

[REDACTED]

From: Daun Johnson on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 29 June 2026 14:14
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: EP/30/26/HH

Please upload email to EP/30/26/HH, planning, rep

Daun Johnson
Technical Support Unit Assistant
Planning Services

T: 01903 737762 Ext 37762
E: Daun.Johnson@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>



From: Elaine Walby <Elaine.Walby@arun.gov.uk> **On Behalf Of** Planning
Sent: 26 June 2026 16:44
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: EP/30/26/HH

Elaine Walby
Technical Support Assistant
Planning Services

T: 01903 737 904
E: elaine.walby@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd
Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to <https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Our priorities...

Improving the wellbeing of Arun

Delivering the right homes in the right places

Supporting our environment to support us

Fulfilling Arun's economic potential

Our core foundations

Connect with us: [Facebook](#) | [X](#) | [Instagram](#)

From: Chair [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 June 2026 08:18
To: Planning <Planning@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: EP/30/26/HH

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

EP/30/26/HH 3, Boundary Way Side extension and rear extension

I write on behalf of the East Preston & Kingston Preservation Society to object to some aspects of this application. The plans submitted, and proposals for materials, are inaccurate, making our judgement on this submission challenging.

Elevation drawings “existing” : show a street-facing front door on the western elevation which does not exist (see photo). The ground floor “existing” plan is similarly wrong.

Amended ground floor “proposed” plan shows no access to the first floor, where a dormer is currently being built under Permitted Development regulations. The superseded “proposed” plan does show such access, so we assume there is such a stairway.

Neither block plan has a measurement which shows the distance between the side extension/garage and the boundary with the neighbouring property to the north. However, the “proposed” superseded plan with scale bar shows what could be an acceptable gap of approx. 30-50 cm, but as the same plan seems to show that the garage entrance is well under 2m wide, and thus impractical for use as a garage, we do not have confidence in the measurements. The amended “proposed” plan has no scale bar, but the proportions appear to be the same. The superseded and substitute “elevation” drawings show similar problematic dimensions.



The Application form states that materials will match existing. As at present there are two colours of window frame on the street-facing elevation, this is unhelpful. Either the matt black on the right or the white on the left (see photo) would be acceptable, in spite of not harmonising with the attached bungalow, but a mixture would not be suitable, as it would contravene Policy 1i of the East Preston Neighbourhood Plan, currently under review, and Section M of the Arun Design Guide.

The Society has no objection to the proposed rear extension, and if the issues above could be resolved, we would have no objection to a side extension, though would like to confirm that if it is to be used as a garage, it will be big enough. The application mentions side and rear extensions, but not changing the main access to the property via a new front door. We would have no objection to this, if applied for.

Sioned Vos

Chairman, East Preston & Kingston Preservation Society

BN16 2SP