

Nicola Moore

From: Zac Denton
Sent: 22 July 2019 08:35
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: EP 74/19/HH 2 Hillview Crescent East Preston

Objection to application

Zachariah Denton | Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor , Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

[REDACTED] Please note this information is given without prejudice and represents the informal view of the officer and not an official opinion of Arun District Council.

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Carter [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 July 2019 15:39
To: Zac Denton [REDACTED]
Cc: East Preston Parish Council [REDACTED]
Subject: EP 74/19/HH 2 Hillview Crescent East Preston

Dear Mr Denton

I see from your website and subsequent yellow signs that a further submission for planning permission has been lodged for the above site. I wish to again, make a formal representation to object to this overbearing/development.

I have a number of questions that I would be grateful if you could supply some answers to;

1/ Why are there so many yellow signs still up for old applications? The new signs took a long time to appear after these plans were actually submitted online allowing less time to make representations?

2/ As far as I can see there is very little difference to this submission from the previous one that was rejected? How many times can this keep being submitted?

3/ The issues that effect the properties to the north i.e 4, Hillview Crescent and 2 and 4 Chiltern Close still have not been addressed at all. Why?

4/ Three properties will all still be detrimentally effected by being overlooked by the huge proposed dormer in the north elevation, taking away our rights to be able to enjoy our gardens, conservatories etc, for which was the main attraction for all of us of buying a bungalow in the first place. The effect on 2 Chiltern is quite dramatic as they will be directly overlooked straight in to there lounge/kitchen. There must be legislation/laws rules about proximity that can protect other householders from this sort of thing?

5/ It would appear that the detrimental effect that these plans would have on the street scene have again been ignored and will be totally out of character due to being in such a prominent corner position. Why?

6/ Finally, I do not understand that these minor changes the agent has suggested after discussions with you differ greatly from the recent plans that were rejected. I note with interest the string of emails that have been going between you and the agent, which, if I'm understanding these correctly these appear to imply, that this application

is now virtually a forgone conclusion as a result of these minor changes? How can that be? Surely we have rights to be protected as well and that should also be taken into consideration when deciding to grant planning permission?

7/ Will this application be going to any Development Control Meetings? If so when?

I await your reply with interest.

Mark & Lisa Carter