

Rebecca Payne

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 08 May 2019 09:35
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: : EP/36/19/HH
Attachments: Local Roof Pic1.jpg; Local Roof Pic2.jpg; Local Roof Pic3.jpg; Local Roof Pic4.jpg; Local Roof Pic5.jpg; Local Roof Pic6.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic8.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic1.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic2.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic3.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic4.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic5.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic6.jpg; Between House View Vicarage Lane Pic7.jpg

From: Andrew Wood
Sent: 07 May 2019 14:50
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: : EP/36/19/HH

Planning correspondence pls upload.

Thank you,

Andrew Wood

Andrew Wood MRTPI | Senior Planning Officer, Planning Department, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maitravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37768 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737768 | E-mail: andrew.wood@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 07 May 2019 11:09
To: Andrew Wood
Subject: EP/36/19/HH

Dear Mr Wood,
Further to our telephone conversation this morning, please find below a copy of my email sent to your planning email address on Thursday 2nd May 2019.
Kind regards
Pete Dobson

Dear sir/madam,

Regarding the above planning application and the negative response and objection from East Preston Parish Council, I would like to bring my observations to your attention.

Taken from the minutes of the East Preston Parish Council (*in Italics*):

Application on the grounds of its design and its choice of colours for materials. The Preservation Society Chairman believed the Application would breach Policy 4 of the East Preston Neighbourhood Plan and Policy D DM4 of the Arun Local Plan as the proposal does not fit in with the style of surrounding buildings. Mrs Vos explained the Preservation Society would prefer clay roof tiles from a terracotta palette rather than the monochrome effect a grey slate would give.

This of course is nonsense. There are many houses in many different styles and colours in East Preston and on the Willowhayne estate in particular.

EP/22/17/HH Approved 12/4/17.

Large property on the corner of the access road to 9 Myrtle Grove, which is actually on the road. grey plain tiles. EPPC and EPKPS raised no objections to tile type or colour palette

EP/174/18/HH approved 17/1/19

"plain roof tiles" EPPC and EPKPS raised no objections to tile type or colour palette

EP/20/19/HH. Approved 17/4/19 grey roof tiles. EPPC and EPKPS (East Preston and Kingston Preservation Society) again raised no objections to tile type or colour palette

Photo's attached

Cont.... The upwards angling of the dormers north and south were unusual and not to everyone's taste, but that aside, the proposed dormers were not subservient to the existing roofline. Mrs Vos believed the property could be seen from Vicarage Lane, which was why yellow notices had been placed in Vicarage Lane

Actually, [REDACTED] said "the house is visible when walking down Vicarage Lane." She was asked "between which houses?" To which she replied, "I don't know exactly, but I can see it when walking towards the station." NOT TRUE! Attached are Photo's of all the gaps between the houses on Vicarage Lane for your records.

Also, the house cannot be seen from any of the surrounding roads including Vicarage Lane.

Cont..... No public representations about this Application had been received.

[REDACTED] explained the property could not be seen from the road, only from the properties it immediately bordered. Ms G had discussed the proposal with neighbours who had not raised any objections.

Of the six neighbours who can actually see the house, none of them have raised any objections. I'm aware that the planning officer has been to see some of them personally.

Cont..... The committee AGREED unanimously to support the Preservation Society's objections to this Application: i.e. the proposed dormers are not subservient to the existing roofline and the choice of colours is out-of-keeping with the existing streetscene. The committee AGREED the proposed design was unsympathetic and would have an unacceptable impact upon the character of the immediate locality, contrary to the Arun Local Plan and the East Preston Neighbourhood Plan. As the committee believed the proposal was contrary to the Neighbourhood Plan, it believed it had little option but to object.

It is my view that there appears to be some irregularities between what is acceptable depending on who's applying. [REDACTED] and the East Preston Parish Council have tried to mislead Arun Council in this application, and I hope they act accordingly.

Kind regards

Peter Dobson



























NUMBER 21



