

Ronnie McGregor

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning
Sent: 10 May 2019 10:19
To: Planning Scanning; Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: EP/36/19/HH
Attachments: PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07(2).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07(3).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07(4).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07(5).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07(6).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-08-07.jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-50.jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(3).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(4).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(5).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(6).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(7).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51(8).jpg; PHOTO-2019-05-02-06-05-51.jpg

Nicola Spencer | Technical Support Manager, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37446 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37446 | E-mail: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk.  Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Peter Dobson [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 May 2019 13:54
To: Planning
Cc: peterdobson12@hotmail.co.uk; sally.gardner@arun.gov.uk
Subject: EP/36/19/HH

Dear sir/madam,

Regarding the above planning application and the negative response and objection from East Preston Parish Council, I would like to bring my observations to your attention.

Taken from the minutes of the East Preston Parish Council (*in Italics*):

Mrs Vos advised the meeting the Preservation Society would be objecting to this Application on the grounds of its design and its choice of colours for materials. The Preservation Society Chairman believed the Application would breach Policy 4 of the East Preston Neighbourhood Plan and Policy D DM4 of the Arun Local Plan as the proposal does not fit in with the style of surrounding buildings. Mrs Vos explained the Preservation Society would prefer clay roof tiles from a terracotta palette rather than the monochrome effect a grey slate would give.

This of course is nonsense. There are many houses in many different styles and colours in East Preston and on the Willowhayne estate in particular.

EP/22/17/HH Approved 12/4/17.

Large property on the corner of the access road to 9 Myrtle Grove, which is actually on the road. grey plain tiles. EPPC and EPKPS raised no objections to tile type or colour palate

EP/174/18/HH approved 17/1/19

"plain roof tiles" EPPC and EPKPS raised no objections to tile type or colour palate

EP/20/19/HH. Approved 17/4/19 grey roof tiles. EPPC and EPKPS (East Preston and Kingston Preservation Society) again raised no objections to tile type or colour palate

Photo's attached

Cont.... The upwards angling of the dormers north and south were unusual and not to everyone's taste, but that aside, the proposed dormers were not subservient to the existing roofline. Mrs Vos believed the property could be seen from Vicarage Lane, which was why yellow notices had been placed in Vicarage Lane

Actually, Mrs Vos said "the house is visible when walking down Vicarage Lane." She was asked "between which houses?" To which she replied, "I don't know exactly, but I can see it when walking towards the station." NOT TRUE! Attached are Photo's of all the gaps between the houses on Vicarage Lane for your records.

Also, the house cannot be seen from any of the surrounding roads including Vicarage Lane.

Cont..... No public representations about this Application had been received. Ms G explained the property could not be seen from the road, only from the properties it immediately bordered. Ms G had discussed the proposal with neighbours who had not raised any objections.

Of the six neighbours who can actually see the house, none of them have raised any objections. I'm aware that the planning officer has been to see some of them personally.

Cont..... The committee AGREED unanimously to support the Preservation Society's objections to this Application: i.e. the proposed dormers are not subservient to the existing roofline and the choice of colours is out-of-keeping with the existing streetscene. The committee AGREED the proposed design was unsympathetic and would have an unacceptable impact upon the character of the immediate locality, contrary to the Arun Local Plan and the East Preston Neighbourhood Plan. As the committee believed the proposal was contrary to the Neighbourhood Plan, it believed it had little option but to object.

It is my view that there appears to be some irregularities between what is acceptable depending on who's applying. Mrs Vos and the East Preston Parish Council have tried to mislead Arun Council in this application, and I hope they act accordingly.

Kind regards

Peter Dobson
9 Myrtle Grove
BN16 2SW

This email & any files transmitted with it are private & intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, the e-mail & any attachments have been transmitted to you in error & any copying, distribution or use of the information contained in them is strictly prohibited. The National Probation Service may monitor the content of the e-mails sent & received via its network for the purposes of ensuring compliance with its policies & procedures. Any views or opinions presented are only those of the author & not those of the National Probation Service.

























NUMBER 21



