

Please treat as additional correspondence from applicant

Anita Gardner | Principal Planner, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltrovers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37529 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737529 | E-mail: anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Subject: RE: EP/149/14/PL Rep Letter 1 Windlesham Gardens

Dear Anita,

Once again this resident has been MISLEAD in to thinking we are building a HOUSE, not a Chalet Bungalow as they then also go on to refer to Character Area 2 of the East Preston Village Design statement. The Area 2 refers to height. Making all comments that follow thereafter, irrelevant and INVALID, as has been all the other Letters submitted from Windlesham Gardens.

(a) The Plans CLEARLY show the height to be the SAME as the EXISTING bungalow of no. 37

(b) The Inspectorate CONFIRMED, so cannot be restricted to Character Area 2:-

Point 3. Inspectorate Report- "The site is located in a residential area with a mix of detached and semi-detached Bungalows, as well as 2 bed houses and flats."

Point 10. Inspectorate Report. "I have noted the appeal site is close to the boundaries of Character Areas 2 and 4 which have slightly different criteria for new development." So shouldn't even be limited to Area 2.

MAKING THE COMMENTS TO OBJECT INVALID.

The second point is incorrect in saying All the properties in Windlesham Gardens and those on the South side of Orchard Road are Bungalows. See attached photograph of a HOUSE next to the one storey dwellings on the South side.

But this highlights once again that this resident CLEARLY thinks we are building a dwelling the same size height as a normal size HOUSE and not the height of the existing dwelling, the SAME height of a BUNGALOW.

MAKING THE COMMENTS TO OBJECT INVALID.

The third point he has raised about "not compatible with the surrounding properties" is also NOT VALID, as it is CLEAR that this comment was made as they assumed it will be a HOUSE and not a Bungalow.

MAKING THE COMMENTS TO OBJECT INVALID.

The fourth point about privacy does not affect number 1, This resident ONLY thinks this, as he has been MISLEAD into thinking the new proposed dwelling is going to be HIGHER than no. 37. Photographs have already been submitted of views seen from our upper bedroom window proving we CANNOT SEE into these dwellings to the rear in Windlesam Gardens. There are trees and shrubs in the way.

Also the proposed dwelling is over the minimum distance required away from the rear boundary for building regulations.

MAKING ALL THE COMMENTS TO OBJECT INVALID.

Arun Planning has now of course been able to see who the ONE individual resident of Orchard Road is, by his own admission in his Letter, who has been scare mongering to ALL these "elderly" residents about the height of the proposed dwelling WHEN IT HAS BEEN CLEARLY MARKED ON THE PLANS AS BEING THE SAME. Which has lead to ALL these concerns, but it does however, mean that ALL of their objections should be rejected for this reason, as it is not true. They have been CLEARLY mislead and confused.

Regards, CAROLYN PANNELL

HOUSE ON SOUTH SIDE OF ORCHARD ROAD