Karen Garner

From: Julie Grieves
Sent: 03 February 2012 09:39
To: Karen Garner
Subject: FW: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

This goes with previous email

Thanks lovely

Julie Grieves - (Working days Monday, Thursday & Friday)

| Technical Support Unit Assistant, Planning and Building Control, Arun
| District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre,
| Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37448 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737711 | E-mail: julie.grieves@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk P Save the environment - think before you print.

-----Original Message-----
From: Anita Gardner
Sent: 03 February 2012 09:37
To: Julie Grieves
Subject: FW: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Julie,
This relates to the other e-mail I have just sent.
Anita

-----Original Message-----
From: stephen taylor [mailto:staassociates@btconnect.com]
Sent: 02 February 2012 20:30
To: Anita Gardner
Cc: malcolm.w@thl.uk.com; ashley.beckett@thakehamhomes.co.uk
Subject: RE: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Dear Ms Gardner

I thank you for your assistance on this. We would request that you forward our previously submitted information to your Technical support Unit, to draw up the new DOC.

I would confirm that arrangements have today been made to forward the required Fee of £85. To avoid any confusion it will be marked for your attention

Kind regards
Steve Taylor
STA

From: Anita Gardner [mailto:Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk]
Sent: Fri 06/01/2012 14:57
To: stephen taylor
Subject: RE: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Dear Mr Taylor,
I apologise for any misunderstanding that has arisen. I have looked at the landscaping

condition and response again.
The decision with regard to condition 7 to part approve was made on 16-09-2011. Once a decision is issued the record cannot be amended or altered. The application has been determined. The alternative would have been to refuse the DOC with regard to condition 7 and not provide approval to any elements of the proposed landscaping. Although, at the time of the decision other conditions remained outstanding the file was closed with regard to this condition.
Condition 9 was approved some time after condition 7 following receipt of additional information. Whilst this information would be helpful with regard to condition 7 it cannot be considered in relation to discharge of condition 7 under EP/63/11/DOC since the matter has been closed under this reference.
To pursue compliance with the outstanding elements of the condition the remaining details will need to be submitted for consideration as a new DOC application together with the relevant fee.
Please advise me if you would like me to forward the submitted information to the Technical Support Unit to draw up a new DOC, but it cannot be processed without the receipt of a fee of £85.
I trust this clarifies the position
Regards
Anita Gardner
Principal Planner
Direct line 01903 737529

-----Original Message-----
From: stephen taylor [mailto:staassociates@btconnect.com]
Sent: 06 January 2012 08:39
To: Anita Gardner
Cc: Eve Hearsey
Subject: FW: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Dear Ms Gardner

As mentioned in this email prior to the Holiday break. It would be appreciated if you could help us to clarify this matter

Kind regards
Steve Taylor
STA Associates
T: 01276 61684
M: 07810 750401

From: stephen taylor
Sent: Thu 22/12/2011 14:34
To: Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk
Subject: FW: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Dear Ms Gardner

Further to your earlier communication on this, I would refer you to our email sent to Ms Hearsey 10/10/2011.

As stated in para 1, we are somewhat confused on the matter of discharge of Condition 7. Our Application for discharge, included for Condition 7 and indeed included all the relevant drawings & information requirements.

In an effort to clarify this matter, we subsequently attached all of the drawings and information again with the email of 10/10/2011.

As mentioned, given that the discharge of Condition 7 and all the supporting information, was included within our Application for discharge and subsequently emailed again. Why you would ask that we submit a further Application for discharge.

In addition to this, I do recall at the the time of the October email, discussing this direct with Eve Hearsey

Kind regards

Steve Taylor
STA

From: stephen taylor
Sent: Mon 10/10/2011 10:35
To: eve.hearsey@arun.gov.uk
Cc: ashley.beckett@thakehamhomes.co.uk
Subject: FW: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Eve

Further to our email of 19/9/2011 (Below) It would be appreciated if you could help clarify this matter on Condition 7. You have of course part discharged the condition. We were of the view that the information submitted in support of this condition, (as further attached) would have been sufficient to discharge in its entirety.

In checking through our files, it would appear that the only other condition requiring discharge (from our Application for discharge) would be Cond. 9. In checking through the requirements of this Cond. it would appear again that the information submitted and attached (Tree protection) would also address the requirements of this Cond.

It would be most appreciated if you could clarify this matter at your earliest opportunity.

regards
Steve Taylor
STA
01276 61684 / 07810 750401

From: stephen taylor
Sent: Mon 19/09/2011 11:25
To: eve.hearsey@arun.gov.uk
Cc: ashley.beckett@thakehamhomes.co.uk
Subject: Condition 7. Churchfield Station Road East Preston. ref: EP/63/11/DOC

Dear Ms Hearsey

Regarding the Part discharge of Cond. 7. It does leave us a little confused as to any additional information that may be required, to enable full discharge of the Condition.

The External Works Layout provides " Externals " information on the Hard Landscaping aspects and is of course supplemented with the Soft Landscaping Layout which specifies the proposed tree and shrub planting. In addition, the External Works Layout does (In the Key) makes reference to the existing Trees to be retained. The Tree Protection Plan makes reference and denotes the Tree Protection areas.

For reference I have attached these drawings and supporting documents

It would be appreciated if you could contact me to advise as to where it is considered that collectively, these drawings do not cover all the information that would be required, to address this condition.

Kind regards
Steve Taylor
STA
01276 61684 / 07810 750401

http://www.arun.gov.uk <http://www.arun.gov.uk/> NLPG UPRN 100062237016 DX 57406 Littlehampton

Important Notice
This e-mail is intended exclusively for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged.

If you are not the intended recipient (or authorised to receive it for the addressee), please notify the sender and delete the e-mail immediately; using, copying, or disclosing it to anyone else, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.
Any views, opinions or options presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Arun District Council.
The information in this e-mail may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this e-mail to a third party.
The Council reserves the right to monitor e-mails in accordance with relevant legislation.
Whilst outgoing e-mails are checked for viruses, we cannot guarantee this e-mail is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed and we do not accept liability for any damage caused.
Any reference to "e-mail" in this disclaimer includes any attachments.
****