved access" would require to ride over the kerbs and pavements (as "One Stop" are allowed to do) due to the restrictions coming in from, and then presumably reversing back into Beechlands Close (blind) ..or is there a turning area ?

..And finally whether any vehicles are allowed to park at the rear is surely irrelevant ? the point is that they are mostly the landlord's tenant's vehicles who may have had their rights removed from the leases, but have not been prevented by him from parking and therefore *will* be displaced if this application is allowed ,

Kind Regards, Mr & Mrs Pilfold ... 125 North Lane East
Preston