

From: Kerry Douglas [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 January 2019 12:37
To: Juan Baeza

Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning amendment EP 160/18/PL

Dear Juan

I write in respect of planning amendment EP 160/ 18/ PL for 11 Beechlands Close, East Preston dated 2nd November 2018.

I have been observing the communications on the planning website, and due to a recent harassment case raised with the police I now feel it necessary exercise my right to reply.

[REDACTED]

I note that one neighbour makes reference in their complaint that the speed of completion of the roof was increased once we were aware of the planning complaint and that we were doing so in order to make a mockery of ADC. To clarify, the build was due to complete in October, we had already made an extension to our rented accommodation into December and at that point needed to move out or be faced being homeless. Hence the need for swift action. However, it is completely inaccurate that the trades were working 7 days per week, they were only working 5, with the exception of Sunday 9th December when the scaffolder came to remove the scaffolding. Also to make it clear, the scaffolder at no point gave a mouthful of abuse, despite being goaded with questions and filmed with a camera.

As you are aware, the original planing notice advised of like for like tiles. When the discussion was opened up with my builder that the existing tiles could not be used on the dormer cheek, they made the suggestion of Marley smooth grey, in part because they are a smart looking tile but also so that they tied in well with the roof tiles immediately next door on the flats. In my ignorance, the fact that I can see a variety of 5 different roof tiles from my lounge window all within my road, I did not realise that their would be any planning implications. I attach photos for your reference.

I also do not believe that the neighbours putting forth their objections to the roof tiles are doing so purely because it is the roof tiles themselves that cause offence, but because they don't like the development which was granted planning approval and also to cause maximum upset and distress to us as a family. This is the last opportunity to impact our build, having tried to throw obstacles in the way from the start, including knocking on neighbours doors to try to gain signatures for a petition to get the original planning application overturned.

We have had neighbours in the close and many passers by (there is a popular alley way walk through at the opposite end of the close) come to advise how lovely the property looks, one resident in the close even feels that we have added value to the street by making our improvements.

As I understand it, the neighbours to the rear have no right to a view, but that considerations should be made. I would also hope that we have the right not to have such a huge decision swayed by pressure from neighbours and put trust that ADC will be allowed to make an informed unbiased decision.

Kind regards
Kerry Douglas

[REDACTED]
11 Beechlands Close
East Preston

West Sussex
BN16 1JT

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg>

<image003.jpg>

<image004.jpg>

<http://www.arun.gov.uk>

DX 57406 Littlehampton

You can view Arun District Council's Privacy Policy from <https://www.arun.gov.uk/privacy-policy>.

Important Notice This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council. The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party. The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation. Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused. Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.

<scan_juan.baeza_2019-01-17-13-56-04.pdf>